Platinum-Group Elements Geochemistry and Chromian Spinel Composition in Podiform Chromitites and Associated Peridotites from the Cheshmeh-Bid Deposit, Neyriz, Southern Iran: Implications for Geotectonic Setting
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Abstract: Dunite and serpentinized harzburgite in the Cheshmeh-Bid area, northwest of the Neyriz ophiolite in Iran, host podiform chromitite that occur as schlieren-type, tabular and aligned massive lenses of various sizes. The most important chromitite ore textures in the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit are massive, nodular and disseminated. Massive chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite host rocks were analyzed for trace and platinum-group elements geochemistry. Chromian spinel in chromitite is characterized by high Cr\(^+\)(0.72–0.78), high Mg\(^+\)(0.62–0.68) and low TiO\(_2\) (0.12 wt%–0.2 wt%) content. These data are similar to those of chromitites deposited from high degrees of mantle partial melting. The Cr\(^+\) of chromian spinel ranges from 0.73 to 0.8 in dunite, similar to the high-Cr chromitite, whereas it ranges from 0.56 to 0.65 in harzburgite. The calculated melt composition of the high-Cr chromitites of the Cheshmeh-Bid is 11.53 wt%–12.94 wt% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), 0.21 wt%–0.33 wt% TiO\(_2\) with FeO/MgO ratios of 0.69–0.97, which are interpreted as more refractory melts akin to boninitic compositions. The total PGE content of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite, dunite and harzburgite are very low (average of 220.4, 34.5 and 47.3 ppb, respectively). The Pd/Ir ratio, which is an indicator of PGE fractionation, is very low (0.05–0.18) in the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites and show that these rocks derived from a depleted mantle. The chromitites are characterized by high-Cr\(^+\), low Pd + Pt (4–14 ppb) and high IPGE/PPGE ratios (8.2–22.25), resulting in a general negatively patterns, suggesting a high-degree of partial melting is responsible for the formation of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites. Therefore parent magma probably experiences a very low fractionation and was derived by an increasing partial melting. These geochemical characteristics show that the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites have been probably derived from a boninitic melts in a supra-subduction setting that reacted with depleted peridotites. The high-Cr chromitite has relatively uniform mantle-normalized PGE patterns, with a steep slope, positive Ru and negative Pt, Pd anomalies, and enrichment of PGE relative to the chondrite. The dunite (total PGE = 47.25 ppb) and harzburgite (total PGE =3.45 ppb) are highly depleted in PGE and show slightly positive slopes PGE spidergrams, accompanied by a small positive Ru, Pt and Pd anomalies and their Pd/Ir\(_{\text{min}}\) ratio ranges between 1.55–1.7and 1.36–1.94, respectively. Trace element contents of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites, such as Ga, V, Zn, Co, Ni, and Mn, are low and vary between 13–26, 466–842, 22–84, 115–179, 826–1210, and 697–1136 ppm, respectively. These contents are compatible with other boninitic chromitites worldwide. The chromian spinel and bulk PGE geochemistry for the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites suggest that high-Cr chromitites were generated from Cr-rich and, Ti- and Al-poor boninitic melts, most probably in a fore-arc tectonic setting related with a supra-subduction zone, similarly to other ophiolites in the outer Zagros ophiolitic belt.
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1 Introduction

The genesis of podiform chromitites, particularly their content of platinum-group elements (PGE) and tectonic setting of emplacement, is one of most interesting geochemical subjects for recent scientific research (Uysal et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009, 2012; Ismail et al., 2010; Jennessary et al., 2012; Ahmed and Habtoor, 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Robinson et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2015a, 2015b; Guolin et al., 2016; Habtoor et al., 2017; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017) However, the factors that control the distribution, textures, and compositions of podiform bodies are still unclear. Podiform chromitites in ophiolites should reflect multi-stage processes involving subduction of lithospheric slabs into the transition zone, crystallization of chromian spinel and some massive chromite at depth, incorporation of ultra-high-pressure (UHP) minerals and highly reduced phases into the chromitites, and entrapment of oceanic lithospheric slabs above subduction zones where they undergo varying degrees of reaction with hydrous, SSZ melts (Robinson et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2017; Xu et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015).

The mineral chemistry of primary chromite and the associated interstitial primary silicate minerals, as well as their order of crystallization, reflects the composition of the parental melt (Rollinson, 2008; Ismail et al., 2010). Compositionally, different types of chromitites in single ophiolitic masses have been recognized, which can be divided into high-Cr types \([Cr^+>0.60\text{ where } Cr^+\text{ is the atomic ratio } Cr/(Cr + Al)]\) and high-Al types \([Cr^+<0.60\text{ where } Cr^+\text{ is the atomic ratio } Cr/(Cr + Al)]\) (González-Jiménez et al., 2011; Zaccarini et al., 2011; Xiong et al., 2017). It is believed that high-Cr and high-Al chromitite types are formed from boninitic and MORB-like tholeiitic magmas, respectively (Zhou and Robinson, 1994; Arai and Yurimoto, 1994; Ismail et al., 2014). Podiform chromitite have been regarded as possible sources for PGE (Uysal et al., 2007). Despite Pt, Pd, and Rh (Pd-subgroup), the PGE content of chromitites exhibits a sub-economical enrichment in Os, Ir and Ru (Ir-subgroup). High-Cr chromitites generally have higher PGE contents compared to high-Al chromitites (Gervilla et al., 2005; González-Jiménez et al., 2011; Uysal et al., 2007, 2009), and the differences in the PGE concentration of chromitites depend on the nature of parental melts (Uysal et al., 2009; González-Jiménez et al., 2011; Zaccarini et al., 2011; Kozlu et al., 2014; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017). Based on their melting temperatures, the platinum-group elements are classified into two groups: the Pd-subgroup (PGPE; melting temperature \(<2000^\circ\text{C}\)) consists of Rh, Pt and Pd and the Ir-subgroup (IPGE \(>2000^\circ\text{C}\)) consists of Os, Ir and Ru (Kozlu et al., 2014).

A number of podiform chromitite deposits occur in the ophiolites of Iran and have been the focus of several studies (e.g. Rajabzadeh et al., 1998; Jennessary et al., 2012, Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014, Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2015, Najafzadeh and Ahmadi-pour, 2016, Zaeimnia et al., 2017, Peighambari et al., 2016). The major element and Platinum-group Element (PGE) geochemistry of the Asseminon and Khaje-Jamali chromitite deposits in the Neyriz ophiolite were reported by Rajabzadeh et al., (1998). In addition, Jennessary et al. (2012) studied the major element and PGE geochemistry, as well as the Os-isotopic composition of chromitite deposits in southern and southeastern Iran (Neyriz, Sirkhvoran, Abdasht, and Fariab) (Fig. 1a).

The Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite ore deposit, hosted by the Neyriz ophiolite, is located 100 km northwest of Neyriz city. On the basis of the Cheshmeh-Bid mine report, this deposit is the biggest active mine in the Neyriz complex with a grade of 52%–53% and tonnage 0.2 ma (Taghipour et al., 2015). This study focuses on the mineralogy, textural relationships and geochemistry of trace (Ti, Mn, Ga, V, Zn, Co, Ni, and Sc) and platinum-group elements of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite deposit in order to determine the parent magma affinity, partial melting processes of the host rocks, and their tectonic setting. In addition, a comparison with other Iranian podiform chromitites and host peridotites is made to check the petrological and geochemical similarity and/or differences between them.

2 Geological Setting

Late Cretaceous ophiolites in the Mediterranean eastward through Turkey, Syria, Iran, Oman, Afghanistan, and into Pakistan are fossil slices of the Neo-Tethyan oceanic lithosphere (Robertson, 2002, Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2010). Zagros ophiolites from Cyprus to Oman constitute a tract of oceanic lithosphere that formed along the southern margin of Eurasia during a subduction initiation event (Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2010). Because of similarity in ages and supra-subduction zone chemistry, these ophiolites termed the Mediterranean-Oman ophiolite belt (Mukasa and Ludden, 1987). Ophiolite-associated chromitites in Iran are related to spreading and closing of the Paleotheys in the northeastern of the Neothetys in the west and southwest of Iran (Stocklin, 1974, e.g. Berberian and King, 1981; Alavi, 1994). Middle to Late Paleozoic
ophiolites, remnants of the Paleotethys, occur aligned in northern Iran: the Aghdarband, Mashhad and Rasht ophiolites (Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2009). Other Iranian ophiolites such as the central Iranian ophiolite belt and ophiolites in Neyriz, Kermanshah, Oman and northern Iraq are related to the Neothetys closure. They are residual parts of the Neothetys oceanic crust which were thrust onto the southeastern part of the Sanandaj-Sirjan metamorphic zone along the main Zagros thrust (outer part of the Neothetys ophiolite belt) after the Cretaceous, or around the Central Iranian Microcontinent (inner belt), thus forming the Dehshir-Baft, Esfandagheh and Fariab ophiolite mélange (Stocklin, 1974; Ricou, 1976; Ricou et al., 1977). The Neyriz ophiolite is composed of three imbricated sheets that included: the Pichukan series, the ophiolitic unit and mélange units (Ricou, 1976; Ricou et al., 1977). The Pichakun series consists of a sequence of the Late Triassic limestones, middle Jurassic oolitic limestones, and lower-middle Cretaceous conglomeratic limestones, representing Neothetys marine sediments and unconformably overlain by Late Cretaceous Tarbur Formation (Ricou, 1976; Babaie et al., 2000; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014). The sequence of the Neyriz ophiolite is composed of mylonitic harzburgite and lherzolite, pegmatite gabbros, residual dunite, pyroxenite to wehrlite sills/dikes, podiform chromitite, doleritic basaltic dikes and leucogabbro lenses (Fig. 2). Harzburgites and lherzolites are characterized by high-temperature foliation, signified by alignment of stretched orthopyroxene and spinel grains. Dunites occur as variably sized discordant lenses and dikes within harzburgites. Podiform chromitites (massive or nodular) are found within depleted harzburgites, typically enveloped by dunite bodies of varying size (Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014). The top of the mantle sequence is characterized by highly impregnated peridotites with olivine-bearing melanogabbro, layered leucogabbro, and pyroxenite cumulate sills and lenses. Gabbros are found generally as lenses within mantle peridotites. They vary from olivine-bearing melanogabbros to plagioclase-rich leucogabbros (Shafaii Moghadam and Stern, 2011a; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014). In addition, the Neyriz ophiolite crustal
sequence consists of highly fragmented sheeted dikes and pillow to massive basalts, accompanied by cherty and late Cretaceous pelagic limestones (Fig. 2) (Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014). The sheeted dike complex comprises both felsic (dacitic to andesitic) dikes and mafic (diabasic) dikes, and the abundance of felsic dikes is noteworthy. Pillow lavas are highly fragmented but their contact with pelagic sediments is sometimes preserved. Pelagic sediments contain Cenomanian-Turonian to early Santonian microfaunas (Rajabzadeh et al., 2013). The mélange unit located approximately 2.5–5 km ENE of the Neyriz ophiolite includes a high-grade metamorphic mélange at the bottom and an upper sedimentary mélange at the top (Sarkarinejad, 2003). 40Ar/39Ar dating of the Neyriz ophiolites yielded 98–96 Ma ages for hornblende from plagiogranite (Haynes and Reynold, 1980). These ophiolites are unconformably overlain by the late Cretaceous Tarbur formation. Therefore possible emplacement age of the Neyriz ophiolites is late Cretaceous (Ricou, 1977).

In the Neyriz complex, several occurrences of podiform chromitite were reported by Jannessary et al., (2012), including Khajeh Jamali, Cheshmeh-Bid, and Tang-e-Hana. The Khajeh Jamali chromitite mine occurs in harzburgite and dunite with chromite potential of 0.2 million (Rajabzadeh, 1998). The massive and nodular chromite of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit enveloped by harzburgite and dunite haloes (Taghipour et al., 2015). The Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite characterized by medium to coarse gain, and cataclastic massive ore. The Tang-e-Hana small chromitite lens occurs in the serpentinitized harzburgite (Jannessary et al., 2012). Most of the Neyriz podiform chromite are suboriented to the foliation of the peridotite host rock. They are concordant to subconcordant relative to the host rock.

An ophiolitic complete sequence is not exposed in the Cheshmeh-Bid region. The chromitite hosting ultramafic bodies in the Cheshmeh-Bid area is relatively small, northwest trending, lens-shaped, isolated blocks composed of serpentinitized harzburgite and dunite rocks (Fig. 1b). Harzburgites are the most abundant rock exposed within the complex. Serpentinitized dunite and coarse-grained cumulate pyroxenite occur as small lenses. Radiolarites are present in the southeastern part of the Cheshmeh-Bid ultramafic rocks and associated with pelagic ocean floor limestones. These radiolarites are upper Jurassic-lower Cretaceous in age (Babaie et al., 2000). Dolerite dikes, single or forming swarms, have thicknesses of 3 to 4 m and cut the ultramafic rocks. The western part of the region is made up of Albian-Cenomanian Sarvak Formation limestones; these are overthrust by ophiolites (Fig. 3a). The dunite halos are surrounded by brecciated harzburgites and occur as small pods to elongated lenses and relatively extended deformational layers and range in extension from a few m to a few km (Fig. 3b). The contacts between dunites and harzburgites are sharp, but the original structural geometry of the dunites and harzburgites has been obliterated by extensive faulting and deformation.

The chromitite rocks occur mainly as small lenticular bodies, layers, and pods within serpentinitized rocks of the Neyriz ophiolite. In all of the types of chromitite ore bodies (nodular or massive) the contacts between the ores and the host peridotites are commonly sharp (Fig. 3c and d). Nodular chromitite ores comprise rounded matrix-supported chromite nodules in a serpentinitized dunite host. The sizes of nodules vary from 0.3 to 4 cm in diameter (Fig. 3e). The boundaries between chromitite and dunites are generally well defined (Fig. 3e). In the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite, the tabular or layer shapes with 0.5–8 m in thickness and 35–50 m in wide are developed parallel to the peridotite banding and their lateral extent reaches up to 450 m. In these ore bodies, chromitite vary from disseminated into massive and show fine-grained disseminations of chromian spinel through to coarse-grained nodular to massive coarse-grained nodular chromitite ores.

### 3 Methodology

Representative samples of harzburgite and dunite envelopes, and chromitites collected during field work for
mineralogical and geochemical investigations. Seventy polished and thin sections studied by reflected and transmitted microscopy at the Shiraz University. Twenty polished sections were examined chromian spinel in the chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite using a CAMECA SX50 electron microprobe at the Iranian Mineral Processing Research Center (IMIDRO). The applied accelerating voltage was 20 kV, and specimen current was 20 nA. Analytical errors are <0.5 wt% and <0.1 wt% for major and minor oxides respectively. Quantitative (WDS) microprobe analyses carried out using the crystals TAP (Si, Al), PET (Ti, Ca, and K), RAP and LiF (Fe, Na). All quantitative major element analyses were calibrated the following: Fe: Fe₂O₃; Si: wollastonite; Mg: MgO; K: orthoclase; Ca, Al: anorthite; Ti: MnTiO₃; Na: albite. The calculation of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ in chromitite samples was done using Droop’s equation (F = 2×(1−T/S) (Droop, 1987). The Mg	extsuperscript{8}, Cr	extsuperscript{3} and Fe	extsuperscript{3}⁺ were calculated from atomic ratios using Mg/(Mg+Fe	extsuperscript{3}⁺), Cr/(Cr+Al) and Fe	extsuperscript{3}⁺/(Fe	extsuperscript{3}⁺+Cr+Al) formula, respectively (Tables 1–3).

A total of 16 representative samples of chromitites and associated dunites and harzburgites of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit were selected and analyzed for all PGE (Os, Ir, Ru, Rh, Pt and Pd). The very low PGE contents impose the use of a combined procedure of pre-concentration and matrix elimination prior to the detection with ICP-MS. This was achieved by fire assay with nickel sulfide collection (mixing the sample with a mixture of soda ash, borax, silica sulfur and nickel carbonate or nickel oxide). Samples up to 50 g in size are fire assayed using a Ni-sulfide fire assay procedure. After pre-concentration, the sample solution was analyzed using Perkin-Elmer Sciex ELAN 9000 ICPMS at the Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty. Ltd. at Maddington, Western Australia. Corrections are made, where applicable, for isobaric isotopic interferences and polyatomic interferences. Laboratory standards were used for instrument calibration and drift correction. Analytical accuracy and precision were checked using standards; AMIS0076, AMIS0124, HGMMN1 and by analyzing blanks and duplicates. Based on reference samples analyzed during several years in the lab recovery was estimated to be better than 85%, which is in the range of the efficiency usually obtained by Ni-sulfide fire assay. Detection limits are 2 ppb for Os, Ir, Ru, Pt and Pd and 1 ppb for Ru (Table 4). Sixteen samples of the massive Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite deposit, dunite and harzburgite envelope were analyzed for determining trace element contents. Inductively-coupled plasma mass-spectrometry (ICP-MS) analyses were carried out at ACME laboratories, Canada. Chromitite and dunite trace element content determined by ICP-Mass spectrometry following a Lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion and nitric acid digestion of a 0.2 g sample. In addition, a separate 0.5 g split is digested in Aqua Regia and analyzed by ICP- Mass Spectrometry to report trace elements. The detection limits for trace elements vary from 0.1 ppm to 20 ppm (Table 5).

4 Petrography

4.1 Chromitite

Chromitite pods have an alignment with tabular and lenticular shapes of various sizes and have been classified into concordant to subconcordant chromitite types of
Table 1 Representative electron microprobe analyses of chromian spinel in chromitite of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Ch-C-1</th>
<th>Ch-C-2</th>
<th>Ch-C-3</th>
<th>Ch-C-4</th>
<th>Ch-C-5</th>
<th>Ch-C-6</th>
<th>Ch-C-7</th>
<th>Ch-C-8</th>
<th>Ch-C-9</th>
<th>Ch-C-10</th>
<th>Ch-C-11</th>
<th>Ch-C-12</th>
<th>Ch-C-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>57.19</td>
<td>58.77</td>
<td>60.23</td>
<td>60.86</td>
<td>56.21</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td>56.54</td>
<td>59.73</td>
<td>59.22</td>
<td>57.84</td>
<td>58.65</td>
<td>58.06</td>
<td>59.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>13.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.87</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>100.01</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>99.96</td>
<td>100.04</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>99.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Representative electron microprobe analyses of chromian spinel in chromitite of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Ch-C-14</th>
<th>Ch-C-15</th>
<th>Ch-C-16</th>
<th>Ch-C-17</th>
<th>Ch-C-18</th>
<th>Ch-C-19</th>
<th>Ch-C-20</th>
<th>Ch-C-21</th>
<th>Ch-C-22</th>
<th>Ch-C-23</th>
<th>Ch-C-24</th>
<th>Ch-C-25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>58.40</td>
<td>59.87</td>
<td>57.30</td>
<td>59.84</td>
<td>56.50</td>
<td>56.80</td>
<td>57.26</td>
<td>57.60</td>
<td>57.10</td>
<td>58.40</td>
<td>56.20</td>
<td>55.60</td>
<td>55.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Representative electron microprobe analyses of chromian spinel in chromitite of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit

Note: bdl = below detection limit.

Cassard et al. (1981). Chromite grains are characterized by massive, nodular and disseminate textures (Figs. 3c-e and 4a). Secondary textures were recorded by cataclastic and pull-apart textures (Fig. 4b). Massive chromitite made up of 90%–95% chromite varying in grain size between 1 and 5 cm. Serpentinitized olivine
(mainly antigorite), chlorite and trace amount chalcopyrite are the other associated mineral. Nodular chromite is mostly hosted by dunite but also forms halos around massive chromitite (Fig. 3e). Elliptical chromite nodules of 0.3 to 4 cm in size occur in a groundmass of serpentinitized olivine. Olivine crystals in the margins of nodules are the other associated mineral. Nodular chromitite is texturally characterized by recrystallized olivine (Fig. 4a). Chromitites and their dunitic halos are surrounded by harzburgite.

### 4.2 Dunite and harzburgite

Dunite envelopes are composed of 95% olivine and 1%–3% disseminated chromite and orthopyroxene (Fig. 4c). Chromitites and their dunite halos are surrounded by harzburgite. Harzburgites are composed of 60%–70%...
olivine, 15%–30% orthopyroxene and smaller amounts of chromite and clinopyroxene (Fig. 4d). Orthopyroxene is 5 to 7 mm in diameter and contains exsolution lamellae of clinopyroxene (Fig. 4d). Chromite in these rocks occurs as sub- to euhedral grains dispersed in an olivine groundmass, or as inclusions in olivine and orthopyroxene (Fig. 4c and e). Locally, dispersed chromite grains may partly accumulate resulting in a disseminated texture. It suggests that magma crystallization began with olivine before chromite settling. Orthopyroxene in the matrix of serpentinitized peridotite has kink bands and rounded grain margins (Fig. 4f). Orthopyroxene with rounded margins may indicate reaction between melt and peridotite (Kelemen et al., 1990). Serpentinitization is common in the Cheshmeh-Bid peridotite rocks (Fig. 4g.) Chalcopyrite exists in the chromite as interstitial (Fig. 4h).

5 Geochemistry of the Chromitites and Associated Rocks

5.1 Mineral chemistry

5.1.1 Chromian spinel

Chromian spinel occurs as a relict in the dunite and harzburgite and as primary grains in chromitites. The
chemical compositions of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromian spinel in chromitite, dunite and harzburgite have been shown in Tables 1 and 2. The Cr$_2$O$_3$ content varies from 56.20 wt%–60.80 wt%, 53.28 wt%–55.33 wt%, and 45.8 wt%–50.77 wt%, in chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite, respectively. The Cr $(Cr/Cr+Al)$ in massive chromitite ranges 0.72–0.78 and in dunite and harzburgite varies 0.73–0.80, and 0.56–0.77, respectively. The Cr $#$ is usually higher in chromitite (0.72–0.78) and dunite (0.74–0.80) than in harzburgite (0.56–0.77). The Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite and dunite are high-Cr, whereas harzburgite is high-Al (Fig. 5a). Increasing Cr $#$ typically correlated with increasing degrees of partial melting in the host peridotite (Dick and Bullen, 1984, Xiong et al., 2017).

The TiO$_2$ content is generally low in the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit, TiO$_2$ content varies 0.12 wt%–0.2 wt%, 0.06 wt%–0.07 wt%, and 0.02 wt%–0.07 wt% in chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite, respectively. The TiO$_2$ content is higher in chromitite than dunite and harzburgite. Fe$^{3+}$(Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$) value in chromitite and chromian spinel of dunite and harzburgite is very low <0.04.

The Mg$^+(Mg/Mg+Fe^{2+})$ value varies 0.62–0.68, 0.48–0.49, and 0.50–0.60 in chromitite, dunite and harzburgite, respectively. Therefore, the Mg$^+$ is higher in massive chromitite than dunite and harzburgite. Also in the Al$_2$O$_3$ versus Cr$_2$O$_3$ binary diagram of Bonavia et al (1933), the Cheshmeh-Bid samples are the podiform type, in this diagram massive chromitite and dunite chromian spinel samples plot in the high-Cr field whereas, harzburgite chromian spinel samples plot in high-Al field (Fig. 5b). The Cheshmeh-Bid massive chromitite samples have medium $Fe^{3+}+(Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}Cr+Al)$ value in chromitite and chromian spinel of dunite and harzburgite is very low <0.04.

The Mg$^+(Mg/Mg+Fe^{2+})$ value varies 0.62–0.68, 0.48–0.49, and 0.50–0.60 in chromitite, dunite and harzburgite, respectively. Therefore, the Mg$^+$ is higher in massive chromitite than dunite and harzburgite. Also in the Al$_2$O$_3$ versus Cr$_2$O$_3$ binary diagram of Bonavia et al (1933), the Cheshmeh-Bid samples are the podiform type, in this diagram massive chromitite and dunite chromian spinel samples plot in the high-Cr field whereas, harzburgite chromian spinel samples plot in high-Al field (Fig. 5b). The Cheshmeh-Bid massive chromitite samples have medium $Fe^{3+}+(Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}Cr+Al)$ = 0.32–0.41. The $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$ for chromian spinel in dunite ranges 0.48–0.57 and it ranges 0.39–0.51 in harzburgite. The chromian spinel in dunite has the highest value of the $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$ ratio.

5.1.2 Olivine chemistry

Olivine composition in the Cheshmeh-Bid harzburgite (Fo = 90.96–91.53) and dunite (Fo = 91.49–92.23) is highly forsterite with little variation (Table 3, Fig. 6). The
NiO content of olivine in the dunites ranges from 0.19 wt%–0.4 wt% (with an average of 0.32 wt%) and in harzburgites from 0.26 wt%–0.47 wt% (with an average of 0.38 wt%). The values of TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Cr₂O₃, and CaO in olivine of the Cheshmeh-Bid dunite and harzburgite are below detection limit that is very similar to the composition of the Abdasht peridotite in Iran (Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016).

### Table 4: Bulk-rock analyses on platinum group elements (PGE) of representative samples of chromitites, dunites, and harzburgites from the Cheshmeh-Bid area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Chromitite No.</th>
<th>Chromitite</th>
<th>Dunite</th>
<th>Harzburgite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ch-C-1</td>
<td>Ch-C-2</td>
<td>Ch-C-3</td>
<td>Ch-C-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os (ppb)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PGE</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPGP/ΣPGE</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPGP/ΣPGE</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Ir*</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Ir*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Pt*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Pd*</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Pt/Pd*=((Pt/8.3)\sqrt{(Rh/1.6) × (Pd/4.4)}). The Pt anomaly (Pt/Pd*), PPGP/ΣPGE, and Pd/Ir ratios calculated according to half values which were used for statistical calculations of samples with values below detection limit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Whole-rock PGE geochemistry

Sixteen bulk samples of massive chromitite, dunite and harzburgite were analyzed for PGE (Os, Ir, Ru, Pt, and Pd) (Table 4). Total PGE content of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite varies between 174 to 241 ppb with an average of 220 ppb. These values are very similar to the total PGE values (155–257 ppb, average 212 ppb) which reported by Jannessary et al. (2012). The dunite and harzburgite display low total PGE abundances, ranging between 26 to 40 ppb (average of 34.5), and 40 to 46.5 ppb, (average of 47.25), respectively. Back scatter image and electron probe micro analysis which analyzed by Jannessary et al. (2012), have shown the presence of laurite and ruthenium oxide (RuO$_3$) as the main PGE-bearing minerals in the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit. The Cheshmeh-Bid samples are enriched in Ir-group (IPG: Os, Ir, and Ru) and depleted in the Pt-group (PPG: Ru, Pt, and Pd) (SIPGE/SPGGE = 0.8.20–22.25) (Table 4). Upper primitive mantle (PUM) normalized PGE patterns show enrichment in Os, Ir and Ru (IPGE) and a negative slope toward the Rh, Pt, and Pd (PPGE) (Fig. 7a). Furthermore, negative Pt anomalies (average of Ptn/Pdn = 0.83) and low Rh, Pd and Re contents are characteristic for ophiolitic chromitites (Proenza et al., 2007, Uysal et al., 2007).

The comparison of the PGE spiderdiagram of chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite indicate chromitite samples have more IPGE content than dunite and harzburgite. Dunite and harzburgite PGE spiderdiagram show similar pattern (Fig. 7b). The Ru indicates a positive anomaly in chromitite (average of 109.45 ppb, respectively), dunite (average of 8.6 ppb) and harzburgite (average of 12.5 ppb). The average values of platinum and palladium in chromitite (4.3 and 4.09 ppb, respectively) are lower than dunite (10, 8.3 ppb, respectively) and harzburgite (12, 13 ppb, respectively). The Pdn/Irn ratios as index of fractionation in dunite range 3.2–3.5 (average of 3.4), it is higher than the average value (0.12) (Shen-Su, 1982), and this ratio ranges 2.80–4 with average of 3.40 in harzburgite, which is higher than the average value (1.08) (Shen-Su, 1982). Also, the high value of Irn/pdn (1.75−3) in the Abdasht harzburgite (outer Zagros ophiolitic belt) is reported by Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour (2016). Burghath et al (2002) classified ophiolitic chromitites into four
groups based on the Pt/Ir and Pt/Pd ratios (Fig. 8a and b). Group I, termed the "normal ophiolitic type" is characterized by negative slopes in the normalized diagram from Os, to Pt and by Pt/Ir< 0.9, the total PGE content up to 7.7 ppm have been found (Fig. 8b). Group-II, the "diverging ophiolite type", is characterized by higher proportions of base metal sulfides and may carry up to 11 ppm PGE. The Pt/Ir ratio varies from 0.9 to 4.6, and the PGE pattern is commonly flat with PPGE/IPGE ~1. Group- III is dominated by Pd and abundant base metal sulfides, by Pt/Ir>1 and Pt/Pd mostly <1 (Jannessary et al., 2012). Three subgroups are distinguished based on the abundance of PGM (low in IIIa, high in IIIb) and the host rock of mineralization (chromitite in IIIa and IIIb, dunite in IIIc). Up to 23 ppm, PGE contents were recorded. Group-IV, the "Pt-dominated sulfide-poor ophiolite type" is restricted to late-stage chromitites in ultramafic cumulates close to the crust-mantle transition zone (Jannessary et al., 2012). The Pt/Ir and Pt/Pd ratios are >1, and PGE contents may reach up to 25 ppm. Accordingly, most (n = 6) of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites investigated during this study have group-I PGE patterns characterized by Pt/Ir<0.9 and Pt/Pd<1, representing the “normal ophiolite type”. Another 5 samples have Pt/Ir>1 and are classified here as group-I* (Fig. 8a).

5.3 Whole-rock trace element geochemistry

Trace elements (Sc, Ti, V, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn, and Ga) in chromites from podiform chromitites can estimate the composition of the magma from which they have formed and explore and document the mechanism responsible for their chemical variability (Page and Barnes, 2009). Gallium and vanadium content of chromitite samples in the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit varies between 13 and 26 ppm, and 466 to 842 ppm, respectively (Table 5). These values are different from the MORB and comparable to chromitite samples of boninitic origin in northern Oman, Turkey (Dare et al., 2008) and the Thetford chromitites of Canada (i.e. high chromium chromite reported by Page and Barnes, 2009) (Fig. 9). The binary diagram of Cr versus Ga and V show a negative correlation (R = −69 and −92 respectively) (Fig. 9a and b). There is a distinctive positive correlation between gallium and vanadium (Fig.

![Fig. 8. (a), Mantle-normalised ratio of Pt/Ir versus Pt/Pd for chromitite, dunite, and harzburgite; (b), Normalised Pt/Ir versus total PGE content. Group definition according to Burgath et al. (2002).](image-url)

### Table 5 Trace element in the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Chromitite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample No</td>
<td>Ch-D-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>*8 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Chromitite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample no</td>
<td>Ch-D-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>*8 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *= Detection limit.
9c). These data may be suggested that fractionation processes between chromitite and parental melt (Page and Barnes, 2009). Other trace elements such as Mn, Zn, Co, and Ni have variable values and vary between: 697 and 1136, 22 and 84, and 115 and 179, 826–1210 ppm, respectively, similar to chromites crystallized from boninitic magma (Fig. 9d–f) (Table 5).

Trace elements contents of the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit samples are similar to those of chromitites originating from boninitic magma; Thetford chromitite data from Page and Barnes (2009); Boninite and mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) data from Barnes and Roeder (2001); The Troodos chromitites (Paktunc and Cabri, 1995) and the northern Oman chromitites are from Arai and Yurimoto (1994).

6 Discussions

6.1 Parental melt composition of chromitites

Chromian spinel is a useful petrographic mineral and its composition record the chemistry of parental melt, the geodynamic setting in which the melts form, the source of peridotite, crystallization condition, the oxygen fugacity, the P-T condition of parental melt and the extent of reaction between parental melt and peridotite (Maurel and Maurel, 1982 and 1983; Roeder and Reynolds, 1991; Arai, 1992; Robinson et al., 1997). The chromian spinel compositions are a complex function of many factors; including magma composition (Maurel and Maurel, 1982), temperature (Scowen et al., 1991), pressure (e.g. Roeder and Reynolds, 1991; Lipin, 1993); $f_{O_2}$ (Roeder and...
Reynolds, 1991) and SiO2 (e.g. Irvine, 1975). Experimental data suggest that chromian spinel composition is sensitive petrogenetic indicator and provide important information to constrain the composition of parental melt within different geodynamic environments (e.g. Dick and Bullen, 1984; Melcher et al., 1999; Arai et al., 2004, 2006, 2011; Barnes and Roeder, 2001; Kamenetsky et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2005; Rollinson, 2008; González-Jiménez et al., 2009, 2011; Pal, 2011). These experimental studies have also shown that parental melt for chromitites is the result of the melt-melt interactions or melt-rock reactions previously depleted in mantle sources in supra-subduction zone settings. On the basis Xiong et al (2015a), the disseminated podiform chromitite formed at shallow depths under relatively low oxygen fugacity, in contrast, the massive and magnesiochromitite are considered to form at depth under high oxygen fugacity. Podiform chromitites formed as a result of the reaction between the primitive mantle and peridotite host rock of upper mantle (Robinson et al., 1997). High Cr2 chromitites formed at higher temperature and pressure than low Cr2 types, and H2O has an important role by increasing partial melting (Mysen and Kushiro, 1977; Zhou and Robinson, 1994; Robinson et al., 2015). Partial melting of the rising peridotites at shallow mantle depths may cause redistribution of chromite grains and the formation of small chromitite pods (Yang et al., 2015). Based on Cr2 versus Fe2 binary diagram (Fig. 10a and b), it could be concluded that the high degree of partial melting (about 40%) required to deposit high Cr2 chromitites such as the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites (Cr2 = 0.72–0.78 and Fe2 = 0.32–0.38).

In the Cr2 versus TiO2 binary diagrams of Babien et al. (1998) (Fig. 10c), the study binary plots in the area of high Cr2 (0.72–0.78) and low TiO2 (0.20 wt%–0.33 wt%), reflecting crystallization from a boninite melt, whereas chromian spinel in harzburgite show an abyssal peridotite trend. Also, High Cr2 chromitite reported in Iranian podiform chromitites such as Neyriz, Sikkuran, Faryab, and Abdasht (Jannessray et al., 2012, Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2014). The negative correlation between Cr2 and TiO2 (r = −0.64) can be related to the different degree of mantle melting and differentiation of the parental melt. The TiO2 content of chromite decreases with increasing the degree of partial melting as a result of magma dilution (Kamenetsky et al., 2001; Maurel and Maurel, 1982).

It is well known that chromian spinel in chromitites inheritance their Al2O3 and TiO2 content from the melt (Maurel and Maurel, 1982; Dick and Bullen, 1984; Arai, 1992; Roeder and Reynolds 1991, Barnes and Roeder, 2001). Therefore it could be used to determine the nature of parental melt and tectonic setting of chromitites by calculating Al2O3 and TiO2 of primary melt because they are not affected by re-equilibration with interstitial melt or sulfides under the subsolidus condition, due to the proportion of olivine and interstitial melt is very low rather than chromite grains of chromitites. Accordingly, the geochemical composition of chromites as an important petrogenetic indicator is related to the compositions of their parental melt and can be used to calculate the Al2O3, TiO2 and FeO/MgO ratios of the parental melt (Augé, 1987; Uysal et al., 2007, 2009).

The Al2O3 and FeO/MgO ratios of the primary parental melt can be calculated using the following equations of Maurel and Maurel (1982) and Maurel (1984) (cited in Augé, 1987), respectively:

\[
(Al_2O_3 \text{ wt\%})_{\text{spinel}} = 0.035(Al_2O_3 \text{ wt\%})_{\text{melt}}^{2.42}
\]

\[
\ln(FeO/MgO)_{\text{spinel}} = 0.47 - 1.07Al^{3+}_{\text{spinel}} + 0.64Fe^{3+}_{\text{spinel}}
\]
+ Ln(FeO/MgO) melt
\[ \text{Al}^q = \frac{\text{Al}}{\text{Al} + \text{Cr} + \text{Fe}^{3+}} \]
\[ \text{Fe}^q = \frac{\text{Fe}^{3+}}{\text{Al} + \text{Cr} + \text{Fe}^{3+}} \]

However, by using these formulas, the Al$_2$O$_3$ and FeO/MgO ratios of the parental melts obtained for the melts in equilibrium with chromitites from the Cheshmeh-Bid are shown in Table 1.

Previous studies show that there is a linear relationship between the TiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ contents of chromian spinel and the melt from which chromite crystallized (Kamenetsky et al., 2001; Rollinson, 2008). In the other words, the TiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ values of chromian spinel correlate with those of the parental melt. The TiO$_2$ content of the parental melt in chromitites has been estimated using the regression lines from Zaccarini et al. (2011) using data from Rollinson (2008) and Kamenetsky et al. (2001) (Fig. 11a and b):

TiO$_2$ _melt_ versus TiO$_2$ _spinel_ regression lines:

Applying these formulas to the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites show that Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ contents of the parental melt from which the chromitites crystallized for the high-Cr chromitites range between 11.53 wt%–12.94 wt% (12.23–) and 0.21–0.33 wt% (0.27–), respectively. The results indicate that FeO/MgO ratios of the parental melt of Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites vary between 0.69 and 0.97 (0.8–). The parental melt values of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites are compared with those of some well-known worldwide ophiolitic chromitites in Table 6. The Al$_2$O$_3$ _melt_ and TiO$_2$ _melt_ values of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites are similar to the High-Cr chromitites of Elekdağ in Turkey (Dönmez et al., 2014), N-Shitna in Iraq (Ismail et al., 2014), deep chromitites in Oman (Rollinson, 2008), Abdasht ophiolite in Iran (Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016), Nan Uttardite chromitites in Thailand (Orberger et al., 1995), and Bir Tuluha ophiolite in Saudi Arabia (Habtoor et al., 2017). In contrast, the FeO/MgO _melt_ values are similar to Soghan chromitites in Iran (Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016), Mugla in Turkey (Uysal et al., 2009), Sagu de Tanamo in Cuba (González-Jiménez et al., 2011), some Neoproterozoic ophiolitic complexes in Egypt (Ahmed, 2013); Al’Ays ophiolite-site 1 in Saudi Arabia (Ahmed and Habtoor, 2015), and Bir Tuluha ophiolite in Saudi Arabia (Habtoor et al., 2017) (Table 6). On the based previous studies (Najafzadeh et
al., 2008; Janessary et al., 2012, Rajabzadeh et al., 2013; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2015, Soleimani and Jodeiri Shokri, 2016; Najażadeh, and Ahmadipour, 2016), almost of Iranian podiform chromitite characterized by high Cr, dunite and harzburgite parent rock, boninitic magma, and supra subduction zone (SSZ) tectonic setting, except Nain and Shorkhband chromitites (Table 7).

Previous studies have shown that in ophiolitic complexes, Cr-rich chromitites tend to occur at the deeper parts of the upper mantle (Rollinson, 2008; Ismail et al., 2014). Peridotites hosting such Cr-rich chromitites are also more depleted than those hosting Al-rich chromitites (e.g. Leblanc and Violette, 1983; Proenza et al., 1999). The Cr-rich chromitites are crystallized from more refractory and similar to picritic and boninitic compositions (Zhou and Robinson, 1994). The composition of the parental melt from which the high-Cr chromitites of the Cheshmeh-Bid crystallized are similar to those of the chromitites formed in the deep mantle in the Oman Ophiolite (Rollinson, 2008; Arai et al., 2011)

Table 6 Comparison between the parental melt composition of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites and other worldwide high-Cr podiform chromitites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Cr#</th>
<th>Al2O3 (spinel)</th>
<th>Al2O3 (melt)</th>
<th>TiO2 (spinel)</th>
<th>TiO2 (melt)</th>
<th>FeO/MgO (melt)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neoproterozoic ophiolitic complexes (Egypt)</td>
<td>0.77–0.91</td>
<td>4.4–12.21</td>
<td>8.49–12.71</td>
<td>0.03–0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.67–1.88</td>
<td>Ahmed (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bou Azzer ophiolite (Anti-Atlas, Morocco)</td>
<td>0.64–0.77</td>
<td>11.75–20.16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05–0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ahmed et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APA ophiolite-site 1 (Saadi Arabia)</td>
<td>0.85–0.86</td>
<td>6.75–7.13</td>
<td>8.51–9.02</td>
<td>0.06–0.07</td>
<td>0.00–0.06</td>
<td>0.57–0.63</td>
<td>Ahmed and Habtoor (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bir Tulsha ophiolite (Saadi Arabia)</td>
<td>0.72–0.81</td>
<td>9.68–15.04</td>
<td>10.21–12.29</td>
<td>0.09–0.16</td>
<td>0.17–0.28</td>
<td>0.61–0.79</td>
<td>Habtoor et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan-African Proterozoic Ophiolite complexes (Egypt)</td>
<td>0.5–0.86</td>
<td>5.72–29.77</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04–0.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ahmed et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abadzeh Tashk (Iran)</td>
<td>0.73–0.81</td>
<td>0.73–0.81</td>
<td>10.48–12.66</td>
<td>0.02–0.08</td>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
<td>0.71–1.04</td>
<td>Rajabzadeh et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abadsh chromitites (Iran)</td>
<td>0.76–0.77</td>
<td>12.00–12.80</td>
<td>11.92–12.25</td>
<td>0.14–0.20</td>
<td>0.23–0.31</td>
<td>0.60–0.65</td>
<td>Najażadeh and Ahmadipour (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Cr chromitites (Eastern Cuba)</td>
<td>0.6–0.72</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.90–1.50</td>
<td>González-Jiménez et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Shitna high-Cr chromitite (Iraq)</td>
<td>0.76–0.82</td>
<td>10.37–12.13</td>
<td>8.92–12.51</td>
<td>0.09–0.13</td>
<td>0.17–0.22</td>
<td>0.60–0.73</td>
<td>Ismail et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berit ophiolite (Turkey)</td>
<td>0.64–0.79</td>
<td>10.19–17.16</td>
<td>11.06–13.78</td>
<td>0.11–0.19</td>
<td>0.19–0.30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kozi et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elekdağ ophiolite (Turkey)</td>
<td>0.65–0.89</td>
<td>5.10–18.20</td>
<td>9.40–13.20</td>
<td>0.14–0.26</td>
<td>0.20–0.40</td>
<td>0.40–1.90</td>
<td>Dönmez et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep chromitites (Oman)</td>
<td>0.71–0.77</td>
<td>11.70–14.40</td>
<td>11.80–12.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.23–0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Rollinson (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.29–14.87</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.22–0.24</td>
<td>0.68–0.89</td>
<td>Falloon et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheshmeh-Bid (Iran)</td>
<td>0.72–0.78</td>
<td>11.15–14.61</td>
<td>11.53–13.79</td>
<td>0.12–0.22</td>
<td>0.2–0.33</td>
<td>0.69–0.97</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 Comparison between the petrological and geochemical characteristics of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites and other Iranian podiform chromitites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Mine/prospect</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Parent rock</th>
<th>Parent magma</th>
<th>Tectonic setting</th>
<th>Cr#</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorkbhand</td>
<td>Sorkbhand</td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
<td>Dunite, harzburgite</td>
<td>Boninite, MORB</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.75–0.89</td>
<td>Najażadeh et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neyriz</td>
<td>Khajeh-Jamali</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.73–0.80</td>
<td>Janessary et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neyriz</td>
<td>Tang-e-Hana</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.60–0.70</td>
<td>Janessary et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdasht</td>
<td>Soghan</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.60–0.85</td>
<td>Najażadeh, and Ahmadipour (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esfandagheh</td>
<td>Sikkuran</td>
<td>Paleozoic</td>
<td>Dunite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.75–0.82</td>
<td>Janessary et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faryab</td>
<td>Reza</td>
<td>Ordovician?</td>
<td>Serpentized dunite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.78–0.82</td>
<td>Janessary et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nain</td>
<td>Hossein Abad, Soheil Pakuh</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Dunite, harzburgite</td>
<td>MORB</td>
<td>Back-arc</td>
<td>0.59–0.73</td>
<td>Rajabzadeh et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabzevar</td>
<td>Foroumad</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.77–0.83</td>
<td>Soleimani and Jodeiri Shokri (2016), Shafai Moghadam et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabzevar</td>
<td>Baghjahr-Kuh Shah</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Lherzolites</td>
<td>MORB</td>
<td>Fore-arc</td>
<td>0.34–0.45</td>
<td>Shafai Moghadam et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabzevar</td>
<td>Gaf</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Harzburgite</td>
<td>Boninite</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.77–0.78, 0.41–0.43</td>
<td>Shafai Moghadam et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheshmeh-Bid</td>
<td>Cheshmeh-Bid</td>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Dunite, harzburgite</td>
<td>Boninite, MORB</td>
<td>SSZ</td>
<td>0.72–0.78</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Fig. 11a and b), and can be interpreted as more refractory melts akin to boninitic compositions (10.6 wt%–14.4 wt% Al₂O₃, 0.7–1.4 FeO/MgO) (Fig. 10; Table 5). These chromitites have relatively higher Cr²⁺ and lower TiO₂ and Al₂O₃ contents compared to high-Al chromitites formed closer to MOHO discontinuity (Fig. 11). The TiO₂ melt (wt%) versus Al₂O₃ melt (wt%) binary diagram (Page and Barnes, 2009) of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite indicates that parental melt is boninitic in composition (Fig. 11c). These estimates for the parental melts show that the compositions of the chromian spinel in the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites are indicative of crystallization from melts derived from the depleted mantle. The high-Cr chromitites from the Cheshmeh-Bid plot in the primitive mantle fields and indicate strong affinity with melts from deplet ed mantle and boninites similar to Elekdag high-Cr chromitites (Dönmez et al., 2014), Thetford boninites (Pagé and Barnes, 2009), Troodos boninites in Cyprus (Flower and Levine, 1987), and Cape Vogel boninites in Guinea (Walker and Cameron, 1983) (Fig. 11c).

According to our investigations, the origin of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites can be explained by melt-rock reaction model. The dunite enveloping chromitite pods is a good evidence for the melt-peridotites interaction model, where the temperature in the mantle wedge is too low to form dunite solely by partial melting of the mantle (Ahmed and Habtoo, 2015). This model has already been proposed by Arai and Yurimoto (1994), Zhou et al. (1996) and Rollinson (2005, 2008); and implies that primary mafic melts reacted with mantle harzburgite whereas ascending through the shallow upper mantle, dissolving orthopyroxene and leaving a residue of dunite (Ismael et al., 2014; Robinson et al., 2015). The dissolution of orthopyroxene drives the melt toward a boninitic or high-Mg andesitic composition and moves it into the field of chromite crystallization (Kelemen et al., 1992; Irvine, 1977). The High Cr²⁺ in chromian spinel, and depleted in PPGE, implies that either a high degree of partial melting or a partial melting of an already depleted mantle peridotite. This also implies that chromitite forming magma was originally highly S-under saturated (e.g. boninitic magma) to be able to dissolve the mantle sulfides and partly removed the Pd and Pt resident in their mantle host rocks (Ahmed et al., 2009).

6.2 The geochemical signature of the trace and platinum-group elements

PGE in ultramafic melts is released from mantle sources as a result of different partial melting of sulfide or PGE alloy minerals. Only a few of podiform chromitites have enriched in PGE; however, their total PGE contents are few to be economically extracted (Economou-Eliopoulos, 1996; Prichard et al., 1996; Ohnenstetter et al., 1991). A critical melting stage (Twenty to twenty-five percent of mantle melting) would be necessary in order to release large amounts of PG elements into mantle melts. The release of sufficient PGE into the melt will in a narrow range of melting. Increasing melting percentages result in dilution of the PGE and lower degree of partial melting caused most of these elements remains in the sulfides of the residuum (Naldrett et al., 1979; Hamlyn et al., 1985; Hamlyn and Keays, 1986; Naldrett and von Gruenewaldt, 1989; Rehkämper et al., 1999; O’Hara et al., 2001a, b; Mungall, 2005; Prichard et al., 2008).

The most Iranian chromitite are characterized by low to moderate total PGE content, that hardly exceeds of 500 ppb, and Pt and Pd contents are very low (Jannessary et al., 2012). This is in the range of Alpine-type ophiolitic chromitite, (e. g. Ahmed and Arai, 2002, Economou-Eliopoulos, 1996; Kock et al., 2007, Uysal et al 2010). The Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite is characterized by high Cr²⁺ (average of 0.75), low PGE content (average = 220.34), and a systematic enrichment in IPGE (Os, Ir, Ru) relative to PPGE (Rh, Pt, Pd) (Table 4), a feature typical of the worldwide ophiolitic podiform chromitites. Kozlu et al. (2014) believed that if chromitites were formed by a fractionation from an originally high-chromian melt, therefore the PGE mineralization is related to a high degree of fractionation and a higher degree of partial melting, the reverse relationship can be deduced from high Al chromitite. Chondrite-normalized PGE patterns for the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites with general negatively sloping patterns are similar to those of mantle-derived podiform chromitites formed in supra-subduction zones worldwide (Figs. 7a and b) (Melcher et al., 1999; Ahmed and Arai, 2002; Garuti et al., 2005; Baspinar, 2006; Akbulut et al., 2010; Dönmez et al., 2014; Ismail et al., 2014; Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017). The relative enrichment of IPGE in chromitite samples is reflected by highly negative slopes from Ru to Pt. These patterns and the low PGE abundances are typical of chromitites in ophiolites from elsewhere (Such as Abdasht; Faryab; N Shitna; Elekdag high-Cr and Luobusa ultra-high pressure chromitites) (e.g. Rajabzadeh et al., 1988; Uysal et al., 2009; Dönmez et al., 2014; Ismail et al., 2014; Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016). The high-Cr chromitite are as a result of S-under saturated boninitic magma that reacted with depleted harzburgite at a deeper level (Zhou et al., 1998, Ismail et al., 2014). Chondrite-normalized PGE patterns show slightly positive Ru and strongly negative Pt anomalies (Fig. 7). As stated by many authors (Bacuta et al., 1990; Ismail et al., 2014) PPGE (especially Pt), behave as more incompatible elements than the other IPGE during hydrous partial melting in a
supra-subduction zone and therefore enriched in later fractionate as Pt-Fe alloy, causing a strongly negative Pt-anomaly in early formed chromitites.

Previous studies suggest that the enrichment of PGE can be controlled by the presence of magmatic sulfides accompanied by chromite or PGE during fractionation (Cina et al., 2002). However, chromitite, dunite and harzburgite samples in the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit have a wide range of Pd/Ir values (0.05 to 0.18 in chromitites, 3.2 to 3.5 in dunite, and 2.8 to 4.0 in harzburgite) such as the Abdasht ultramafic complex (Najaqzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016) and Elakdag ophiolite (Donmez et al., 2014), which reflect variations in the amounts of partial melting rather than magmatic fractionation (Naldrett et al., 1979; Page et al., 2008) (Table 4; Fig. 12). The preferential concentration of the extracted PGE in silicate melts during partial melting, while the mantle residue is enriched in IPGE, may explain the decrease of the Pd/Ir ratio with increasing partial melting degree in the mantle peridotites of ophiolites (Garuti et al., 1997; Melcher et al., 1997; Uysal et al., 2009; Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017). Based on Economou-Eliopoulos et al. (2017), the large chromite deposits exhibit Pd/Ir<1 and negative Pt/ Pt* values, which reflect partial melting of the already depleted mantle. Also, it is believed that difference in the Pd/Ir ratio is considered as an index of fractionation degree of the PGE during the petrological process (Barnes et al., 1985). Accordingly, the low Pd/Ir and Pt/Pt* values suggesting that the parent magma exhibits a very low fractionation degree and was derived by an increasing partial melting degree (Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017). On the based Pd/Ir versus Pt/Pt* diagram, the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite indicate a partial melting trend (Fig. 12). Pd/Ir ratios (0.05–0.18) for the chromitites from the Cheshmeh-Bid are significantly lower than values for the average mantle, and show that derived from a depleted mantle where IPGE crystallize and are left out from the melt whereas other PGEs remain in residual melt (e.g. Garuti et al., 1997; Dönmez et al., 2014). As illustrated in Table 4, dunites and harzburgites in the Cheshmeh-Bid ophiolite are depleted in PGE relative to the associated chromitites, while enriched relative to the upper mantle (McDonough and Sun, 1995). The dunites from the Cheshmeh-Bid Ophiolite have similar chondrite-normalized PGE patterns to harzburgites and are relatively flat but indicate weakly negative Ir and Rh anomalies (Fig. 7b). In addition, there are some negative Pt anomalies (Ch-D-3 and Ch-H-2 samples) for peridotites. The trend shows that Pt behaves as incompatible elements during mantle partial melting, although it is depleted to an appreciable extent only at relatively high degrees of melting when residual dunites are produced (Garuti et al., 1997). Both the dunites and harzburgites are somewhat richer in PPGE than in IPGE (Table 4).

Despite the economic significance of PGE, it still remains ambiguous how PGE are mobilized distributed and transported in the mantle and subsequently become enriched in chromitites as part of the ophiolite. Some researchers (Brenan and Andrews, 2001; Andrews and Brenan, 2002) believe that the partial melting, temperature, and sulfur fugacity are the most important controlling factors in the deposition of sulfides or alloys of PGE (especially Ru-Ir-Os). IPGM as primary inclusion in chromitite, are controlled by fS2 and temperature of magma (Economou-Eliopoulos et al., 2017). Accordingly, the difference in sulfur saturation in boninitic and tholeitic melts determines PGEs contents in chromitites (Prichard et al., 1996). Boninite-like melts are commonly unsaturated in sulfur and formed by the partial melting of an excessively depleted mantle source at high grade (≥20%) hence, there is approximately a positive relationship between the degree of partial melting, and the PGEs contents and Cr# (Zhou et al., 1998; Prichard et al., 2008; Dönmez et al., 2014). The mostly inverse relationship of higher Cr# and lower PPGE content can be interpreted in two ways depending on the nature of chromium and alumina spinel formation (Kozlue et al., 2014). In the high Cr# chromitite, IPGE content is related to the high degree of partial melting, whereas the strong depletion in PPGE and decoupling between IPGE and PPGE in high-Cr chromitite is related to the differences in melting point of these elements during partial melting (Ahmed and Arai, 2002). The IPGE with high melting points tend to be retained in high-Cr chromitite at high degrees of partial melt, whereas PPGE with lower melting points tend to be retained in the residual melt, which will precipitate at shallow level in the ophiolitic sequences and
mainly associated with sulfides in gabbros and transition dunites (Ahmed and Arai, 2002). Instance, the Tang-Hana small podiform chromitite (northern part of the Neyriz ophiolite), has higher PGE content (average = (1556 ppm) and lower Cr$^+$ than the Cheshmeh-Bid deposit (Jannessary et al., 2012). In fact, removal of sulfide minerals prior to chromitite formation and high-degrees of partial melting, lead to leaching of PPGE from the peridotite unites and transferring them into the S under-saturated magmas, leaving a mantle residue highly depleted in these elements (e.g. Zhou et al., 1998; Ismail et al., 2014; Najafzadeh and Ahmadipour, 2016). This type of magmas is derived from a supra-subduction zone setting. Generally, in supra-subduction zone environments, the partial melting grad is much higher than in mid-ocean ridge settings. In these geodynamic settings peridotites have been highly depleted and metasomatized and the partial melting has been done under hydrous conditions (Arai and Matsukage, 1998; Ahmed and Arai, 2002). This stage of the partial melting will leave behind a podiform chromitite notably enriched in Cr and IPGE and highly depleted in PPGE (e.g. Zhou et al., 1998; Bückl et al., 2004; Grammatikopoulos et al., 2011).

The high-Cr$^+$, low Pd + Pt and high IPGE/PPGE ratios in the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites suggesting that a high-degree of partial melting was responsible for the formation of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites. These characteristics show that the chromitites were crystallized from boninitic melts in a supra-subduction setting similar to the podiform chromitites from the Qalander ophiolite in Iraq (Ismail et al., 2014). In addition, these geochemical features also implies that the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites have been probably derived from an S under-saturated boninitic magma that reacted with depleted peridotites and has been able to dissolve the mantle sulfides and that Pt and Pd were partly removed either before or during chromitite formation (Zhou et al., 1998; Garuti, 2004; Uysal et al., 2009; Zaccarini et al., 2011; González-Jiménez et al., 2011).

Trace elements composition of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite samples shows that depletion of Ga and V compared to MORB reflects more reducing conditions or more oxidation conditions during ascending of boninitic magma from a higher depth to shallower parts. Titanium, Ga, Ni and V contents of chromites from podiform chromitites in the Cheshmeh-Bid mine show relatively negative correlations with Cr$^+$ (Fig. 9). It suggests that boninitic magmas are clearly depleted in the Ga, V, Ti, and Ni in contrast to MORB magmas (Fig. 9). Also, negative correlation between Cr$^+$ and Ga; suggest that Ga abundances are controlled by the distribution of trivalent cations (Zhou et al., 2014). Based on Page and Barnes (2009) contents of Zn, Al, Mn, Ti, V, Ga, and Co are mainly controlled by chromite crystallization, but Ni and Mg mainly by olivine. Ni, Ga, Al contents are useful for distinguishing boninitic chromite from MORB chromite.

6.3 Implications for the geodynamic setting

The Neyriz Tectonic setting is an immature island arc (Shahabpour, 2005) that developed above a subduction zone during the Jurassic and Cretaceous. A steep subduction angle is indicated by the presence of Jurassic-Cretaceous subduction-related, calc-alkaline volcanic and plutonic rocks in the Sanandaj-Sirjan zone and the absence of such rock types in the Uromieh-Dokhtar magmatic belt (Berberian and Berberian, 1981; Shahabpour, 2005, Ghazi et al., 2010).

The chemical composition of chromite acts as a conservative index and can be used to constrain the parent melt composition and tectonic settings (e.g. Dick and Bullen, 1984; Arai, 1992; Zhou et al., 1996; Kamenetsky et al., 2001; Page and Barnes, 2009). The field observations and the geochemical features of chromitite and associated ultramafic rocks were used to discriminate the tectonic setting of the Cheshmeh-Bid area. The geological, petrographical and geochemical complexity of ophiolitic podiform chromitites in different tectonomagmatic environments has been discussed for over two decades (Melcher et al., 1997; Zhou and Robinson, 1997; Zhou et al., 1998; Malitch et al., 2003; Bückl et al., 2004; Gervilla et al., 2005; Robinson and Zhou, 2008; Ismail et al., 2014; Dönmez et al., 2014; Kozlue et al., 2014; Najafzadeh and Ahmadi, 2016).

These studies show that chromitite formation may occur in the upper mantle as ‘stratiform’ and ‘podiform’ types both in the ultramafics and also at the crust-mantle transition zone. However, it is now widely accepted that most ophiolitic podiform chromitites contain geochemical signatures indicative of their formation in supra-subduction zone environments (Robinson and Zhou, 2008). Based on Kocks et al. (2007), fluxing of previously depleted peridotites by hydrous fluids and/or melts within SSZ environments results in chromium and PGE-rich melts that are able to migrate out of their source region.

Based on the geochemical characteristics of chromian spinel, two groups of data can be recognized: (1) chromian spinel from chromitites and associated dunite envelopes, and (2) chromian spinel from harzburgite host. The average Cr-ratios in chromian spinel of chromitites and dunite envelopes are 0.75 (0.72–0.78) and 0.76 (0.73–0.8), respectively. The average Cr-ratio of chromian spinel in harzburgite host is distinguishable from the earlier ones; it is 0.6 (0.56–0.65) (Tables 1 and 2). Harzburgite, which is the main mantle lithology in the Cheshmeh-Bid ophiolite,
is the most common host for podiform chromitites in ophiolites of different tectonic settings and ages (Arai, 1997), and it is the most common lithology in the ophiolitic mantle section worldwide (Ahmed and Arai, 2002; Le Mee et al., 2004; Habtoor et al., 2017). The intermediate average Cr-ratio of chromian spinels and the low PGE contents with approximately unfractonated patterns (Fig. 7b) of the studied harzburgite host suggest a mantle residue after a low degree of partial melting at MOR setting (Habtoor et al., 2017). It is highly possible, therefore, that the harzburgite host of the Cheshmeh-Bid was derived from the ophiolitic upper mantle section produced at an MOR environment. This is supported by the Al₂O₃-TiO₂ binary diagram (Fig. 13a), where all the analyzed chromian spinels from harzburgite are entirely plotted within the MOR peridotite field. Kamenetsky et al. (2001) believed that the TiO₂ and Al₂O₃ compositions of chromian spinel are key factors in determining different tectonic settings. The TiO₂ content of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite (average of 0.17), dunite (average of 0.08) and harzburgite (average of 0.04) is very low. As illustrated in Fig. 13a, chromian spinel compositions of the chromitites and dunites from the Cheshmeh-Bid are a plot in the arc and supra-subduction zone fields. In addition, in TiO₂ versus Fe₂O₃ binary diagram, all of the samples indicate supra-subduction zone ophiolites (Fig. 13b). Parental magma compositions of high-Cr chromitites were probably formed as a result of the interaction of boninitic melts and peridotites of various degrees of depletion. (Rollinson, 2008; González-Jiménez et al., 2011). Such types of melt-rock interaction patterns show that the Cheshmeh-Bid chromian spinels most likely occurred in the fore-arc tectonomagmatic environment (Parkinson and Pearce, 1998; Okamura et al., 2006). The high Cr² values and low TiO₂ and Al₂O₃ content of the Cheshmeh-Bid high-Cr chromitites suggest that these chromitites were likely formed in the mantle beneath a fore-arc setting related with a supra-subduction zone (Fig. 13c). The high-Cr chromitites are poor in PGE content (average of 220 ppb, Table 4) and PGM. Low Pd/Ir and high IPGE/PPGE ratios (average of 0.11 and 12.11, respectively) reveal higher degrees of partial melting in a supra-subduction zone.

Ophiolites from the Zagros Suture Zone can be divided in two the parallel belts as the outer and inner Zagros ophiolitic belts. The Cheshmeh-Bid ophiolite from the outer belt shows remnants of the southern branch of the Neo-Tethyan Ocean in Iran. Some researchers, in terms of
the geochemical characteristics of the ultramafic rocks and mineral chemical compositions of peridotites and chromitites from the inner and outer belt ophiolites suggested that the back-arc and island-arc settings are the most widely-recognized settings for the formation of podiform chromitites, respectively (Shafaii Moghadam and Stern 2011a,b; Ghazi et al., 2010, 2011). In these settings, chromitites probably have been formed by melt/rock interaction in the upper mantle (Zhou and Bai, 1994).

Several pieces of evidence such as the existence of high-Cr chromitites, boninitic magmas, the low TiO₂ and Mg # similar to those of boninitic melt in a supra-subduction setting that reacted with depleted peridotites and have been able to dissolve the mantle sulfides and that Pt and Pd were partly removed either before or during chromitite formation.

High degrees of partial melting resulted in high Cr # and chromian spinel compositions of both chromitite and peridotites suggest a fore-arc tectonic setting for the Cheshmeh-Bid, similar to other ophiolites in the outer Zagros ophiolitic belt.

7 Conclusions

Based on petrographical, mineralogical and geochemical studies of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite and their host rocks, the following conclusions can be deduced:

(1) The mantle peridotites hosting the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites are serpentinized harzburgites with less abundant dunites. Olivine composition in the Cheshmeh-Bid harzburgite and dunite is highly forsterite (Fo>90%) with little variation.

(2) The podiform Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites have Cr # > 0.7, low% TiO₂ (0.17), and FeO # and Mg # similar to those of boninitic chromitites. The Al₂O₃, TiO₂ contents and FeO/MgO ratio of the parental melt for the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites range from 11.53 wt% to 12.94 wt%, 0.21 wt% to 0.33 wt% and 0.69 to 0.97, respectively. The composition of the parental melt from which the high-Cr chromitites of the Cheshmeh-Bid crystallized is more refractory melts akin to boninitic compositions.

(3) The composition of chromian spinels in chromitites (ave. ~0.75) and dunite (ave. ~0.76) envelopes shows little variation, which is quite different from those in the harzburgite host (ave. ~0.6). The high Cr-ratio of chromian spinels in chromitites and associated dunite envelopes along with very low TiO₂ content, suggests their formation by the interaction between MOR mantle harzburgite with arc-related magma.

(4) Total PGE content of the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitite, dunite and harzburgite are very low (average of 220.4, 34.5, and 47.3, respectively). The Cheshmeh-Bid samples are enriched in Ir-group and depleted in the Pt-group (SIPGE/SPPGE = 0.8.20–22.25). High degrees of partial melting caused dilution of PGE in the primary magma resulting in decreasing contents of PGE. The Low Pd/Ir ratios show that the chromitites from the Cheshmeh-Bid derived from a depleted mantle where IPGE crystallize and were left out from the melt whereas other PGEs remain in residual melt. The high IPGE/PtGE ratios, negative Pt/Pt* values, low Pd/Ir ratio in the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites probably reflect that the parent magma exhibit a very low fractionation degree and was derived by an increasing partial melting degree. These geochemical characteristics suggest that the Cheshmeh-Bid chromitites have been probably derived from an S under-saturated boninitic melt in a supra-subduction setting that reacted with depleted peridotites and have been able to dissolve the mantle sulfides and that Pt and Pd were partly removed either before or during chromitite formation.

(5) The evidence mentioned above suggest a fore-arc tectonic setting related with a supra-subduction zone for the Cheshmeh-Bid, similar to other ophiolites in the outer Zagros ophiolitic belt. A steep angle of subducting Neothetys ocean crust at this time resulted in high degree partial melting and the production of hot water-rich melts from which chromite precipitated during ascent.
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