赣东北蛇绿岩带新元古代(~800Ma) 高镁安山岩的发现及其意义

王存智,余明刚,黄志忠,洪文涛,赵希林,姜杨,周效华,段政,邢光福 中国地质调查局南京地质调查中心,南京,210016

内容提要:在赣东北蛇绿岩带中的樟树墩地区新发现新元古代高镁安山岩,其LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U-Pb 法年龄为 794.8±6.0 Ma。它们的 SiO₂ 介于 54.90% ~58.45%, Al₂O₃ 介于 15.31% ~16.77%(平均小于 16%); CaO 为 2.46% ~6.73%, FeO^T/MgO 变化于 0.87 ~1.20,具有高 MgO(6.39% ~8.76%)、高 Mg^{*}(64 ~71)特点;富集轻稀土 元素且具弱负 Eu 异常,明显富集 LILE 而亏损 HFSE,Sr 含量(普遍 <200 × 10⁻⁶)和 Sr/Y 比值(4.11 ~7.29)均较低, 具有典型高镁安山岩的地球化学特征,类似于日本 Setouchi 火山带的 Sanukitoids。锆石 $\epsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 值介于 10.23 ~17.79 之间,反映它们起源于亏损地幔。主、微量及同位素特征均表明,本区高镁安山岩是被俯冲板片释放的含水流体交 代的地幔楔部分熔融的产物,形成于大洋岛弧(洋内弧)环境,指示赣东北地区在约 800Ma 前时仍存在洋壳俯冲,双 溪坞弧尚未增生到扬子东南缘,暗示扬子和华夏两大陆块此时尚未碰撞拼合。

关键词:高镁安山岩; 新元古代; 樟树墩; 赣东北蛇绿岩带; 江南造山带

高镁安山岩(high-Mg andesite, HMA)泛指 MgO (Mg[#])含量高于典型岛弧安山岩的安山岩和部分英 安岩类,包括 Boninities、Bajaites、Adakites(汉译名 "高锶低钇中酸性岩",亦有人译为"埃达克岩")和 Sanukitoids 等(Kamei et al., 2004)。Tatsumi(2001) 指出,高镁安山岩 SiO2 含量范围通常在 53% ~ 60%,具有高 MgO(>5%)、低 FeO^T/MgO(<1.5)、 Al₂O₃(<16%)和CaO(<10%)等特征。由于其特 殊的地球化学特征,高镁安山岩所指示的大地构造 背景一直受到关注。一般认为,高镁安山岩大多数 形成于汇聚板块边界,与年轻的且热的洋壳(脊)俯 冲有关,是交代的岩石圈地幔重融的产物(Tatsumi and Ishizaka, 1981, 1982a, 1982b; Tatsumi, 1982; Kelemen, 1995; Shimoda et al., 1998; Tstsumi and Hanyu, 2003)。因此,高镁安山岩具有明确的构造 意义,对高镁安山岩的识别及研究可以有效地限定 古俯冲带的位置及演化过程。

华南由扬子和华夏两大陆块组成,在扬子陆块 东南缘有一条 NEE 向展布的前寒武纪地质单元称 之为江南造山带(郭令智,1980)。赣东北蛇绿岩带 位于江南造山带东段,呈 NNE 向展布(图 1a)。该 蛇绿岩带东侧为新元古代双溪坞火山弧,西侧为新 元古代双桥山岩群和溪口岩群分布区,后者一般认 为属弧后盆地沉积。对赣东北蛇绿岩的形成时代、 构造背景、演化机制从20世纪80年代开始,已有了 大量的研究(白文吉等,1986;徐备等,1989; Zhou Guoqing et al., 1991; Chen Jiangfeng et al., 1991; Shu Liangshu et al., 1994; 李献华等, 1994; 赵崇贺 等,1995;何科昭等,2000;王博等,2001;吴浩若等, 2003; 吴新华等, 2004; Li Wuxian & Li Xianhua, 2003; Li Wuxian et al., 2008; Gao Jun et al., 2009),已有的研究多数认为该蛇绿岩属于 SSZ 型 蛇绿岩,形成于岛弧(邢凤鸣等,1992)或弧后盆地 环境(Chen Jiangfeng et al., 1991; 赵建新等, 1995, Li Xianhua et al., 1997, 2009)。但最近笔者等的研 究认为其可能为形成于1061Ma的 MOR 型蛇绿岩, 后期(约970Ma)经历了洋内俯冲的SSZ(俯冲带之 上)构造环境(王存智等,2015)。对于扬子与华夏 陆块拼合的时代,一直以来众说纷纭。目前较多学 者认为,扬子和华夏陆块的最终拼合时间为880Ma

注:本文为中国地质调查局项目"华东地区地质系列图件编制与综合研究"(编号:1212011120120)、"钦杭东段关键地区区域地质调查" (编号:12120113065200)和"江南造山带东段新元古代沟弧盆体系演化与大陆生长研究"(编号:12120114039001)的成果。

收稿日期:2015-05-27;改回日期:2016-06-17;责任编辑:章雨旭。Doi: 10.16509/j.georeview.2016.05.007

作者简介:王存智,男,1983年生。硕士,助理研究员。主要从事构造地质学研究。Email:32107407@qq.com。通讯作者:邢光福,男,1965年生。博士,研究员。主要从事华南区域地质调查研究。Email:njxgfu@163.com。



图 1 赣东北地区地质简图: (a) 赣东北地区大地构造位置图; (b) 赣东北蛇绿岩带地质简图(据 Li Wuxian et al., 2008 修 改); (c) 樟树墩蛇绿岩信手剖面图

Fig. 1 Geological sketch map of the northeastern Jiangxi: (a) Schematic tectonic map of South China showing the location of the northeastern Jiangxi; (b) geological map of the NE Jiangxi ophiolite (modified after Li Wuxian et al., 2008) (c) geological section map of the Zhangshudun ophiolites

前后,最重要的依据是 Shu Liangshu 等(1994)所报 道西湾蓝闪石片岩 K-Ar 年龄(866±14Ma),以及西 湾 880±19Ma 的仰冲型淡色花岗岩(Li Wuxian et al.,2008)。不同的是,许靖华等将华南同北美阿 巴拉契亚地区进行对比,提出江南造山带可能为印 支期造山带(Hsü et al.,1988,1990)。有学者曾报 导了赣东北存在晚古生代放射虫硅质岩等,支持印 支期碰撞观点(赵崇贺等,1995)。另外,Wong 等 (2011)通过对江山—绍兴(江绍)断裂带两侧中生 代酸性岩的研究认为这两个陆块在新元古代时期可 能并未完全拼合。可见,对于两者之间的碰撞拼合 时间仍存在较大争议。鉴于赣东北蛇绿岩带特殊的 构造位置,对其时代与构造属性的研究,可为探讨扬 子与华夏两大陆块的碰撞拼合时间提供证据。

最近,笔者等在赣东北蛇绿岩中发现了新元古 代~800Ma与俯冲作用相关的高镁安山岩,指示该 时期仍存在洋壳俯冲,两大陆块可能仍尚未拼贴。 这对深入认识江南造山带的形成演化,以及华夏和 扬子陆块的拼合时限等具有重要意义。

1 地质概况及岩石学特征

赣东北蛇绿岩带南起弋阳樟树墩,北至德兴中 村,全长约100km,呈NNE方向展布(图1b),主要 出露于樟树墩、西湾、饶二和茅桥等地,构造侵位在 双桥山群浅变质火山—沉积岩中(徐备等,1989; Chen Jiangfeng et al., 1991; Li Xianhua et al., 1997)。组成赣东北蛇绿岩的主要岩石类型为变质 橄榄岩、堆晶辉长岩、辉绿岩、闪长岩、斜长花岗岩、 玄武岩、安山岩和硅质岩等,普遍经历了低绿片岩相 变质和强烈的构造变形(Zhou Guoqing,1989)。

樟树墩地区的蛇绿岩岩石类型最为齐全和典型,出露面积约0.162km²,沿NE方向延伸(白文吉等,1986)。在樟树墩蛇纹石矿采场,蛇绿岩出露较好,其东南侧主要由强烈蛇纹石化的方辉橄榄岩组成,构造变形强烈,包裹球状异剥钙榴岩,局部见直闪石矿物集合体,其中还发育多处辉长辉绿岩脉;西北侧上覆深灰色浅变质泥质千枚岩,其中夹有多层火山岩。千枚岩与火山岩接触面均发生强烈的片理



图 2 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩野外特征及显微照片:(a)安山岩与千枚岩界线,接触处强烈片理化;(b)安山岩正交偏光 显微照片,岩石具斑状结构,基质玻晶交织结构,斑晶为斜长石

Fig. 2 Field outcrop and microstructure of high-Mg andesites at Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi: (a) contact boundary between high-Mg andesites and phyllites, showing as an intense schistosity zone; (b) the microscope photograph of high-Mg andesite shows porphyritic texture and hyalopilitic texture of the matrix, and its phenocryst is plagioclase

Pl--斜长石;Am--角闪石

Pl- plagioclase; Am-amphibole

化和韧性变形(图2a),总体构成向南东逆冲的叠瓦 构造(图1c)。

本次研究的样品分别取自于采场北西侧千枚岩 中不同的火山岩夹层,采样位置(GPS坐标为:N28° 32′45″,E117°26′44″)见剖面(图1c)。所采样品均 为安山岩,整体较为新鲜,新鲜面呈灰黑色,斑状结 构,斑晶含量小于10%,几乎全为斜长石;基质为玻 晶交织结构,斜长石微晶具半定向排列,在斜长石 间隙中充填有角闪石微晶和玻璃质(图2b),角闪石 均有不同程度的绿帘石化和碳酸盐化。

2 分析方法

在薄片鉴定基础上,选择其中最新鲜样品用于 地球化学分析。全岩主量元素分析在中国地质大学 (武汉)生物地质与环境地质国家重点实验室完成, 主量元素分析采用 XRF-1800 型荧光光谱仪分析, 分析精度优于1%~5%;微量元素在中国科学院广 州地球化学研究所同位素地球化学国家重点实验室 完成,微量元素分析采用 Perkin-Elmer Sciex ELAN 6000 型电感耦合等离子体质谱仪(ICP-MS),分析 精度优于2%~5%,详细实验方法见刘颖等 (1996)。

锆石分选在廊坊市宇能岩石矿物分选技术服务 有限公司完成,锆石制靶及阴极发光(CL)照相在北 京锆年领航科技有限公司完成。

锆石测年在合肥工业大学资源与环境工程学院 质谱实验室采用 LA-ICP-MS U-Pb 法完成,采用仪器 型号为 Agilent 7500a,激光剥蚀系统为 Geo Las 2005。样品经剥蚀后,由 He 气作为载气,再与 Ar 气混合后进入 ICP-MS 进行分析。激光束斑直径为 32μm,频率为 6Hz。使用美国国家标准技术研究院 的人工合成硅酸盐玻璃质标准矿物 NIST SRM610 进行仪器最佳化。U-Pb 分馏利用哈佛大学国际标 准锆石 91500 来校正,锆石标样 Mud Tank(交点年 龄为 732 ± 5Ma)(Black and Gulson, 1978)作为参考 标样。每轮测试的开头和结尾分别测 2 个 91500 标 样和 2 个 Mud Tank 标样,中间每分析 5 个样品点, 分析 2 次 91500,控制分析精度。数据处理采用中 国地质大学(武汉)开发的 ICPMSDataCal 8.0 软件 完成,选取谐和度 >90% 的样品点数据进行分析,采 用 Isoplot/Ex_ver3 软件绘制谐和图并计算加权平均 年龄。详细的分析方法和流程见严峻等(2012)和 Jackson 等(2004)。

锆石 Lu-Hf 同位素分析在中国地质调查局天津 地质调查中心同位素实验室的多接收器电感耦合等 离子体质谱仪(NEP TUNE)和氟化氩准分子激光器 (NEW WAVE 193nm EX)上进行,详细的实验分析 过程见文献(耿建珍等,2011)。对已进行过 U-Pb 同位素分析的锆石进行 Lu-Hf 同位素分析,激光剥 蚀的斑束直径为 50 μ m,能量密度为 10~11 J/cm², 频率为 8~10Hz。在实验过程中,标准锆石 GJ-1 和 MUD 的 $n(^{176}$ Hf)/ $n(^{177}$ Hf)加权平均值均与已报道 值(Elhlou et al., 2006;侯可军等,2007)相一致。

3 结果



图 3 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩 Nb/Y—Zr/TiO₂ 分类图(Winchester and Floyd, 1977)(a)和 FeO^T/MgO—TiO₂ 图解 (Miyashiro, 1974)(b)

Fig. 3 Nb/Y vs. Zr/TiO_2 classification diagram (after Winchester and Floyd, 1977) (a) and FeO^T/MgO vs. TiO_2 diagram (after Miyashiro, 1974) (b) of the high-Mg and esites from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi

1189

3.1 地球化学特征

火山岩样品的全岩主量元素、稀土和微量元素 分析结果列于表1。通过烧失量校正后,它们的 SiO₂ 为 54.90% ~ 58.45%, 全部介于典型高镁安山 岩的 SiO₂ 变化范围内(53% ~ 60%), 具有较高的 MgO(6.39% ~ 8.76%, 均大于 5%), FeO^T/MgO 变

表1赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩全岩主量元素(%)、稀土元素和微量元素含量(μg/ұ	ug∕g)
---	-------

Table 1 Major element(%) , REE and trace element($\mu g/g)$	contents of high-Mg andesites from 2	Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------

Sample	11ZSD-1-2	11ZSD-1-3	11ZSD-1-5	11ZSD-1-6	1210ZSD-2-3C	1210ZSD-2-4B	1210ZSD-2-5C	1210ZSD-2-3A	1210ZSD-2-5A	1210ZSD-2-5B
SiO ₂	54.22	54.45	54.89	55.16	53.06	54.57	54.02	55.95	53.22	54.37
TiO_2	0.85	0.89	0.88	0.84	0.91	0.97	0.83	0.86	0.85	0.85
Al_2O_3	15.33	15.45	14.79	15.49	15.19	15.55	15.41	16.05	14.75	15.41
$\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	7.96	8.19	8.62	7.85	8.54	8.31	7.94	6.93	7.59	7.66
MnO	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.11
MgO	6.44	6.35	6.49	6.22	8.47	6.33	6.94	7.16	6.47	6.60
CaO	6.43	6.29	4.79	5.49	4.31	5.14	5.57	2.35	6.45	4.80
Na_2O	3.37	3.22	4.54	4.21	3.14	4.82	3.24	3.29	3.44	2.85
K20	2.16	2.14	1.36	1.90	2.80	1.19	2.93	2.88	2.82	3.47
烧失	3.34	3.16	3.30	3.00	3.63	2.42	3.21	4.48	4.45	4.02
总量	100.33	100.37	99.91	100.40	100.28	99.53	100.31	100.20	100.26	100.23
$Na_2 O/K_2 O$	1.56	1.50	3.34	2.22	1.12	4.05	1.11	1.14	1.22	0.82
$Mg^{\#}$	65	64	64	65	70	64	67	71	67	67
FeO^T	7.16	7.37	7.76	7.06	7.68	7.48	7.14	6.24	6.83	6.89
FeO ^T /MgO	1.11	1.16	1.20	1.14	0.91	1.18	1.03	0.87	1.06	1.04
La	10	12.3	10.7	9.31	7.816	10.64	10.42	11.9	13.4	12.7
Ce	24.4	27.6	25.9	21.9	19.47	25.09	24.59	30.7	33.8	33.1
Pr	3.16	3.56	3.26	2.96	2.708	3.425	3.363	3.11	3.67	3.56
Nd	13.7	16	14.7	13.3	12.41	15.67	15.48	12.5	15.3	14.5
Sm	3.85	4.3	3.98	3.61	3.072	3.927	3.847	3.04	3.98	3.8
Eu	1.13	1.19	0.96	0.99	0.773	0.997	0.933	0.88	1.06	0.98
Gd	4.94	5.24	4.7	4.13	3.55	4.487	4.431	3.4	4.79	4.66
Tb	0.88	0.9	0.8	0.74	0.622	0.787	0.766	0.61	0.85	0.8
Dy	5.58	5.8	5.34	4.66	3.905	4.879	4.832	3.98	5.29	5.05
Ho	1.13	1.17	1.1	0.95	0.842	1.054	1.032	0.76	1.13	1.11
Er	3.31	3.57	3.23	2.84	2.469	3.007	2.989	2.37	3.28	3.09
Tm	0.51	0.51	0.47	0.4	0.372	0.453	0.436	0.33	0.46	0.44
Yb	3.21	3.46	3.09	2.69	2.489	2.903	2.903	2.16	3.21	2.95
Lu	0.48	0.51	0.45	0.39	0.372	0.43	0.433	0.33	0.44	0.43
Sc	28.6	27.8	30.3	28.6	24.43	23.42	23.76	27.5	28.2	30.9
Rb	52.9	57.4	31.9	44.8	80.51	47.87	87.62	78.4	79.2	92.9
Cs	1.95	2.12	1.43	1.56	3.693	1.688	2.85	2.87	2.64	3.63
Ba	388	312	275	429	432	373.6	353.8	367	314	375
\mathbf{Sr}	189	207	130	168	93.41	154.5	139	78.8	191	135
Zr	133	139	133	125	114.6	121.7	117.9	131	132	131
Nb	4.69	4.71	4.68	4.06	3.639	3.96	3.871	5.35	6.7	5.3
Hf	3.14	3.6	3.21	2.76	3.327	3.558	3.485	3.2	3	3.2
Та	0.39	0.32	0.37	0.31	0.29	0.311	0.31	0.5	0.57	0.51
Pb	9.31	12.7	13.5	6.18	4.321	3.394	8.551	22.3	6.55	6.34
Th	2.04	2.52	2.44	2.06	2.132	2.477	2.433	2.53	2.6	2.56
U	0.62	0.7	0.67	0.61	0.59	0.667	0.627	0.67	0.63	0.58
V	209	203	216	202	167.1	158	148.4	186	188	192
Cr	154	147	169	151	178.9	170.6	200.6	194	179	183
Co	34.8	33.8	38.1	33.8	34.19	28.81	29.1	29.4	30.5	32.1
Ni	86.6	83.9	89.9	85.3	113.9	93.2	102.9	115	101	104
Y	31.3	28.4	25.8	23.6	22.74	28.9	28.46	20.3	30.2	27
Ga	18.2	18.6	16.1	16.8	14.71	14.06	15.41	17.3	16.7	17.4

注: FeO^T = Fe₂O₃ * 1. 1113; Mg[#] = 100 $n(Mg^{2+}) / [n(Mg^{2+}) + n(Fe^{2+})]$, Fe²⁺ 为全铁。



图 4 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩的 SiO₂—MgO 图(a)和 SiO₂—FeO^T/MgO 图(b)(底图据邓晋福等,2010,2015a) Fig. 4 Plots of SiO₂ vs. MgO (a) and SiO₂ vs. FeO^T/MgO (b) for high-Mg andesites from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi (modified after Deng Jinfu et al., 2010&, 2015a&)

化于 0.87~1.20(均小于 1.5), Al_2O_3 介于 15.31% ~ 16.77%(平均为 15.87%), CaO 为 2.46% ~ 6.73%(均小于 10%),与 Tatsumi 等(2001)定义的 高镁安山岩主量元素地球化学特征完全相符。此 外,火山岩样品还具有比较稳定的 TiO₂(0.83% ~ 0.97%)、MnO(0.11% ~ 0.14%)、P₂O₅(0.09% ~ 0.12%)和 Fe₂O₃T(6.93% ~ 8.62%), Na₂O 介于 2.85% ~ 4.82%, Na₂O/K₂O 普遍 > 1(最高达 4.05),表现为相对富 Na 低 K 的特点。在 Nb/Y— Zr/TiO₂ 岩石分类命名图解中(Winchester and Floyd, 1977),样品均位于安山岩区域内(图 3a)。 在 FeO^T/MgO 对 TiO₂ 图解中,所有样品均显示钙碱 性系列(图 3b)。在 SiO₂—MgO 和 SiO₂—FeO^T/MgO 图解中,样品位于日本 Setouchi 火山带的 Sanukitoids(高镁安山岩/HMA)范围和实验的高镁 安山岩/HMA 范围内(图 4)。

所分析样品的稀土元素含量较低,ΣREE 介于 83.61 ~120.86μg/g 之间,轻稀土略富集(LREE/



图 5 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩球粒陨石标准化稀土元素配分图(a)和原始地幔标准化微量元素蛛网图(b)(球粒陨石和 原始地幔的标准值据 McDonough and Sun, 1995)

Fig. 5 Chondrite-normalized REE diagrams (a) and primitive mantle-normalized trace element spidergrams (b) for high-Mg andesites from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi (normalization values after McDonough and Sun, 1995)

HREE) =1.10~1.81),轻重稀土分异不明显[(La/Yb)_N =2.24~3.95],在球粒陨石标准化的稀土元 素配分图上表现为不明显的右倾配分曲线,并具有 弱的 Eu 负异常(δ Eu =0.68~0.83)(图 5a)。在原 始地幔标准化的微量元素蛛网图上,明显亏损高场 强元素(HFSE)如 Nb、Ta、Ti、P等,而富集大离子亲 石元素(LILE)如 Rb、Ba等元素(图 5b),显示岛弧 岩浆岩特征。

此外,这些火山岩均具有高的 Cr(147~200μg/g)、Ni(85~115μg/g)、ΣHREE(13.94~21.16μg/g)含量,其低的 Sr 含量(78.8~207μg/g)、Sr/Y (3.9~7.3)及 La/Yb 比值 < 5.5,远低于一般 Adakite 的 Sr 含量(>300μg/g)和 Sr/Y 比值(>20) (Castillo, 2006),而更接近于日本 Setouchi 火山岩带的中新世高镁安山岩(Tatsumi, 1982, 2006; Tatsumi and Ishizaka, 1982a; Shimoda et al., 1998; Tatsumi and Hanyu, 2003)。

3.2 锆石年代学

选择樟树墩高镁安山岩(样品号 11ZSD-1-2)进 行了 LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U-Pb 法测年。所分选出来的 锆石均为透明无色,大多数为短柱状,只有少部分为 长柱状、不规则状,一般长轴在80~120µm之间,长 宽之比在1~2之间。在CL图像中,大部分锆石常 常表现出宽的、模糊的环带结构(图6)。对分选出 来的24颗锆石进行了分析,结果列于表2。它们的 Th 含量为 64.4~782µg/g,U 含量为 105~794 µg/ g,除11号点外,所有颗粒的Th/U值均变化于0.66 ~1.41 之间,为典型的岩浆锆石(Wu Yuanbao and Zheng Yongfei, 2004)。其中11 号测点具有较老的 ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb年龄,为1798 ±8Ma,应为捕获锆石;其它 23 个测点在误差范围内具有谐和的年龄,获得的 ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U加权平均年龄为794.8±6.0 Ma(n=23, MSWD = 3.7)(图7),代表了樟树墩高镁安山岩的 **暗发年龄**。



图 6 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩(11ZSD-1-2)锆石阴极发光(CL)图像 Fig. 6 CL images of zircons from Zhangshudun high-Mg andesite, NE Jiangxi (Sample 11ZSD-1-2)

3.3 锆石 Hf 同位素

对高镁安山岩样品 11ZSD-1-2 进行了 12 个点的锆石 Hf 同位素测定,点位同 U-Pb 测年点相对应, 分析结果列于表 3。测得锆石 颗粒的 $n(^{176}$ Lu)/ $n(^{177}$ Hf)和 $n(^{176}$ Hf)/ $n(^{177}$ Hf)比值范围较大,分别变化于 0.001779 ~ 0.002959 和 0.282591 ~ 0.282842,根据锆石的 LA-ICP-MS 年龄计算求得 Hf 同位素初始比值 $\varepsilon_{\rm Hf}(t)$ 值在 + 10.23 ~ + 17.79 之 间(计算方法参考王彦斌等,2010)。

4 讨论

4.1 岩石成因

对于高镁安山岩的形成机制,通常认为可能有 三种:

(1) 俯冲板片产生的熔体与地幔楔相互作用 (Stern & Hanson, 1991; Beakhouse et al., 1999)。 (2)伸展环境下的拆沉下地壳形成的熔体与上 覆地幔岩反应的产物(Smithies & Champion, 1999;Gao Shan et al., 2004)。

(3)含水地幔部分熔融的产物(Kushiro, 1974;Tatsumi, 1981; Defant & Drummond, 1990; 张旗等, 2004, 2005)。

现根据樟树墩高镁安山岩的地球化学特征,对 其可能的成因分析如下:

(1)由于俯冲板片在部分熔融过程中,石榴子 石往往作为残留相,而长石则会进入熔体,所产生的 岩浆往往具有异常高的 Sr、极低的 Y 和 Yb 含量,相 应地具有高的 Sr/Y、La/Yb 值(Defant and Drummoud, 1990; Defant et al., 2002),在 Sr/Y—Y 以及球粒陨石标准化的(La/Yb)_N—Yb_N 图解中(图 8)应位于"Adakite"区域。如前所述,樟树墩高镁安 山岩具极低的(La/Yb)_N、Sr/Y 和 Sr 含量,与俯冲板

表 2 樟树墩高镁安山岩(11ZSD-1-2)LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U—Th—Pb 同位素分析结果 Table 2 LA-ICP-MS zircon U—Th—Pb data of high-Mg andesite (Sample11ZSD-1-2) from Zhangshudun

	元素	含量(µ	Lg∕g)		同位素比值					年龄(Ma)					
测点	Pb	Th	U	$\frac{\mathrm{Th}}{\mathrm{U}}$	$\frac{n(\ ^{207} \text{Pb}^{*})}{n(\ ^{206} \text{Pb}^{*})}$		$\frac{n(^{207}\text{Pb}^{*})}{n(^{235}\text{U})}$		$\frac{n(^{206}{\rm Pb}^{*})}{n(^{238}{\rm U})}$		$\frac{n(^{206}\mathrm{Pb})}{n(^{238}\mathrm{U})}$		$\frac{n(^{207}{\rm Pb})}{n(^{206}{\rm Pb})}$		谐和度 (%)
					测值	±lσ	测值	±lσ	测值	±lσ	测值	±lσ	测值	±lσ	()-)
01	33.5	125	174	0.72	0.0667	0.0012	1. 1949	0.0236	0.1296	0.0015	828	39	786	8	98
02	26.1	103	130	0. 79	0.0673	0.0012	1.2320	0.0232	0.1327	0.0016	856	37	803	9	98
03	92.9	373	521	0.72	0.0695	0.0009	1.2432	0.0205	0.1290	0.0012	922	26	782	7	95
04	102.1	453	485	0. 93	0.0681	0.0009	1.2424	0. 0193	0.1318	0.0012	872	28	798	7	97
05	83.0	411	375	1.10	0.0668	0.0009	1.2008	0.0175	0.1299	0.0012	831	- 171	788	7	98
06	57.7	297	252	1.18	0.0668	0.0011	1.2133	0.0262	0.1305	0.0016	833	33	791	9	98
07	140	698	535	1.30	0.0662	0.0007	1.2541	0.0145	0.1371	0.0010	813	21	828	6	99
08	43.0	241	171	1.41	0.0676	0.0010	1.2080	0.0196	0.1294	0.0011	857	27	784	6	97
09	74.8	326	361	0.90	0.0664	0.0008	1. 1993	0.0165	0.1306	0.0010	820	31	791	6	98
10	41.6	171	187	0. 92	0.0664	0.0010	1.2477	0. 0219	0.1359	0.0014	820	33	821	8	99
11	243.6	203	553	0.37	0.1133	0.0010	5.4192	0.0517	0.3459	0.0021	1854	16	1915	10	98
12	36.3	141	187	0.76	0.0664	0.0010	1.1910	0.0194	0.1301	0.0011	820	31	789	6	99
13	18.8	60. 9	92.0	0.66	0.0666	0.0013	1.1980	0.0248	0.1302	0.0012	833	41	789	7	98
14	62.4	277	298	0. 93	0.0682	0.0011	1.2162	0.0213	0.1288	0.0012	876	33	781	7	96
15	88.4	439	411	1.07	0.0657	0.0008	1.1774	0.0156	0.1295	0.0010	798	24	785	6	99
16	48.9	202	252	0.80	0.0652	0.0009	1.1786	0.0187	0.1310	0.0014	789	30	794	8	99
17	36.7	134	188	0.72	0.0689	0.0023	1.2865	0. 0392	0.1356	0.0017	894	64	820	9	97
18	45.1	207	215	0.96	0.0666	0.0010	1.2078	0. 0193	0.1315	0.0013	833	30	796	7	99
19	40.3	202	166	1.21	0.0702	0.0014	1.3149	0. 0299	0.1353	0.0018	933	38	818	10	95
20	46.7	208	230	0. 91	0.0652	0.0011	1.1577	0.0196	0.1287	0.0013	789	33	781	7	99
21	27.9	98.9	147	0.67	0.0647	0.0011	1.1818	0.0195	0.1325	0.0014	765	234	802	8	98
22	36.1	161	167	0.96	0.0654	0.0011	1. 1913	0.0231	0.1316	0.0014	787	42	797	8	99
23	45.1	214	203	1.05	0.0660	0.0009	1.1890	0. 0198	0.1300	0.0014	807	30.4	788	8	99
24	38.7	163	187	0.87	0.0652	0.0010	1. 1922	0.0213	0.1320	0.0013	789	33.3	799	8	99

注:表中测点编号前省略了"10ZSD-1-2-"。



图 7 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩(11ZSD-1-2)LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U-Pb 年龄谐和图(a)和加权平均值(b) Fig. 7 Zircon U-Pb concordia diagram (a) and ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age plot (b) for high-Mg andesite (Sample 11ZSD-1-2) from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi



图 8 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩 Sr/Y—Y 图解(a)和球粒陨石标准化的(La/Yb)_N— Yb_N图解(b) (底图据 Defant and Drummond, 1990)

Fig. 8 Plots of Sr/Y vs. Y (a) and Zr/(La/Yb) $_N$ vs. Yb $_N$ (b) of high-Mg and esites from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi (after Defant and Drummond, 1990)

片来源的 Adakite 截然不同,在 Sr/Y—Y 以及(La/Yb)_N—Yb_N 图解中均落于正常岛弧火山岩范围内(图8),因此可排除其属于上述俯冲板片熔体与地 幔楔相互作用的第一种可能成因。

(2)研究表明,在伸展环境下,拆沉下地壳榴辉 岩部分熔融产生的熔体与地幔相互作用可形成具高 Sr/Y的 adakite 特征岩石(Defant et al., 2002),这 同样与樟树墩高镁安山岩的低 Sr/Y 特征不符;另 外,拆沉下地壳熔体的锆石 Hf 同位素应较为富集, 而樟树墩高镁安山岩的锆石 Hf 同位素异常亏损。 因此,也可排除其属于拆沉下地壳来源的第二种可 能成因。

(3)樟树墩高镁安山岩具有较高的 MgO
(6.39%~8.76%)、Cr(147~200μg/g)、Ni(85~
115μg/g)含量,暗示其成因与地幔熔融或含有较多地幔组分有关。实验岩石学研究表明,高镁安山岩不可能来自于干的地幔橄榄岩的熔融,只可能来自于地幔岩—H₂O体系的熔融(Tatsumi, 1981;邓晋福

等,2010,2015b)。因此,推断它们应属于上述第三 种成因,是来自俯冲板片释放的流体交代地幔楔形 成的含水地幔源区。

世界上最为经典的高镁安山岩是日本西南 Setouchi 中新世火山岩带的 Sanukitoids,以高 Mg[#]值 (>60)、较高 Cr、Ni 含量为特征,富集 LILE 和 LREE,通常具弱的负 Eu 异常,亏损 HFSE,具地幔 熔融的初始岩浆性质,是由含水富集地幔部分熔融 形成的,产于弧前环境(Tatsumi, 1982, 2006; Tatsumi and Ishizaka, 1982a; Shimoda et al., 1998; Tatsumi and Hanyu, 2003)。樟树墩高镁安山岩具 有与 Setouchi 火山带中的 Sanukitoids 极为相似的 MgO、Cr、Ni 含量及地球化学特征,暗示两者具有较 为一致的成因。此外,樟树墩高镁安山岩具有异常 亏损的锆石 $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ (+10.23~+17.79),类似于洋 岛玄武岩(OIB)或洋中脊玄武岩(MORB)的特征, 并接近于亏损地幔演化线(图9),说明不仅其地幔 楔源区是极度亏损的 MORB 型地幔,而且俯冲板片 也是较新鲜的热的洋壳,因而其释放的俯冲流体也 相对亏损,暗示其形成环境为大洋岛弧(洋内弧): 且可能由于俯冲流体对地幔楔的不均匀交代作用, 导致高镁安山岩的锆石 $\varepsilon_{\rm HI}(t)$ 具有一定的变化范 围。

综上所述,樟树墩高镁安山岩最为可能是亏损 地幔楔在受到俯冲板片来源的含 H₂O 流体交代后, 发生部分熔融的产物,形成于大洋岛弧(洋内弧)环 境。

4.2 大地构造意义

华南前寒武纪大地构造格局的演化,特别是江



图 9 赣东北樟树墩高镁安山岩(11ZSD-1-2) 锆石 U-Pb 年龄和 Hf 同位素相关图

Fig. 9 Plot of $\epsilon_{\rm Hf}\,(\,t\,)\,$ vs. $^{206}\,Pb/^{238}\,U$ age of zircons from high-Mg andesites in Zhangshudun, NE Jiangxi (Sample 11ZSD-1-2)

南造山带的形成演化、华夏与扬子两大陆块碰撞拼 合时间和过程等,长期以来是学术界关注的热点。 根据造山带内新元古代褶皱基底与上覆盖层之间的 区域不整合面、赣东北和皖南蛇绿岩、浙北双溪坞岛 弧火山岩、赣东北蓝闪石片岩、新元古代花岗岩等的 研究(Zhou Guoqing, 1989; 程海等,1993; Shu Liangshu et al., 1994; Wu Rongxin et al., 2006; Zheng Yongfei et al., 2008; 丁炳华等,2008; Li Xianhua et al., 2003, 2009; 薛怀民等,2010; Zhang Shaobing et al., 2012),一般认为扬子与华夏陆块拼 合于新元古代早中期,包括了约 970~880 Ma 的岛

able 3 Zircon Hf isotopic compositions of high-Mg a	andesite (sample 11ZSD-1-2)	from Zhangshudun, NE Jiangx
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

		(M_{\star}) $n(^{176} \text{Yb})$	n(¹⁷⁶ Lu)	$n({}^{176}\mathrm{Hf})/n({}^{177}\mathrm{Hf})$		$\left[\frac{n(^{176}\mathrm{Lu})}{2}\right]$	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{Hf}}(t)$		$T_{\rm DM}$	$T_{\rm DM}{}^{\rm C}$	C f
测点亏 平瞭(Ma)		$\overline{n(^{177}\mathrm{Hf})}$	$\overline{n(^{177}\mathrm{Hf})}$	测值	1 σ	$\left[\overline{n(^{177}\mathrm{Hf})} \right]_{\mathrm{i}}$	测值	1 σ	(Ga)	(Ga)	J Lu∕ Hf
11ZSD-1-2-1	786	0.08273	0.002542	0.282752	0.000013	0.282715	15.33	0.45	0.74	0.72	-0.92
11ZSD-1-2-2	803	0.06468	0.002157	0.282766	0.000013	0.282733	16.37	0.46	0.71	0.66	-0.94
11ZSD-1-2-7	828	0.29149	0.007152	0.282842	0.000013	0.282730	16.83	0.45	0.70	0.65	-0.78
11ZSD-1-2-8	784	0.11992	0.002848	0.282807	0.000016	0.282765	17.10	0.57	0.66	0.60	-0.91
11ZSD-1-2-10	821	0.11992	0.002848	0.282766	0.000013	0.282722	16.36	0.45	0.73	0.68	-0.91
11ZSD-1-2-12	789	0.06883	0.001779	0.282752	0.000013	0.282725	15.77	0.45	0.72	0.69	-0.95
11ZSD-1-2-18	796	0.10877	0.002806	0.282819	0.000014	0.282778	17.79	0.49	0.64	0.56	-0.92
11ZSD-1-2-20	781	0.07556	0.002265	0.282758	0.000010	0.282725	15.58	0.35	0.72	0.70	-0.93
11ZSD-1-2-21	802	0.06720	0.001997	0.282591	0.000015	0.282560	10.23	0.51	0.96	1.06	-0.94
11ZSD-1-2-22	797	0.07646	0.002102	0.282733	0.000009	0.282701	15.11	0.32	0.76	0.74	-0.94
11ZSD-1-2-23	788	0.10681	0.002959	0.282766	0.000014	0.282722	15.64	0.47	0.73	0.70	-0.91
11ZSD-1-2-24	799	0.08816	0.002375	0.282725	0.000011	0.282689	14.73	0.39	0.78	0.77	-0.93

注:表中各参数的计算公式及有关常数值见王彦斌等,2010。

弧岩浆活动、~870~850 Ma的陆—陆拼接及~850 ~760Ma的后造山伸展(Wang Xiaolei et al., 2006, 2012)或者地幔柱活动(Li et al., 1999, 2003; Li Xianhua et al., 2003, 2010)等过程。尽管对于造山 阶段及其时限的认识各有不同,但是多数学者认为 华夏与扬子陆块于约830~820Ma完成碰撞拼接。

在江南造山带东段研究中,扬子陆块东南缘的 双溪坞弧和以双桥山群和溪口群沉积岩系为代表的 新元古代弧后盆地被赋予了特别重要的意义,赣东 北蛇绿岩带也被认为是弧后洋盆关闭的产物(Chen Jiangfeng et al., 1991; Li Xianhua et al., 2009)。一 般认为,新元古代期间,华南洋向扬子陆块北西向俯 冲,导致双溪坞弧和华夏陆块与扬子陆块碰撞,赣东 北一皖南地区以双桥山群和溪口群为代表的弧后盆 地关闭,在东乡一德兴一带形成赣东北蛇绿岩带 (Shu Liangshu et al., 1994;舒良树等,2012;Charvet et al., 1996; Ye Meifang et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; Li Wuxian et al., 2008)。因此,赣东北蛇绿 岩带的形成时限,是认识江南造山带构造演化、乃至 扬子与华夏陆块碰撞过程的关键所在。

需要指出的是,双溪坞弧与西北侧的双桥山群 和溪口群是否构成配套的弧盆体系? 这仍是值得进 一步探讨的问题。一方面,双溪坞弧与双桥山群和 溪口群在时代上存在明显差异。双溪坞群属典型的 活动大陆边缘钙碱性系列火山弧,形成时代为~ 970~890Ma(Li Xianhua et al., 2009), 明显早于双 桥山群和溪口群(850~800Ma)(王剑,2000; Wang Jian & Li Zhengxiang, 2003; Yao Jinlong et al., 2013; 李双应等,2014);另一方面,双桥山群和溪口群硅 质碎屑岩的化学成分显示其沉积于活动陆缘(黄修 保等,2003),它们的火山岩均有明显的岛弧岩浆岩 属性,推断应属岩浆弧(Wang Xiaolei et al., 2013)。 这说明,双桥山群和溪口群可能不是与双溪坞弧相 配套的弧后盆地沉积。此外,浙西北地区双溪坞群 曾被认为层位相当于双桥山群和溪口群,其上覆骆 家门组则为沉积盖层,它们之间的"神功运动"面则 是与四堡运动面、晋宁运动面可对比的区域性不整 合面,骆家门组底部的砾岩属底砾岩(马瑞士和张 健康,1977;Li Xianhua et al.,2009);而最近的研究 认为骆家门组底部砾岩可能为水下扇的主沟道沉 积,缺乏底砾岩的特征,其底面也主要为侵蚀冲刷面 或断层面,并非不整合面;且骆家门组底部凝灰岩锆 石年龄为855~860Ma,时代早于双桥山群和溪口 群;同时双溪坞群与双桥山群、溪口岩群沉积地层的 碎屑锆石年龄谱也截然不同,指示它们具有不同构造热演化史(周效华等,2014)。因此,双溪坞弧并非对应于赣东北一皖南弧后盆地的"弧",而可能为外来增生弧(Yin Changqing et al., 2013),这意味着赣东北蛇绿岩可能并非弧后洋盆关闭的标志。

前人研究表明,在赣东北蛇绿岩带中,存在多期 代表蛇绿岩构造就位的年龄信息。如:Li Wuxian et al. (2008)获得西湾仰冲花岗岩的 SHRIMP 锆石 U-Pb 法年龄为 880 ± 19Ma; Shu Liangshu 等(1994) 报 道了西湾蓝闪石片岩 K-Ar 年龄为 866 ± 14Ma; 胡世 玲等(1992)报道了西湾糜棱岩化钠长花岗岩中青 铝闪石的40Ar-39Ar 高温阶段年龄为 799Ma。这些年 龄是否暗示了赣东北蛇绿岩并非由单个洋盆关闭形 成,而是多个小洋盆陆续关闭形成的?此外,笔者报 道了赣东北蛇绿岩带的形成时代为1061Ma(王存智 等,2015),结合本次樟树墩~800Ma 高镁安山岩的 发现,推断赣东北地区可能自中元古代末即存在洋 盆,并一直持续到青白口纪末期,如此持续存在长达 200Ma的洋盆,我们推断可能为古南华洋的分支洋, 包括了一系列先后关闭的小洋盆,并迟至~800Ma 之后才最终关闭,形成赣东北蛇绿岩带。

值得一提的是,在江南造山带西段和中段,也有 ~830Ma 高镁闪长岩(Chen Xin et al., 2014)和~ 825Ma 的高镁安山岩(Zhang Yuzhi et al., 2013)的 报道,樟树墩高镁安山岩与它们之间的关系如何,也 是值得进一步研究的。此外,前人研究表明,扬子陆 块周缘在新元古代广泛发育岩浆活动,它们的年龄 呈现两个峰值,分别为 830~ 800Ma 和 780~ 740Ma,其中早期岩浆事件(830~800Ma)对应大洋 俯冲至弧—陆碰撞阶段(Zhang Shaobing et al., 2013a),指示这一俯冲过程可能持续至~800Ma (Yan Zhen et al., 2010; Dong Yupeng et al., 2012; 敖文昊等, 2014)、甚至可能到 740Ma (Zhao Junhong& Zhou Meifu, 2008; Sun Weihua, et al., 2008)。因此,樟树墩~800Ma 高镁安山岩的发现, 意味着当时赣东北地区属于扬子陆块周缘俯冲带的 组成部分。最近,在扬子陆块东南缘的浙江绍兴一 诸暨一金华一线,还新厘定出一套新元古代(930~ 790Ma) 陆缘弧型 TTG 组合, 指示直到~790Ma 扬子 陆块东南缘仍受到强烈的洋壳俯冲(姜杨等, 2014),其最晚时代与本文报道的樟树墩高镁安山 岩时代基本一致,表明~800Ma 时双溪坞弧两侧均 可能同时存在大洋,并未增生到扬子陆块之上,这也 暗示扬子与华夏两大陆块尚未发生碰撞。

综上所述,赣东北樟树墩~800Ma 高镁安山岩 的发现,对于重新认识江南造山带的构造格局及其 演化、扬子与华夏两大陆块碰撞拼贴时限等,均具有 重要的科学意义。

5 结论

(1)樟树墩安山岩属于典型的高镁安山岩,其 LA-ICP-MS 锆石 U-Pb 年龄为 794.8±6.0 Ma,代表 了高镁安山岩的喷发时代。

(2)岩石地球化学及锆石 Hf 同位素研究表明, 樟树墩高镁安山岩为受俯冲洋壳释放的含 H2O 流 体交代的地幔楔后,发生部分熔融的产物,形成于大 洋岛弧(洋内弧)构造环境。

(3)赣东北蛇绿岩带新元古代高镁安山岩的发现,指示~800Ma时双溪坞弧尚未增生到扬子东南缘,暗示扬子和华夏两大陆块此时尚未碰撞拼合。

致谢:本文研究工作得到中国科学院地质与地 球物理研究所张旗研究员和南京大学王孝磊教授的 热心指导,锆石测年得到合肥工业大学闫峻教授的 悉心指点,野外工作得到东华理工大学余达淦教授 和林子瑜教授的大力帮助,在此一并表示感谢!

参考文献 / References

(The literature whose publishing year followed by a "&" is in Chinese with English abstract; the literature whose publishing year followed by a "#" is in Chinese without English abstract)

- 敖文昊,张宇昆,张瑞英,赵燕,孙勇. 2014. 新元古代扬子北缘地 壳增生事件:来自汉南祖师店奥长花岗岩地球化学、锆石 LA-ICP-MS U-Pb 年代学和 Hf 同位素证据. 地质论评,60(6): 1393~1408.
- 白文吉,甘启高,杨经绥,邢凤鸣,徐祥.1986.江南古陆东南缘蛇 绿岩完整层序剖面的发现和基本特征. 岩石矿物学杂志,5 (4):289~299.
- 程海. 1993. 浙西北晚元古代岛弧火山岩的地球化学研究. 地球化 学, 1:18~27.
- 邓晋福,刘翠,冯艳芳,肖庆辉,苏尚国,赵国春,孔维琼,曹文燕. 2010. 高镁安山岩/闪长岩类(HMA)和镁安山岩/闪长岩类 (MA):与洋俯冲作用相关的两类典型的火成岩类.中国地质, 37(4):1112~1118.
- 邓晋福,刘翠,冯艳芳,肖庆辉,狄永军,苏尚国,赵国春,段培新,戴 蒙. 2015a. 关于火成岩常用图解的正确使用:讨论与建议. 地 质论评,61(4):717~734.
- 邓晋福,冯艳芳,狄永军,刘翠,肖庆辉,苏尚国,赵国春,孟斐,马帅, 姚图. 2015b. 岩浆弧火成岩构造组合与洋陆转换. 地质论评, 61(3):473~484.
- 丁炳华, 史仁灯, 支霞臣, 郑磊, 陈雷. 2008. 江南造山带存在新元 古代(~850 Ma) 俯冲作用——来自皖南 SSZ 型蛇绿岩锆石 SHRIMP U- Pb 年龄证据. 岩石矿物学杂志, 27(5): 375~388.
- 耿建珍, 李怀坤, 张健, 周红英, 李惠民. 2011. 锆石 Hf 同位素组成的 LA-MC-ICP-MS 测定. 地质通报, 30(10): 1508~1513.

- 郭令智,施央申,马瑞士,1980. 华南大地构造格架和地壳演化.见 第26届国际地质大会国际交流学术论文集,北京:地质出版 社,109~116.
- 何科昭, 聂泽同, 赵崇贺, 叶木丹, 周正国, 乐昌硕, 邰道乾. 2000. 赣东北晚古生代放射虫化石综述. 现代地质(中国地质大学研 究生院学报), 14(1): 1~7.
- 侯可军, 李延河, 邹天人, 曲晓明, 石玉若, 谢桂青. 2007. LA-MC-ICP-MS 锆石 Hf 同位素的分析方法及地质应用. 岩石学报, 23 (10): 2595~2604.
- 胡世玲, 邹海波, 周新民. 1992. 江南元古宙碰撞造山带的两个 40Ar/39Ar 年龄值. 科学通报, 37(3): 286~286.
- 黄修保,余忠珍,邹国庆. 2003. 赣西北地区中元古界双桥山群沉 积学特征. 地质通报, 22(1):43~49.
- 姜扬,赵希林,林寿发, Donald W. DAVIS, 邢光福,李龙明,段政. 2014. 扬子克拉通东南缘新元古代陆缘弧型 TTG 的厘定及其构 造意义. 地质学报,88(8):1461~1474.
- 李双应,杨欣,程成,王松,卢艳林,Lassiter JC,彭亮. 2014. 论皖 南地区前寒武纪浅变质岩系地层层序. 地层学杂志, 38(1):77 ~94.
- 李献华,周国庆,赵建新. 1994. 赣东北蛇绿岩的离子探针锆石 U-Pb 年龄及其构造意义. 地球化学,23(2):125~131.
- 刘颖, 刘海臣, 李献华. 1996. 用 ICP-MS 准确测量岩石样品中的 40 余种微量元素. 地球化学, 25(6):552~558.
- 马瑞士和张健康. 1977. 浙东北前寒武系划分及神功运动的发现——兼论华南前寒武系研究中若干方法论问题. 南京大学学报(自然科学版),(1):68~90.舒良树. 2012. 华南构造演化基本特征. 地质通报,31:1035~1053.
- 王博, 舒良树. 2001. 对赣东北晚古生代放射虫的初步认识. 地质 论评, 47(4): 337~344.
- 王存智, 邢光福, 余明刚, 黄志忠, 洪文涛, 赵希林, 周效华, 段政, 鞠冬梅. 2015. 赣东北蛇绿岩形成时代及构造环境: 樟树墩辉 长岩锆石 U-Pb 年龄、Hf 同位素和地球化学约束. 岩石矿物学杂 志, 34(3): 309~321.
- 王彦斌,王登红,韩娟,雷泽恒,陈郑辉,屈文俊,许以明,资柏忠,王清 利. 2010. 汝城高坳背钨—钼矿区花岗岩锆石 U-Pb 年龄、Hf 同 位素及矿石辉钼矿 Re-Os 年龄. 地质论评,56(6):820~830.
- 吴浩若. 2003. 赣东北蛇绿岩带相关地质问题的构造古地理分析. 古地理学报,5(3):328~342.
- 吴新华,楼法生,王玉净,等. 2004. 赣东北地区不同时代硅质岩的 地球化学特征及其地质意义. 高校地质学报,10(2):209~ 216.
- 邢凤鸣,徐祥,陈江峰,陈江峰,周泰禧,Foland K A. 1992. 赣东北 元古代蛇绿岩 Sm-Nd 同位素年龄及地质意义. 岩石矿物学杂 志,11(2):120~124.
- 徐备,乔广生.1989. 赣东北晚元古代蛇绿岩套的 Sm-Nd 同位素年 龄及原始构造环境.南京大学学报(地球科学),25(3):108~ 114.
- 薛怀民,马芳,宋永勤,谢亚平.2010. 江南造山带东段新元古代花 岗岩组合的年代学和地球化学:对扬子与华夏地块拼合时间与 过程的约束.岩石学报.26(11):3215~3244.
- 严峻,彭戈,刘建敏,李全忠,陈志洪,史磊,刘晓强,姜子朝. 2012. 下扬子繁昌地区花岗岩成因:锆石年代学和 Hf—0 同位 素制约. 岩石学报,28(10): 3209~3227.
- 张旗, 王焰, 钱青, 翟明国, 金惟浚, 王元龙, 简平. 2004. 晚太古代 Sanukite(赞岐岩)与地球早期演化. 岩石学报, 20:1355~1362.
- 张旗,钱青,翟明国,金惟浚,王焰,简平,王元龙. 2005. Sanukite (赞岐岩)的地球化学特征、成因及其地球动力学意义. 岩石矿

物杂志, 25(2):118~125.

- 赵崇贺,何科昭,莫宣学.1995.赣东北深断裂带蛇绿混杂岩中含晚古生代放射虫硅质岩的发现及其意义.科学通报,40(23):2161~2163.
- 赵建新,李献华, McCulloch M T, 周国庆, 邢凤鸣. 1995. 皖南和赣 东北蛇绿岩成因及其大地构造意义元素和 Sm-Nd 同位素制约. 地球化学, 24(4): 311~326.
- 周效华,高天山,马雪,邢光福,袁强. 2014. 再聚焦"神功运动". 资源调查与环境,35(2):封二.
- Ao Wenhao, Zhang Yukun, Zhang Ruiying, Zhao Yan, Sun Yong. 2014&. Neoproterozoic crustal accretion of the Northern margin of Yangtze plate: constrains from geochemical characteristics, LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb chronology and Hf isotopic compositions of trondhjemite from Zushidian area, Hannan region. Geological Review, 60(6): 1393 ~ 1408.
- Bai Wenji, Gan Qigao, Yang Jingsui, Xing Fongming, Xu Xiang. 1986&. Discover of well-reserved ophiolite and its basical characters in Southeastern margin of the Jiangnan ancient continent. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica, 5(4): 289 ~ 299.
- Black L P and Gulson B L. 1978. The age of the Mud Tank carbonatite, Strangways Range, Northern Territory. BMR J. Aust. Geol. Geophys., 3: 227 ~ 232.
- Castillo P R. 2006. An overview of adakite petrogenesis. Chinese Science Bulletin, 51: 257 ~ 268.
- Charvet J, Shu L S, Shi Y S, Guo L Z, Faure M. 1996. The building of south China: collision of Yangzi and Cathaysia blocks, problems and tentative answers. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 13(3~5): 223 ~235.
- Cheng Hai. 1993&. Geochemistry of Proterozoic island-arc volcanic rocks in northwest ZheJiang. Geochimica, 1: 18 ~ 27.
- Chen Jiangfeng, Foland K A, Xing Fengming, Xu Xiang, Zhou Taixi. 1991. Magmatism along the southeastern margin of the Yangtze block: Precambrian collision of the Yangtze and Cathaysia blocks of China. Geology, 19: 815 ~ 818.
- Chen Xin, Wang Di, Wang Xiaolei, Gao Jianfeng, Shu Xujie, Zhou Jincheng, Qi Liang. 2014. Neoproterozoic chromite-bearing high-Mg diorites in the western part of the Jiangnan orogen, southern China: Geochemistry, petrogenesis and tectonic implications. Lithos, 200 ~ 201: 35 ~ 48.
- Defant M J & Drummond M S. 1990. Derivation of some modern arc magmas by melting of young subducted lithosphere. Nature, 347: 662~665.
- Defant M J, Xu J F, Kepezhinskas P, Wang Q, Zhang Q, Xiao L. 2002. Adakites: some variations on a theme. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 18(2): 129 ~ 142.
- Deng Jinfu, Liu Cui, Feng Yanfang, Xiao Qinghui, Su Shangguo, Zhao Guochun, Kong Weiqiong, Cao Wenxian. 2010&. High magnesian andesitic/dioritic rocks (HMA) and magnesian andesitic/dioritic rocks (MA) two igneous rock types related to oceanic subduction. Geology in China, 37(4): 1112~1118.
- Deng Jinfu, Liu Cui, Feng Yanfang, Xiao Qinghui, Di Yongjun, Su Shangguo, Zhao Guochun, Duan Peixin, Dai Meng. 2015a&. On the correct application in the common igneous petrological diagrams: discussion and suggestion, Geological Review, 61(4):717 ~734.
- Deng Jinfu, Feng Yanfang, Di Yongjun, Liu Cui, Xiao Qinghui, Su Shangguo, Meng Fei, Ma Shuai, Yao Tu. 2015b&. Magmatic arc and ocean—continent transition: Discussion. Geological Review, 61 (3): 473 ~ 484.

- Ding Binghua, Shi Rendeng, Zhi Xiachen, Zheng Lei, Chen Lei. 2008 &. Neoproterozoic (~ 850Ma) subduction in the Jiangnan orogen:evidence from the SHRIMP U-Pb dating of the SSZ-type ophiolite in southern Anhui Province. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogical, 27(5): 375 ~ 388.
- Dong Yunpeng, Liu Xiaoming, Santosh M, Chen Qing, Zhang Xiaoning, He Dengfeng, Zhang Guowei. 2012. Neoproterozoic accretionary tectonics along the northwestern margin of the Yangtze Block, China: Constraints from zircon U-Pb geochronology and geochemistry. Precambrian Research, 196 ~ 197: 247 ~ 274.
- Elhlou S, Belousova E, Griffin W L, Pearson N J. 2006. Trace element and isotopic composition of GJ-red zircon standard by laser ablation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70(18): A158.
- Gao Jun, Reiner Klemd, Long Lingli, Xiong Xianming, Qian Qing. Adakitic signature formed by fractional crystallization: An interpretation for the Neo-Proterozoic meta-plagiogramites of the NE Jiangxi ophiolitic mélange belt, South China. Lithos, 2009, 110: 277 ~ 293.
- Gao Shan, Rudnick R L, Yuan Hongling, Liu Xiaoming, Liu Yongsheng, Xu Wenliang, Ling Wenli, Ayers J, Wang Xuanche, Wang Qinghai. 2004. Recycling lower continental crust in the North China craton. Nature, 432(7019): 892 ~ 897.
- Geng Jianzhen, Li Huaikun, Zhang Jian, Zhou Hongying, Li Huiming. 2011&. Zircon Hf isotope analysis by means of LA-MC-ICP-MS. Geological Bulletin of China, 30(10): 1508 ~1513.
- Guo Lingzhi, Shi Yangshen, Ma Ruishi. 1980&. The geotectonic frameworkand crustal evolution of South China. In: Scientific Paper on Geology for International Exchange. J. Geological Publishing House, Beijing, 109 ~ 116.
- He Kezhao, Nie Zetong, Zhao Chonghe, Ye Mudan, Zhou Zhengguo, Le Changshuo, Tai Daoqian. 2000 &. Discovering Fossils on the late paleozoic radiolarian in Northeast Jiangxi province. Geoscience (Journal of Graduate School, China University of Geosciences), 14 (1): 1~7.
- Hou Kejun, Li Yanhe, Zou Tianren, Qu Xiaoming, Shi Yuruo, Xie Guiqing. 2007&. Laser ablation-MC-ICP-MS technique for Hf isotope microanalysis of zircon and its geological applications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 23(10): 2595 ~ 2604.
- Hsü K J, Sun S, Li J L, Chen H H, Peng H P, Sengor A M C. 1988. Mesozoic overthrust tectonics in South China. Geology, 16: 418 ~ 421.
- Hsü K J, Li J L, Chen H H, Wang Q C, Sun S, Sengoe A M C. 1990. Tectonics of South China: key to understanding West-Pacific geology. Tectonophysics, 183: 9 ~ 39.
- Hu Shiling, Zou Haibo, Zhou Xinmin. 1992#. Two 40Ar/39Ar ages of the Proterozoic Jiangnan orogenic belt. Science Bulletin, 37 (3): 286 ~ 286.
- Huang Xiubao, Yu Zhongzhen, Zhou Guoqing. 2003 &. Sedimentary features of the Mesoproterozoic Shuangqiaoshan Group in northwestern Jiangxi. Geological Bulletin of China, 22 (1): 43 ~ 49.
- Jackson S E, Pearson N J, Griffin W L, Belousova E A. 2004. The application of laser ablation microprobe-inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry (LAM-ICP-MS) to in situ U-Pb zircon geochronology. Chemical Geology, 211: 47~69.
- Jiang Yang, Zhao Xilin, Lin Shoufa, Donald W. DAVIS, Xing Guangfu, Li Longming, Duan Zheng. 2014 &. Identification and tectonic implication of Neoproterozoic continental margin-arc TTG assemblage

in Southeastern margin of the Yangtze carton. Acta Geological Sinica, 88(8): 1461 ~ 1474.

- Kamei A, Owada M, Nagao T, Shiraki K. 2004. High-Mg diorites derived from sanukite HMA magmas, Kyushu Island, southwest Japan arc: Evidence from clinoproxene and whole rock compositions. Lithos, 75: 359 ~ 371.
- Kushiro I. 1974. Melting of hydrous upper mantle and possible generation of andesitic magma: approach from synthetic systems. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 22: 294 ~ 299.
- Li Shuangying, Yang Xin, Cheng Cheng, Wang Song, Lu Yanlin, Lassiter J. C. and Peng Liang. 2014&. On the stratigraphic sequences of Precambrian weak metamorphic rock series in south Anhui, China. Journal of Stratigraphy, 38(1): 77~94.
- Li Xianhua, Zhou Guoqing, Zhao Jianxin, C M Fanning, W Compston. 1994&. SHRIMP ion microprobe zircon U-Pb age of the NE Jiangxi Ophiolite and its tectonic implications. Geochimica, 23(2): 125 ~ 131.
- Li Xianhua, Zhao Jianxin, McCulloch M T, Zhou Guoqing, Xing Fengming. 1997. Geochemical and Sm-Nd isotopic study of Neoproterozoic ophiolites from southeastern China: petrogenesis and tectonic implications. Precambrian Research, 81(1~2): 129~ 144.
- Li Xianhua, Li Zhengxiang, Ge Wenchun, Zhou Hanwen, Li Wuxian, Liu Ying, Wingate M. T. D. 2003. Neoproterozoic granitoids in South China: crustal melting above a mantle plume at ca. 825 Ma? Precambrian Research, 122: 45 ~ 83.
- Li Xianhua, Li Wuxian, Li Zhengxiang, Lo Chinghua, Wang Jian, Ye Meifang, Yang Yueheng. 2009. Amalgamation between the Yangtze and Cathaysia Blocks in South China: constraints from SHRIMP U-Pb zircon ages, geochemistry and Nd—Hf isotopes of the Shuangxiwu volcanic rocks. Precambrian Research, 174: 117 ~ 128.
- Li Xianhua, Li Wuxian, Li Qiuli, Wang Xuance, Liu Yu, Yang Yueheng. 2010. Petrogenesis and tectonic significance of the ~ 850Ma Gangbian alkaline complex in South China: evidence from in situ zircon U-Pb dating, Hf—O isotopes and whole-rock geochemistry. Lithos, 114: 1 ~ 15.
- Li Wuxian and Li Xianhua. 2003. Adakitic granites within the NE Jiangxi ophiolites, South China: geochemical and Nd isotopic evidence. Precambrian Research, 122: 29 ~44.
- Li Wuxian, Li Xianhua, Li Zhengxiang, Lou Fasheng. 2008. Obduction-type granites within the NE Jiangxi Ophiolite: Implications for the final amalgamation between the Yangtze and Cathaysia Blocks. Gondwana Research, 13(3): 288 ~ 301.
- Li Z X, Li X H, Kinny P D, Wang J. 1999. The breakup of Rodinia: did it start with a mantle plume beneath South China? Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 173: 171 ~181.
- Li Z X, Li X H, Kinny P D, et al. 2003. Geochronology of Neoproterozoic syn-rift magmatism in the Yangtze Craton, South China and correlations with other continents: Evidence for a mantle superplume that broke up Rodinia. Precambrian Research, 122: 85 ~109.
- Li Z X, Wartho J A, Occhipinti S, Zhang C L, Li X H, Wang J, Bao C M. 2007. Early history of the eastern Sibao Orogen (South China) during the assembly of Rodinia: new mica 40Ar/39Ar dating and SHRIMP U-Pb detrital zircon provenance constraints. Precambrian Research, 159: 79 ~94.
- Liu Ying, Liu Haichen, Li Xianhua. 1996 &. Simultaneous and precise

determination of 40 trace elements in rock samples using ICP-MS. Geochimica, 25(6): 552 ~ 558.

- Ma Ruishi and Zhang Jiankang. 1997#. A subdivision of the Precambrian in northeast Zhejiang and the discovery of Shengong movement, also on the methodology of studies on Precambrian in Southern China. Journal of Nanjing University(Earth Sciences), (1): 68 ~90.
- McDonough W F and Sun S S. 1995. The composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology, 120: 223 ~ 253.
- Miyashiro A., 1974. Volcanic rock series in island arc and active continental margins. American Journal of Science, 274: 321 ~355.
- Shimoda G, Tstsumi Y, Nohda S, Ishizaka K, Jahn B M. 1998. Setouchi high-Mg andesites revisited: Geochemical evidence for melting of subducting sediments. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 160(3~4): 479~492.
- Shu Liangshu, Zhou Guoqing, Shi Yangshen, Yin Jun. 1994. Study on the high-pressure metamorphic blueschist and its Late Proterozoic age in the eastern Jiangnan belt. Chinese Science Bulletin, 39: 1200 ~ 1204.
- Shu Liangshu. 2012&. An analysis of principal features of tectonic evolution in South China Block. Geological Bulletin of China, 31 (7): 1035 ~1053.
- Sun S S, McDonough W R. 1989. Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalt: implications for mantle composition and processes. In: Sanders A D, Norry M J, eds. Magmatism in the Ocean Basins. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 42: 313 ~ 345.
- Smithies R H & Champion D C. 1999. High-Mg diorite from the Archaean Pilbara Craton: anorogenic magmas derived from a subduction—modified mantle. Geological Survey of Western Australia, Annual Review 1998 ~ 1999, 45 ~ 59.
- Sun Weihua, Zhou Meifu, Yan Danping, Li Jianwei, Ma Yuxiao. 2008. Provenance and tectonic setting of the Neoproterozoic Yanbian Group, western Yangtze Block (SW China). Precambrian Res., 167: 213 ~ 236.
- Tatsumi Y. 1981. Melting experiments on a high-magnesian andesite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 54(2): 357 ~ 365
- Tatsumi Y and Ishizaka K. 1981. Existence of andesitic primary magma: An example from southwest Japan. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 53(1): 124 ~ 130.
- Tatsumi Y. 1982. Origin of high-magnesian andesites in the Setouchi volcanic belt, southwest Japan, II. Melting phase relations at high pressures. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 60(2): 305 ~ 317.
- Tatsumi Y and Ishizaka K. 1982a. Origin of high-magnesian andesites in the Setouchi volcanic belt, southwest Japan, I. Petrographical and chemical characteristics. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 60 (2): 293 ~ 304.
- Tatsumi Y and Ishizaka K. 1982b. Magnesian andesite and basalt from Shodo—Shinma island, Southwest Japan, and their bearing on the genesis of calc-allkaline andesites. Lithos, 15: 161 ~ 172.
- Tatsumi Y. 2001. Geochemical modeling of partial melting of subducting sediments and subsequent melt—mantle interaction: Generation of high-Mg and esites in the Setouchi volcanic belt, Southwest Japan. Geology, 29: 323 ~ 326.
- Tatsumi Y and Hanyu Y. 2003. Geochemical modeling of dehydration and partial melting of subducting lithosphere: Toward a comprehensive understanding of high-Mg andesite formation in the Setouchi volcanic belt, SW Japan. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 4(9): 1081.
- Tatsumi Y. 2006. High-Mg andesites in the Setouchi volcanic belt,

southwestern Japan: Analogy to Archean magmatism and continental crust formation? Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 34: 467 ~499.

- Wang Bo and Shu Liangshu. 2001 &. Notes on late poleozoic radiolarians of northeastern Jiangxi province. Geological Review, 47(4): 337 ~ 344.
- Wang Cunzhi, Xing Guangfu, Yu Minggang, Huang Zhizhong, Hong Wentao, Zhao Xilin, Zhou Xiaohua, Duan Zheng and Ju Dongmei. 2015&. Timing and tectonic setting of the ophiolite in northeast Jiangxi:Constraints
- from zircon U-Pb age, Hf isotope and geochemistry of the Zhangshudun gabbro. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica, 34(4): 309 ~ 321.
- Wang Jian & Li Zhengxiang. 2003. History of Neoproterozoic rift basins in South China: Implications for Rodinia break-up. Precambrain Research, 122: 141 ~ 158.
- Wang Xiaolei, Zhou Jincheng, Qiu Jiansheng, Zhang Wenlan, Liu Xiaoming, Zhang Guilin. 2006. LA-ICP-MSU-Pb zircon geochronology of the Neoproterozoic igneous rocks from Northern Guangxi, South China: Implications for tectonic evolution. Precambrian Research, 145(1~2):111~130.
- Wang Xiaolei, Shu Liangshu, Xing Guangfu, Zhou Jincheng, Tang Ming, Shu Xujie, Qi Liang, Hu Yanhua. 2012. Post-orogenic extension in the eastern part of the Jiangnan orogen: Evidence from ca 800 ~ 760 Ma volcanic rocks. Precambrian Research, 222 ~ 223: 404 ~ 423.
- Wang Xiaolei, Zhou Jincheng, Wan Yusheng, Kitajima K, Wang Di, Bonamici C, Qiu Jiansheng, Sun Tao. 2013. Magmatic evolution and crustal recycling for Neoproterozoic strongly peraluminous granitoids from southern China: Hf and O isotopes in zircon. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 366: 71 ~ 82.
- Wang Yanbin, Wang Denghong, Han Juan, Lei Zeheng, Chen Zhenghui, Qu Wenjun, Xu Yiming, Zi Baizhong, Wang Qingli. 2010 &. U-Pb dating and Hf isotopic characteristics of zircons and Re-Os dating of Molybdenite from Gaoáobei Tungsten—molybdenum deposit, southern Hunan province. Geological Review, 56(6): 820 ~830.
- Winchester J A & Floyd P A, 1977. Geochemical discrimination of different magma series and their differentiation products using immobile elements. Chemical Geology, 20: 325 ~ 343.
- Wong J, Sun M, Xing G F, Li X H, Zhao G C, Wong K, Fuyuan Wu F Y. 2011. Zircon U-Pb and Hf isotopic study of Mesozoic felsic rocks from eastern Zhejiang, South China: Geochemical contrast between the Yangtze andCathaysia blocks. Gondwana Research, 19(1): 244 ~259.
- Wu Haoruo. 2003&. tectonopalaeogeographic annlysis of the geolgoic problems related to ophiolitic belt in northeastern Jiangxi province. Journal of Palaeogeography, 5(3): 328 ~ 342.
- Wu Rongxin, Zheng Yongfei, Wu Yuanbao, Zhao Zifu, Zhang Shaobing, Liu Xiaoming, Wu Fuyuan. 2006. Reworking of juvenile crust: Element and isotope evidence from Neoproterozoic granodiorite in South China. Precambrian Research, 146(3~4): 179~212.
- Wu Xinhua, Lou Fasheng, Wang Yujing, Song Zhirui, Yang Qun, Deng Guohui, Huang Zhizhong, Ling Lianhai. 2004&. Geochemical characteristics for siliceous rocks of different ages in northeastern Jiangxi and their geological significance. Geological Journal of China Universities, 10(2): 209 ~ 216.
- Wu Yuanbao and Zheng Yongfei. 2004. Genesis of zircon and its constraints on interpretation of U-Pb age. Chinese Science

Bulletin, 49: 1554 ~ 1569.

- Xing Fengming, Xu Xiang, Chen Jiangfeng, Zhou Taixi, K. A. Foland. 1992&. Sm-Nd isotopic age of Proterozoic ophiolites in northeastern Jiangxi and its geological significance. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica, 11(2): 120 ~ 124.
- Xu Bei and Qiao Guangsheng. 1989&. Sm-Nd isotopic age of the late Proterozoic ophiolite suitein NE Jiangxi province and its primary tectonic environment. Journal of Nanjing University (Earth Sciences), 25(3), 108 ~114.
- Xue Huaimig, Ma Fang, Song Yongqin, Xie Yaping. 2010&. Geochronology and geochemisty of the Neoproterozoic granitoid association from eastern segment of the Jiangnan orogen, China: Constraints on the timing and process of amalgamation between the Yangtze and Cathaysia blocks. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 26 (11): 3215 ~ 3244.
- Yan Jun, Peng Ge, Liu Jianming, Li Quanzhong, Chen Zhihong, Shi Lei, Liu Xiaoqiang, Jiang Zichao. 2012 &. Petrogenesis of granites from Fanchang district, the Lower Yangtze region; Zircon geochronology and Hf—O isotopes constrains. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 28(10):3209 ~3227.
- Yan Zhen, Wang Zongqi, Chen Junlu, Yan Quanren, Wang Tao. 2010. Detrital record of Neoproterozoic arc-magmatism along the NW margin of the Yangtze Block, China: U-Pb geochronology and petrography of sandstones. Journal: J. Asian Earth Sci., 37(4): 322 ~ 334.
- Yao Jinlong, Shu Liangshu, Santosh M, Li Jinyi. 2013. Geochronology and Hf isotope of detrital zircons from Precambrian sequences in the eastern Jiangnan Orogen: Constraining the assembly of Yangtze and Cathaysia Blocks in South China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 74: 225 ~ 243
- Ye Meifang, Li Xianhua, Li Wuxian, Liu Ying, Li Zhengxiang. 2007. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb geochronologicaland whole-rock geochemical evidence for an early Neoproterozoic Sibaoan magmatic arc along the southeastern margin of the Yangtze Block. Gondwana Research, 12: 144 ~ 156.
- Yin Changqing, Lin Shoufa, Davis D W, Xing Guangfu, Davis W J, Cheng Guanghua, Xiao Wenjiao, Li Longming. Tectonic evolution of the southeastern margin of the Yangtze Block: Constraints from SHRIMP U-Pb and LA-ICP-MS Hf isotopic studies of zircon from the eastern Jiangnan Orogenic Belt and implications for the tectonic interpretation of South China. Precambrian Research, 2013, 236: 145 ~ 156.
- Zhao Junhong & Zhou Meifu. 2008. Neoproterozoic adakitic plutons in the northern margin of the Yangtze Block, China: Partial melting of a thickened lower crust and implications for secular crustal evolution. Lithos, 104: 231 ~ 248.
- Zhang Shaobing, Wu Rongxin, Zheng Yongfei. 2012. Neoproterozoic continental accretion in South China: geochemical evidence from the Fuchuan ophiolite in the Jiangnan orogen. Precambrian Research, 220 ~ 221: 45 ~ 64.
- Zheng Yongfei, Wu Rongxin, Wu Yuanbao, Zhang Shaobing, Yuan Honglin, Wu Fuyuan. 2008. Rift melting of juvenile arc-derived crust: geochemical evidence from Neoproterozoic volcanic and granitic rocks in the Jiangnan Orogen, South China. Precambrian Research, 163, 351 ~ 383.
- Zhang Qi, Wang Yan, Qian qing, Zhai mingguo, Jin Weijun, Wang Yuanlong, Jian Ping. 2004&. Sanukite of late Archaean and early Earth evolution. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 20(6): 1355 ~1362.

- Zhang Qi, Qian Qing, Zhai Mingguo, Jin Weijun, Wang Yan, Jian Ping, Wang Yuanlong. 2005 &. Geochemistry, petrogenesis and geodynamic implications of Sanukite. Acta Petrologica et Mineralogica, 25(2); 118 ~125.
- Zhang Shaobing, Zheng Yongfei. 2013. Formation and evolution of Precambrian continental lithosphere in South China. Gondwana Research, 23: 1241 ~ 1260.
- Zhang Yuzhi, Wang Yuejun, Fan Weiming, Zhang Aimei, Ma Liyan, 2013. Geochronological and geochemical constraints on the metasomatised source for the Neoproterozoic (? 825 Ma) high-mg volcanic rocks from the Cangshuipu area (Hunan Province) along the Jiangnan domain and their tectonic implications. Precambrian Research, 220 ~ 221: 139 ~ 157.
- Zhao Chonghe, He Kezhao, Mo Xuanxue. 1995 #. Discovery of late Palaeozoic radiolarian-bearing chert in ophiolitic complexes of the rift zone, northeast Jiangxi, and the significance. Chinese Science

Bulletin, 40(23): 2161 ~ 2163.

- Zhao Jianxin, Li Xianhua, McCulloch M T, Zhou Guoqing, Xing Fengming. 1995&. Petrogenesis of Ophiolites from south Anhui and northeast Jiangxi, and their tectonic implications: chemical and Sm-Nd isotopic constraints. Geochimica, 24(4):311 ~ 326.
- Zhou Guoqing. 1989. The discovery and significance of the northeastern Jiangxi Province ophiolite (NEJXO), its metamorphic peridotite and associated high-temperature high-pressure metamorphic rocks. Journal of Southeast Asian Earth Science, 3: 237 ~ 247.
- Zhou Guoqing and Zhao Jianxin. 1991. Sm-Nd isotopic systematics of the NE Jiangxi ophiolite (NEJXO), SE Margin of the Yangtze craton, South China. Chinese Science Bulletin, 36: 1374 ~ 1379.
- Zhou Xiaohua, Gao Tianshan, Ma Xue, Xing Guangfu, Yuan Qiang. 2014#. Re-focusing on "Shengong movement". Resources Survry and Environment, Front cover 2.

Recognition and Significance of Neoproterozoic (ca. 800 Ma) High-Mg Andesites in the NE Jiangxi Ophiolite Belt

WANG Cunzhi, YU Minggang, HUANG Zhizhong, HONG Wentao, ZHAO Xilin, JIANG Yang,

ZHOU Xiaohua, DUAN Zheng, XING Guangfu

Nanjing Center, China Geological Survey, Nanjing, 210016

Abstract: The newly discovered Neoproterozoic high-Mg andesites are located at Zhangshudun of the NE Jiangxi ophiolite belt and are dated at a zircon U-Pb age of 794.8 ± 6.0 Ma. They have variable SiO₂(54.90% ~ 58.45%), CaO (2.46% ~ 6.73%), relatively consistent Al₂O₃(15.31% ~ 16.77%, mostly less than 16%) and FeO^T/MgO values (0.87 ~ 1.20), and show relatively high MgO contents (6.22 ~ 8.47%), high Mg[#](64 ~ 71), which clearly exhibits the diagnostic features of typical high-Mg andesites. The study samples are enriched in LREE and have slightly negative Eu anomalies. In spider diagram, they show enrichment of LILE (eg. Rb, Ba) while depleted in Nb and Sr elements. These andesites have relatively low Sr abundance and Sr/Y ratios (4.11 ~ 7.29), similar to Sanukitoids of the Setouchi volcanic belt in Japan. The zircon Hf isotopes show positive initial epsilon Hf values ranging from +10.3 to +17.8. These geochemical data indicate Zhangshudun high-Mg andesites should derived from the deplted mantle wedge metasomatized by fluids released from the southeastern margin of the Yangtze Block, and the Yangtze and Cathaysia blocks had not collided at least at ca.800Ma.

Keywords: High-Mg andesite; Neoproterozoic; Zhangshudun; the NE Jiangxi ophiolite belt; Jiangnan orogen Acknowledgements: This work was financially supported by China Geological Survey (No. 1212011120120, 12120113065200 and 12120114039001).

First author: WANG Cunzhi, male, born in 1983, master, senior engineer. Email: 32107407@ qq. com
Corresponding author: XING Guangfu, male, born in 1965, PHD, research fellow. Email: njxgf@163.com
Manuscript received on: 2015-05-27; Accepted on: 2016-06-17; Edited by: ZHANG Yuxu.
Doi: 10.16509/j.georeview. 2016.05.007