华北克拉通南部熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪 年代地层格架和演化

李振生¹⁾,江柔柔¹⁾,马学婷¹⁾,张妍¹⁾,李全忠¹⁾,张交东²⁾ 1) 合肥工业大学资源与环境工程学院,安徽合肥,230009;

2) 中国地质调查局油气资源调查中心,北京,100083

内容提要:熊耳盆地发育华北克拉通最齐全的晚前寒武纪地层,是认识华北克拉通晚前寒武纪沉积和构造演 化历史的理想地区。依据收集和自测的 38 个碎屑岩和 23 个岩浆岩样品的锆石年代学数据,结合岩相区域对比、 沉积古地理格局继承关系等,细化年代地层格架和分析盆地构造属性,重塑熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪构造演化历史及 沉积古地理格局。重新厘定的晚前寒武纪年代地层格架将熊耳盆地演化划分为六个阶段:早长城世裂陷、晚长城 世断陷、蓟县纪坳陷、待建纪早期坳陷、青白口纪坳陷及晚震旦世冰期坳陷,以及早长城世末期、待建纪中晚期和南 华纪一早震旦世三期重要的沉积间断。熊耳盆地存在晚长城世和青白口纪两期碰撞型一伸展型的盆地属性转换, 支持北秦岭地体与华北克拉通多期拼贴一裂解的演化模式。中元古代早长城世一待建纪早期的火山-沉积岩系及 1.64~1.47 Ga 非造山岩浆事件是 Columbia 超大陆裂解的地质响应,青白口纪早期碰撞型沉积岩系和晚期伸展型 火山-沉积岩系分别是 Rodinia 超大陆汇聚和裂解的地质响应。

关键词:晚前寒武纪;盆地构造属性;超大陆演化;熊耳盆地;北秦岭

华北克拉通发育多个晚前寒武纪沉积盆地 (Peng Peng, 2015a; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Guan Shuwei et al., 2017),包括熊耳(或称豫陕— 吕梁)盆地、燕辽盆地、北缘(或称渣尔泰—白云鄂 博—化德)盆地、东缘(或称胶辽徐淮)盆地以及西缘 (或称贺兰—定边—晋陕)盆地(图 1a)。最新修订 的晚前寒武纪年代地层格架打破了华北克拉通从长 城纪到青白口纪近于连续沉积的传统认识(Li Huaikun et al., 2013; Su Wenbo, 2016),这使人 们不得不重新审视华北晚前寒武纪地层的时代归 属、沉积大地构造背景和古大陆的重建方案等。

华北克拉通晚前寒武纪盆地的发育机制和演化 过程存在多种解释(Qiao Xiufu et al., 2014; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhong Yan et al., 2019 和 其引用文献),主流观点认为华北地区始终处于反复 扩张裂解状态(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Guan Shuwei et al., 2017), 是华北克拉通对哥伦比亚(Columbia)和罗迪尼亚 (Rodinia)超大陆裂解过程的响应(Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2016a, 2016b; Zhao Taiping et al., 2016);然而,华北克拉 通周缘曾处于俯冲-碰撞构造环境的认识越来越多 (Su Wenbo et al., 2008; Su Wenbo, 2016; Qiao Xiufu et al., 2014; Zhong Yan et al., 2019), 尤其 是熊耳盆地(Zhao Guochun et al., 2003a; He Yanhong et al., 2009, 2010; Hu Guohui et al., 2012b; Meng Yao et al., 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)。熊耳盆地发育 机制和演化过程一直存在争议,例如,熊耳群火山岩 具有"岛弧型"地球化学特征(Zhao Guochun et al., 2003a; Zhao Taiping et al., 2004; Meng Yao et al., 2018),其成因及构造属性有同造山 B 型或安

 引用本文:李振生,江柔柔,马学婷,张妍,李全忠,张交东. 2021. 华北克拉通南部熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪年代地层格架和演化. 地质学报, 95(11): 3234~3255,doi: 10.19762/j.cnki.dizhixuebao.2021259.
 Li Zhensheng, Jiang Rourou, Ma Xueting, Zhang Yan, Li Quanzhong, Zhang Jiaodong. 2021. Late Precambrian chronostratigraphic framework and tectonic evolution of the Xiong'er basin in southern North China Craton. Acta Geologica Sinica, 95(11): 3234~3255.

注:本文为中央高校基本科研业务费专项资金(编号 JZ2021HGQA0266)、国家自然科学基金项目(编号 41372194、41372123)和中国地质 调查局地质调查项目(编号 1211302108023-1)资助成果。

收稿日期:2020-11-12;改回日期:2021-06-28;网络发表日期:2021-08-11;责任编委:刘俊来;责任编辑:郭现轻。

作者简介:李振生,男,1976年生。博士,副研究员,主要从事沉积岩石学和基础油气地质学研究。E-mail: lizhensh@163.com; lizs@ustc.edu.cn。通讯作者:张妍,女,1981年生。博士,矿物学、岩石学、矿床学专业。E-mail: hefeizhangyan@126.com。



图 1 华北克拉通晚前寒武纪盆地略图(a,据 Peng Peng, 2015a 和 Guan Shuwei et al., 2017 修改) 和南华北克拉通及秦岭造山带地质简图(b)

Fig. 1 Distribution of the late Precambrain basins in the North China Carton (a, modified after Peng Peng, 2015a and Guan Shuwei et al., 2017), and geological map of the southern North China Carton and Qinling orogenic belt (b)
NMR—北缘盆地;EMR—东缘盆地;YLR—燕辽盆地;XER—熊耳盆地;WMR—西缘盆地;SNCC—华北克拉通南部;NQT—北秦岭地体;
SQT—南秦岭地体;TLF—郯庐断裂;LLF—洛南—栾川断裂;SDSZ—商丹缝合带;SJ—嵩箕地层分区;MQ—渑池—确山地层分区;LL—卢氏—栾川地层分区

NMR—Northern marginal basin; EMR—eastern marginal basin; YLR—Yan-Liao basin; XER—Xiong'er basin; WMR—western marginal basin; SNCC—southern North China Carton; NQT—North Qinling Terrane; SQT—South Qinling Terrane; TLF—Tancheng-Lujiang Fault; LLF—Luonan-Luanchuan Fault; SDSZ—Shangdan suture zone; SJ—Song-Ji stratigraphic area; MQ—Mianchi-Queshan stratigraphic area; LL—Lushi-Luanchuan stratigraphic area

第斯型火山岛弧环境(Jia Chengzao, 1987; Hu Shouxi et al., 1988; Zhao Guochun et al., 2003a, 2009; He Yanhong et al., 2009, 2010)、同造山弧 后裂陷/拉张盆地环境(Hu Dexiang et al., 1987; Wang Miao et al., 2020a, 2020b)、造山后伸展/裂 谷环境(Zhao Taiping et al., 2009; Deng Xiaoqin et al., 2016a, 2019)、非造山大陆边缘裂谷/坳拉槽 环境(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001; Cui Minli et al., 2011; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhao Taiping et al., 2016; Wang Changming et al., 2019)以及活 动大陆边缘弧和被动裂谷并存(Chen Yanjing et al., 1992)等不同认识;对于熊耳群上覆沉积岩系, 依据组分 QFL 分析、元素地球化学分析等判断其沉 积构造背景为被动大陆边缘(Guan Baode et al., 1993; Zhou Hongrui et al., 1999),但部分学者强 调了顶部层位形成于被动大陆边缘向活动大陆边缘 转化的背景下(Jiang Ganqing et al., 1994; Zhou Hongrui et al., 1999; Hu Guohui et al., 2012b; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)。

熊耳盆地发育华北克拉通最齐全的晚前寒武纪 地层,岩浆事件记录较丰富,多层位赋存遗迹化石、 微古植物及宏观藻类化石等,为华北克拉通晚前寒 武纪相关研究的热点地区之一(Guan Baode et al., 1988; Zhou Hongrui et al., 1999; Zhao Guochun et al., 2009; Zhao Taiping et al., 2016; Wang Changming et al., 2019), 也是探讨华北克拉通与 超大陆演化关系和早期生命演化进程的重要地区 (Xiao Shuhai et al., 1997; Zhao Taiping et al., 2002; Peng Peng et al., 2008; Wang Xiaolei et al., 2011; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Zhang Heng et al., 2019)。近期依靠火山岩或凝灰质沉积夹层的 确认和高精度年代学测试,陆续获得不少标定熊耳 盆地晚前寒武纪年代地层格架的"锚点"(Su Wenbo, 2016; Zhang Heng et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020; Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020), 同时相应的物源分析证实熊耳盆地中、新元古代古 地理格局明显不同(Hu Guohui et al., 2012b; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a, 2019b; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020),导致需要重新 认识华北南部地区晚前寒武纪沉积和构造演化 (Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a; Wang Miao et al., 2020a)。然而,由于缺少可靠的同位素测年对象,一 些关键层位至今没有获得新的突破(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020)。本次工作全面收集和自测了熊耳盆地 晚前寒武纪碎屑岩和相关岩浆岩的锆石年代学数 据,依据岩相区域对比、碎屑锆石年龄谱对比和沉积 古地理格局继承关系等完善年代地层格架,对缺乏 可靠年龄约束的黄连垛组、董家组和五佛山群等层 位归属进行讨论;利用碎屑锆石年代学数据统计分 析盆地构造属性,结合区域的沉积和岩浆事件,重塑 区域构造演化历史及沉积古地理格局等。

1 区域地质背景

华北克拉通是中国规模最大的克拉通,由早前 寒武纪变质基底和晚前寒武纪一显生宙沉积盖层组 成。华北克拉通的早前寒武纪构造格架由东部陆 块、中部造山带和西部陆块三个主要构造单元所组 成,东、西陆块在古元古代末期发生汇聚碰撞,并在 ~1.85 Ga 沿中部造山带最终拼贴形成统一的克拉 通基底(Zhao Guochun et al., 2003b, 2012);自 1.8 Ga 进入地台演化阶段,晚前寒武纪经历了多期 裂谷事件,并伴随有周期性陆内岩浆活动(Lu Songnian et al., 2008; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2016a)。燕辽、熊耳和北 缘盆地主要由中元古界长城系、蓟县系、待建系和新 元古界青白口系组成(Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Hu Jianmin et al., 2016; Su Wenbo, 2016; Zhang Heng et al., 2019; Zhong Yan et al., 2019)。其 中,熊耳盆地形成最早,起始以~1.78 Ga熊耳群火 山岩系为代表。西缘盆地由中元古界长城系、蓟县 系及待建系组成(Li Zhenhong et al., 2019), 起始 以甘肃省华亭县马峡镇高山河组(群)下部 1759± 17 Ma 凝灰岩夹层(Tan Cong et al., 2019)为代表。 东缘盆地形成最晚,主体由新元古界青白口系和少 量的南华系一震旦系组成(Yang Debin et al., 2012; Su Wenbo, 2016)。

熊耳盆地位于华北克拉通南部豫-陕-晋交界地 区,前寒武纪岩石序列发育完整,是我国记录前寒武 纪地质的典型地区之一。其早前寒武纪结晶基底属 于中部造山带中南段;晚前寒武纪沉积盖层由熊耳 群陆相一海相火山-沉积岩系和上覆的小沟背组、汝 阳群、洛峪群、高山河群、官道口群、五佛山群等河 流一滨浅海相陆源碎屑岩-碳酸盐岩沉积岩系以及 罗圈组陆相一海陆过渡相冰碛岩系组成(Guan Baode et al., 1988; DGMRHP, 1997; BGMRSP, 1998; Hu Guohui et al., 2013),自东北向西南划分 为嵩山一箕山(嵩箕,SJ)、渑池一确山(MQ)和卢 氏一栾川(LL)三个地层分区(图 1b),地层划分及 接触关系如表1所示。

熊耳盆地南缘与秦岭造山带以洛南-栾川-方城 断裂带为界(图 1b),秦岭造山带以商丹-勉略缝合 带为界划分为北秦岭和南秦岭构造带(地体)。其中 北秦岭构造带是秦岭造山带中变形变质、岩浆活动 最为强烈的地带,以多条断裂为界自北向南依次划 分为宽坪群中元古代一新元古代早期火山岩系和 (新元古代晚期一)早古生代沉积岩系、二郎坪群早 古生代火山-沉积岩系、秦岭群(古元古代一)中元古 代末期一新元古代早期火山-沉积岩系和丹凤群早 古生代火山-沉积岩系(Shi Yu et al., 2013; Zhang Zhen et al., 2015; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2019)。





Table 1 The Late Precambrian stratigraphic correlation table of Xiong'er and Yan-Liao basin

表 1

熊耳盆地各地层分区及燕辽盆地晚前寒武纪地层对比表

注:燕辽盆地控制年龄来源:①—Gao Wei et al., 2008; Li Huaikun et al., 2011;②—Sun Huiyi et al., 2013; Liu dianbo et al., 2019;③— Zhang Jian et al., 2015;④—Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2013;⑤—Tian Hui et al., 2015;⑥—Li Huaikun et al., 2014;⑦—Su Wenbo et al., 2010; Li Huaikun et al., 2014; Guo Wenlin et al., 2019;⑧—Gao Linzhi et al., 2007, 2008; Su Wenbo et al., 2008, 2010;⑨—Li Huaikun et al., 2009; Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2009, 2012, 2017; ⑩—Wang Changming et al., 2019。熊耳盆地控制年龄来源详见表 2。

2 董家组和黄连垛组碎屑锆石年代学 分析

在渑池一确山地层分区,最新的锆石 U-Pb 年 龄资料限定熊耳群一汝阳群一洛峪群归属于长城系 (Su Wenbo, 2016; Zhang Heng et al., 2019);上 覆的黄连垛组和董家组的时代归属是约束区域沉 积-构造演化的关键因素之一,但尚缺少可靠的同位 素年龄约束。黄连垛组和董家组曾划归震旦系 (Guan Baode et al., 1988; DGMRHP, 1997; Hu Guohui et al., 2013)或分属蓟县系和青白口系(Su Wenbo, 2016)等。本次工作补充了黄连垛组和董 家组的碎屑锆石年代学分析,探讨其沉积时代和物 质来源,为区域沉积-构造演化提供新的约束。

2.1 岩石学特征

本次黄连垛组和董家组样品采自鲁山县下汤镇 九女洞剖面(图 2a; Guan Baode et al., 1988)。董 家组样品采自石盘地村北(33°45′41.8″N,111°40 43.5″E),YX69样品为中下部黄色中薄层中粒长石 石英砂岩(图 2b),YX70样品为下部灰白色中厚层 粗粒长石石英砂岩(图 2c),分选和磨圆均较好,颗 粒成分为石英、微斜长石和白云母,填隙物为绢云母 化黏土杂基。黄连垛组 YX192样品采自庙前沟村 黄连垛西坡(33°45′33.3″N,111°40′14.4″E),为下部





Ms-Muscovite; Mc-microcline; Qtz-quartz

浅肉红色厚层细粒石英砂岩(图 2d),分选和磨圆 好,颗粒成分为石英和极少量微斜长石,填隙物为自 生加大石英胶结物和少量绢云母化黏土杂基。

2.2 分析方法

单矿物锆石分选、锆石制靶、CL照片和锆石U-Pb年代学测试分别在廊坊市峰泽源岩矿检测技术 有限公司、北京锆年领航科技有限公司和合肥工业 大学资源与环境工程学院LA-ICPMS实验室完成, 详细的预处理、测试流程和条件见LiZhensheng et al. (2020)。

对于锆石²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U 表面年龄<1500 Ma 的样品,采用²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U 表面年龄(Spencer et al., 2016),有效年龄统计时剔除²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U-²⁰⁷ Pb/²³⁵ U 谐和度(Con1)<90%的数据点。对于锆石²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U表面年龄>1500 Ma 的样品,采用²⁰⁷ Pb/²⁰⁶ Pb 表面年龄,有效年龄统计时剔除²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U-²⁰⁷ Pb/²⁰⁶ Pb 表面年龄,有效年龄统计时剔除²⁰⁶ Pb/²³⁸ U-²⁰⁷ Pb/²⁰⁶ Pb 赫谐和度(Con2)<90%的数据点。对收集的碎屑锆石年代学数据采取相同的方法重新统计。谐和度计算公式:

 $Con1 = \{1 - abs \lceil 1 - (^{206} Pb / ^{238} U age) / \}$

$$(^{207} \text{Pb}/^{235} \text{U age})] \times 100\%$$

Con2= $\{1-abs[1-(^{206} \text{Pb}/^{238} \text{U age})/(^{207} \text{Pb}/^{206} \text{Pb age})] \times 100\%$

2.3 分析结果

董家组长石石英砂岩 YX69 和 YX70 中锆石粒 径多为 50~200 μ m,黄连垛组石英砂岩 YX192 中 锆石粒径为 30~140 μ m。CL 图像显示锆石颗粒内 部结构清晰(图 3),大部分具有清晰的振荡环带,部 分弱分带或无分带(如 YX69-78,YX70-41,YX192-35,YX192-79);Th/U 比值为 0.14~3.83,绝大部 分>0.4(图 4a、b),表明绝大部分为岩浆成因锆石, 部分为岩浆锆石但可能受变质作用及再旋回影响 (Wu Yuanbao et al., 2004)。

董家组长石石英砂岩 YX69 和 YX70 均测试 80 颗锆石的年龄数据点,分别获得了 67 个和 71 个有效 年龄(附表 1, http://www.geojournals.cn/dzxb/ch/ reader/view_abstract.aspx?file_no=202111099)。碎 屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄值介于 3448±35 Ma 和 1621± 59 Ma 之间,可分为 3448 Ma(n=1,0.7%)、3072~ 1950 Ma(n=133,96.4%)和 1876~1621 Ma(n=4,



图 3 董家组(a、b)和黄连垛组(c)代表性碎屑锆石 CL 图像

Fig. 3 Representative CL images of detrital zircons from Dongjia (a, b) and Huanglianduo (c) formations

2.9%),峰值为 2.96~2.69 Ga、~2.44 Ga、~2.30 Ga、~2.12 Ga 和~1.74 Ga(图 4c)。与已报道的长 石石英砂岩样品 17DJ-02 和 MLA-04-2(Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a)相比,本次的 YX69 和 YX70 两个样 品中~1.80 Ga 或~1.90 Ga 年龄的碎屑锆石所占 比例极低。所有样品碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄值明显老 于下伏的洛峪口组凝灰岩结晶年龄(1.65~1.60 Ga;表 2),未能有效地限定董家组的沉积时代。

黄连垛组石英砂岩 YX192 测试 80 颗锆石的年 龄数据点,获得了 62 个有效年龄(附表 1,http:// www.geojournals.cn/dzxb/ch/reader/view_abstract. aspx?file_no=202111099)。碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄值 介于 3482±58 Ma 和 1498±96 Ma 之间,可以分为 3482~3131 Ma(n=2,3.2%)、2854~2443 Ma(n=3,4.8%)、2621~2443 Ma(n=17,27.4%)、2311~ 1709 Ma(n=30,48.4%)和 1643~1498 Ma(n=10,16.1%),峰值为~2.75 Ga、~2.54 Ga、~2.15 Ga、~1.85 Ga 和~1.61 Ga(图 4d)。与已报道的 长石石英砂岩样品 17HLD-04(Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a)和石英砂岩样品 0724-4(Wang Miao et al., 2020a)相比,仅本次的 YX192 样品识别出~1.61 Ga次要年龄峰,相应的最年轻单颗粒锆石年龄 (YSG)和峰值年龄(YPP)分别为1498±96 Ma和 1568±61 Ma(MSWD= 0.18, n=6),限定黄连垛 组沉积时代不早于1568 Ma。

综合来看,董家组和黄连垛组砂岩汇总的碎屑 锆石年龄谱图相似,集中在 3.00~1.70 Ga,董家组 碎屑锆石的年龄峰值为 2.95~2.69 Ga、~2.43 Ga 和~1.85 Ga(图 4e),黄连垛组碎屑锆石的年龄峰 值为~2.88 Ga、~2.52 Ga、~2.18 Ga 和~1.85 Ga(图 4f), 与华北克拉通 2.9~2.7 Ga 陆壳巨量生 长事件(Huang Xiaolong et al., 2013; Zhao Guochun et al., 2013; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2016, 2018)、~2.5 Ga 陆壳生长和再造及变质事件 (Huang Xiaolong et al., 2013; Zhao Guochun et al., 2013; Xiao Lingling et al., 2015; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2016, 2018)、~2.31 Ga 和~2.10 Ga 古元古代 活动带岩浆事件(Huang Xiaolong et al., 2013; Zhao Guochun et al., 2013; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2016, 2018; Zhou Yanyan et al., 2016), \sim 1.97 Ga 和~1.85 Ga 两期变质作用和伴生的混合 岩化和花岗质岩浆事件(Zhao Guochun et al.,



图 4 董家组(a,c,e)和黄连垛组(b,d,f)碎屑锆石 Th/U 比值和年龄统计谱图(数据来源见表 2) Fig. 4 Th/U ratio and U-Pb age statistical histogram of detrital zircons from Dongjia (a, c, e) and Huanglianduo (b, d, f) Formations (the source information of the original data are detailed in Table 2)

2013; Xiao Lingling et al., 2015; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2016, 2018)及 1.84~1.53 Ga 造山后或非 造山岩浆事件(Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Deng Xiaoqin et al., 2016b, 2019; Zhao Taiping et al., 2016)具有极好的对应性,因此推断华北克拉通为黄 连垛组和董家组的物源区。

3 年代地层格架分析

碎屑锆石年代学统计结果已被广泛应用于限 定沉积地层的最大沉积年龄,最年轻单颗粒年龄 (YSG)、最年轻碎屑锆石年龄(YDZ)及最年轻峰 值年龄(YPP)是最可信的(Dickinson et al., 2009; Tucker et al., 2013; Coutts et al., 2019)。其中, YSG 是在全体数据中挑选出单个最年轻的碎屑锆 石颗粒,并且在 1σ之内需要覆盖次年轻的锆石年 龄;YPP 是在直方图上记录的最年轻的碎屑锆石 年龄峰值,通过沿着一个年龄概率曲线图或者年 龄分布曲线识别第一个最大年龄峰值(几个颗粒 或者颗粒簇,即碎屑锆石数≥3);YDZ 是使用蒙特 卡罗分析分析产生的最年轻年龄值。本文收集和 自测熊耳盆地 39 个碎屑岩样品的碎屑锆石年代 学数据,统计的 YSG、YPP 和 YDZ 年龄和相关的 23 个岩浆岩结晶年龄及数据来源情况详见表 2 及 图 1b。

3.1 年代地层基础框架

熊耳群沉积-火山岩系的形成时代为 1.78~ 1.75 Ga(Zhao Taiping et al., 2004; He Yanhong et al., 2009, 2010; Cui Minli et al., 2011; Wang



图 5 熊耳盆地按组统计的碎屑锆石年龄累计分布函数(a)和构造背景判别参数(b)

Fig. 5 The cumulative distribution function (a) and discriminant parameters of tectonic

setting (b) based on detrital zircon age of each group in Xiong'er basin

原始数据来源及地层符号见表 2; CDFs—累计分布函数,采用美国亚利桑那大学 LaserChron Centers 设计的 Excel 表格 (http://www.laserchron.org)计算;DA—假定的地层沉积年龄;CA_{5%}—累计概率 5%对应的年龄值;CA_{30%}—累计概率 30%对应的年龄值 The information of the original data and stratum symbol are shown in Table 2; CDFs—cumulative distribution functions, which are calculated by Excel spreadsheet designed by LaserChron Centers, University of Arizona, America (http://www.laserchron.org); DA—deposition age; CA_{5%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 5% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%}—crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%} = crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%} = crystallization age in the youngest 30% of the zircons; CA_{30%} = crystall

Changming et al., 2019), 归属于中元古界下长城 统。依据近年获得的最年轻碎屑锆石年龄和相关岩 浆岩的结晶年龄资料(表 2),将卢氏一栾川地区高 山河群、官道口群、白术沟组和栾川群分别归属为上 长城统、蓟县系、待建系下部和青白口系, 渑池一确 山地区的汝阳群一洛峪群归属为上长城统(表 1)。

熊耳盆地三个地层分区晚前寒武纪沉积岩系的 碎屑锆石年龄谱图自下而上变化规律相似(图 5a), 表明整个盆地的晚前寒武纪沉积序列及其演化可以 进行对比。物源分析证实中元古代长城纪和新元古 代青白口纪两期盆地的古地理格局明显不同:上长 城统兵马沟组、高山河群和汝阳群以华北克拉通内 部物源区为主(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2011, 2019; Li Meng et al., 2013; Hu Guohui et al., 2014; Yue Liang et al., 2017; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019b; Wang Miao et al., 2020a, 2020b),而青白口系栾 川群以华北克拉通南缘北秦岭物源区为主(Jia Chao, 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)。本次从沉积古地理格局 继承的角度出发,综合前人区域地层对比成果,讨论 缺乏可靠年龄约束的黄连垛组、董家组和五佛山群 的年代归属,最终厘定的熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪地层 对比方案见表1。

3.2 白术沟组时代探讨

熊耳盆地西南缘的洛南一卢氏(小秦岭)地区在 蓟县系官道口群碳酸盐岩和震旦系罗圈组冰碛砾岩 之间的一套碎屑岩系曾称为石北沟组或大庄组,区 域地层对比认为向东与栾川地区白术沟组相当 (DGMRHP, 1997; BGMRSP, 1998),向西可延伸 到鄂尔多斯西缘的岐山和陇山地区(Li Zhenhong et al., 2019)。目前白术沟组归属有两种观点:一种 观点将白术沟组划为官道口群顶部地层(Wang Xiaolei et al., 2011; Li Zhenhong et al., 2019; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019), 与燕辽地区待建系下马岭 组同期(Su Wenbo, 2016; Li Zhenhong et al., 2019; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020); 另一种观点将其划为 栾川群底部地层(Guan Baode et al., 1988; DGMRHP, 1997),属于青白口系(Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)。最近在栾川地区祖师庙、东沟脑、抱犊 寨等剖面的白术沟组上段识别出~1330 Ma 层凝灰 岩(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020);并依据最新区调资料 (2019年评审验收的三川幅1:5万区域地质调查

表 2 熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪碎屑岩的最年轻碎屑锆石年龄及相关岩浆岩的结晶年龄汇总表

Table 2 The youngest detrital zircon ages of the Late Precambrian detrital rocks and the crystallization ages of

related magmatic rocks in the Xiong'er basin

采样层位	岩性	样品编号	位置	方法	YSG 年龄	YPP 年龄	YDZ 年龄	来源
	てまた山	VV 41	細写	LA ICD MS	(1v1a)		(1018)	L' Cl - 2010
何家泰组	石央砂石	Y X41	W1	LA-ICP-MS	947 ± 21	976 ± 20	947.92	Jia Chao, 2018
	白央砂石	W150730-3	W1	LA-ICP-MS	991±20	(n=4)	+31/-48	Jia Chao, 2018
骆驼畔组 (01))	石央砂砾石 石井井井田	Y X25	W1	LA-ICP-MS	1//6±51	1748 ± 89	1608.3	自测;木友衣
	白 央砂	W150730-2	W1	LA-ICP-MS	1606±62	(n=5)	+120/-140	目测;木友表
上马鞍山组 (Ch ₂ sm)	石英砂岩	WFS-1	W1	LA-ICP-MS	1787 ± 8	1856 ± 56 (n=5)	1790 + 15/-34	Hu Guohui et al. , 2012a
	紫色砂岩	B065	W 3	LA-ICP-MS	1717 ± 42			Meng Yao et al. , 2018
下马鞍山组	砂岩	WFS13-01	W1	SIMS	1793 ± 6	1713 ± 17	1643.3	Zhang Hongfu et al. , 2016
$(Ch_2 xm)$	砂岩	AGQ13-08	W 2	SIMS	1788 ± 14	(<i>n</i> =11)	+37/-58	Zhang Hongfu et al. , 2016
	石英砂岩	WFS11	W1	LA-ICP-MS	1655 ± 22			Hu Guohui et al. , 2012a
兵马沟组	紫色砂岩	B063	W 3	LA-ICP-MS	1792 ± 147	1740 ± 70	1627 5	Meng Yao et al. , 2018
小沟背组	砂岩	B001	W 3	LA-ICP-MS	2137 ± 37	(n=4)	+82/-210	Meng Yao et al. , 2018
(Ch_2b)	砂岩	MC-1	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1676 ± 90	(11 1)	102/ 210	Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019b
苦它加	长石石英砂岩	MLA-04-2	R2	LA-ICP-MS	1813 ± 101	$ \begin{array}{r} 1685 \pm 61 \\ (n=5) \end{array} $	1562.5 + 67/-340	Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a
重 <u></u> 承租 (Xsd)	长石石英砂岩	17DJ-02	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1661 ± 129			Zuo Pengfei et al. , 2019a
(ASU)	长石石英砂岩	YX69,70	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1621 ± 59			本文
井井 川 加	长石石英砂岩	YX192	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1498 ± 96	1500 - 01	1450	本文
更進琛组 (Jub)	长石石英砂岩	17HLD-04	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1687 ± 107	1568 ± 61		Zuo Pengfei et al. , 2019a
(Jxn)	石英砂岩	0724-4	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1690 ± 66	(n-0)	+93/-290	Wang Miao et al. , 2020a
	砂岩	LLS02	R1	SIMS	1938 ± 12		1806.2	Lan Zhongwu et al. , 2014
二教室组	石英砂岩	ZTS13	R 5	LA-ICP-MS	1824 ± 58	1869 ± 55		Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
$(Ch_2 s)$	石英砂岩	ZTS14	R 5	LA-ICP-MS	1898 ± 54	(n=4)	+73/-120	Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
崔庄组 (Ch ₂ c)	砂岩	ZTS01	R7	LA-ICP-MS	1651 ± 61	1708 ± 64 (n=5)	1608.3 + 100/-150	Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
北大尖组 (Ch ₂ bd)	长石石英砂岩	ZTS02	R7	LA-ICP-MS	1743±72	$ \begin{array}{r} 1790 \pm 89 \\ (n=2) \end{array} $	1741.7 + 120/-160	Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
	石英砂岩	110504-1	R6	LA-ICP-MS	1817 ± 22	1828 ± 27 (<i>n</i> =3)	1807.5 + 37/-48	Li Meng et al. , 2013
白草坪组	石英砂岩	110505-2	R7	LA-ICP-MS	1829 ± 28			Li Meng et al. , 2013
(Ch_2bc)	石英砂岩	110504-2	R6	LA-ICP-MS	1838 ± 23			Li Meng et al. , 2013
	石英砂岩	110505-1	R7	LA-ICP-MS	1924 ± 17			Li Meng et al., 2013
	砂岩	Hu-28	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1682 ± 56		1581.3 +68/-110	Hu Guohui et al. , 2014
	砂岩	Hu-26	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1711 ± 57			Hu Guohui et al. , 2014
云梦山组	岩屑石英砂岩	Hu-2	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1717 ± 53	1664 ± 55		Hu Guohui et al. , 2014
$(Ch_2 y)$	石英砂岩	0723-5	R3	LA-ICP-MS	1644 ± 60	(<i>n</i> =5)		Wang Miao et al. , 2020a
	石英砂岩	ZTS18	R5	LA-ICP-MS	1722 ± 69			Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
	石英砂岩	ZTS19	R 5	LA-ICP-MS	1776 ± 53			Li Xiyao et al. , 2020
鱼库组 (Qby)	石英岩	YX120	L1	LA-ICP-MS	882±18	946 ± 150 (n=3)	882.5 +39/-41	Jia Chao, 2018
煤窑沟组 (Qbm)	石英阳起片岩	YX140	L1	LA-ICP-MS	884 ± 20	898 ± 26 (n=3)	876.67 +37/-50	Jia Chao, 2018
二川组①	石革岩	YX115	L3	LA-ICP-MS	1065 ± 21	1050 ± 35	1016.7	lia Chao, 2018
(Qbs)	石英岩	BSGSC1	L3	LA-ICP-MS	1027 ± 14	(n=4)	+31/-110	Zuo Pengfei. 2016
白术沟组	炭质片岩	YSXSX1	B1	LA-ICP-MS	1494 ± 23	1523 ± 79	1493.7	Zuo Pengfei, 2016
(Xsb)	炭质片岩	YSXSX2	B1	LA-ICP-MS	1594 ± 44	(n=4)	+42/-100	Zuo Pengfei, 2016
陈家涧组 (Ch ₂ cj)	石英砂岩	GSH21	G2	LA-ICP-MS	1816 ± 29	$ \begin{array}{r} 1873 \pm 40 \\ (n=5) \end{array} $	1832.5 + 17/-81	Zhu Xiyan et al. , 2019
	石英砂岩	17GS04	G1	LA-ICP-MS	1764 ± 13			Zhu Xiyan et al. , 2019
鳖盖子组 (Ch ₂ bg)	石英砂岩	17GS02	- G1	LA-ICP-MS	1769 ± 43			Zhu Xiyan et al. , 2019
	砂岩	GSH0806	- G1	LA-ICP-MS	1785 ± 15	1720 ± 50	1679.2	Zhu Xiyan et al. • 2011
	砂岩	GSH0808	G1	LA-ICP-MS	1830 ± 27	(n=3)	+55/-88	Zhu Xivan et al 2011
	岩屑石英砂岩	0730-2	G1	LA-ICP-MS	1685 ± 39			Wang Miao et al. , 2020b

4壶	圭	2
	<i>xv</i>	~~~~

相关岩浆岩部分								
采样层位	岩性	样品编号	位置 编号	方法	结晶年龄 (Ma)	备注	来源	
栾川辉 长岩体	辉长岩	07CTD-08	L1	LA-ICP-MS	855 ± 19	目古月台工亦川翌	Wang Xiaolei et al. , 2011	
	辉长岩	07CTD-09	L1	LA-ICP-MS	830 ± 7	取尚 <u></u> [[] 取尚 大 灯 口 组 - ん 広 知	Wang Xiaolei et al. , 2011	
	辉长岩	07CTD-18	L1	SHRIMP	826 ± 34	八红口垣 프汗坦	Wang Xiaolei et al. , 2011	
大红口组	碱性粗面岩	08-4-1		SHRIMP	860 ± 8		Yan Guohan et al. , 2010	
	碱性粗面岩	17Y05-2	L2	LA-ICP-MS	840 ± 4		Hu Guohui et al. , 2019	
	碱性粗面岩	18Y015	L2	LA-ICP-MS	845 ± 5		Hu Guohui et al. , 2019	
	碱性粗面岩	18Y017	L3	LA-ICP-MS	846 ± 6		Hu Guohui et al. , 2019	
	碱性粗面岩	YX130	L1	LA-ICP-MS	854 ± 8		Jia Chao, 2018	
白术沟	凝灰岩	1904BS02	B2	LA-MC-ICPMS	1330 ± 10		Zhu Xiyan et al. , 2020	
组上段	凝灰岩	1904BS08	B2	LA-MC-ICPMS	1332 ± 10		Zhu Xiyan et al. , 2020	
潘河岩体	黑云母正长岩	LSB-118	G4	LA-ICP-MS	1469 ± 8	最高侵位于官道口群 杜关组底	Zeng Lingjun et al. , 2013	
龙家园组	层凝灰岩	20180726-1	G5	SHRIMP	1594 ± 8		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	
	晶屑凝灰岩	20180726-7	G5	SHRIMP	1541 ± 8		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	
麻坪岩体	花岗斑岩	13MP-6	G3	LA-ICP-MS	1600 ± 24	最高侵位于官道口群	Deng Xiaoqin et al. , 2015	
	花岗斑岩	13MP-9	G3	LA-ICP-MS	1583 ± 28	龙家园组	Deng Xiaoqin et al. , 2015	
洛峪口组	层凝灰岩	YP12292	R4	LA-MC-ICPMS	1611 ± 8		Su Wenbo et al. , 2012	
	流纹质凝灰岩	13YX212	R5	LA-MC-ICPMS	1638 ± 9		Li Chengdong et al., 2017	
	流纹质凝灰岩	13 YX 206	$\mathbf{R}4$	LA-MC-ICPMS	1634 ± 10		Li Chengdong et al., 2017	
	流纹质凝灰岩	170325-3	$\mathbf{R}4$	SHRIMP	1639 ± 13		Peng Nan et al. , 2018	
	凝灰岩	20161121-3	R5	SHRIMP	1608 ± 17		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	
	凝灰岩	20161120-4	$\mathbf{R}4$	SHRIMP	1597 ± 7		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	
	凝灰岩	20161120-1	$\mathbf{R}4$	SHRIMP	1596 ± 15		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	
	层凝灰岩	20180723-8	R3	SHRIMP	1620 ± 16		Zhang Heng et al. , 2019	

注:① 原文为白术沟组中上段,据最新区调资料修订为三川组(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020);YSG一最年轻单颗粒年龄;YPP一最年轻峰值年龄; YDZ一最年轻碎屑锆石年龄;YPP和 YDZ 年龄由 Isoplot 软件(Ludwig K R, 2003)计算。

报告)认为白术沟组建组剖面地点及其附近的白术 沟组中段"石英岩"非原始层位,实为上覆栾川群三 川组下部被断层切割形成的断块(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020)。基于最新的地质资料,本文将白术沟组置于 待建系下部(对应于国际年代表的延展系),并将原 "白术沟组中上段石英岩"的层位修订为三川组。

3.3 黄连垛组和董家组时代探讨

早期依据岩性组合、叠层石、碳同位素负偏等特 征以及区域内存在的不整合接触关系认为黄连垛组 可能与官道口群龙家园组或巡检司组同期(Xiao Shuhai et al., 1997; Su Wenbo, 2016)。本次研究 结果表明,黄连垛组石英砂岩(YX192)中少量蓟县 纪碎屑锆石的 YSG 和 YPP 年龄分别为 1498±96 Ma 和 1568±62 Ma (MSWD=0.18, n=6),并与 龙家园组下部 1.59~1.54 Ga 凝灰岩年龄(Zhang Heng et al., 2019)相近。进一步表明黄连垛组可 与蓟县系官道口群对比,为碳酸盐台地的边缘相沉 积(Gao Linzhi et al., 2002; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a)。

前人一般认为董家组岩性组成与燕辽地区长龙 山组一景儿峪组等完全相仿,沉积于新元古代早期 (Su Wenbo, 2016);然而董家组与下伏黄连垛组、 白草坪和云梦山组具有相似的碎屑锆石年龄谱(图 5a),海侵方向均为自西向东和自(西)南向北(Guan Baode et al., 1988; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a, 2019b),表明董家组继承了下伏中元古代地层的沉 积古地理格局。同时,董家组和邻区的白术沟组与 上下地层关系均为平行不整合接触;碎屑锆石年龄 谱图相似且均缺失与沉积时代相近的碎屑锆石;白 术沟组形成于相对缺氧及沉积源区供给缺乏的水体 环境(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020),而董家组可能构成 了沉积时期盆地的边缘相(Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a)。因此,基于区域岩相变化、上下地层关系和 碎屑锆石年龄谱图对比等认为董家组大体可与白术 沟组对比,归属于待建系下部(延展系)。

3.4 五佛山群时代探讨

下马鞍山组与汝阳群和高山河群位于熊耳群上 覆沉积岩系底部;它们的碎屑锆石年龄谱图相似,以 2.2~1.8 Ga 和/或~2.5 Ga 为主(图 5a),以华北 克拉通内部物源区为主(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2011, 2019; Hu Guohui et al., 2012a, 2014; Li Meng et al., 2013; Zhang Hongfu et al., 2016; Meng Yao et al., 2018; Wang Miao et al., 2020a, 2020b),应 是同一盆地的同期地层单元。同时,葡峪组常与洛 峪群崔庄组对比(DGMRHP, 1997; Su Wenbo, 2016),与上覆的骆驼畔组断层或微不整合接触 (Suo Shutian et al., 2004; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a, 2019b),因此将下马鞍山组、上马鞍组和葡 峪组归属于上长城统,分别与云梦山组一白草坪组、 北大尖组和崔庄组对应。

何家寨组和青白口系栾川群位于熊耳群上覆沉 积岩系顶部;它们的碎屑锆石年龄谱图相似,以1.8 ~1.0 Ga 为主(图 5a),物源区转变为以华北克拉通 南缘北秦岭地体为主(Jia Chao, 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020),应是同 一盆地的同期地层单元。我们的物源分析揭示骆驼 畔组含有较高的 2.95~2.70 Ga 碎屑锆石年龄记 录,其碎屑物质来源于南侧的登封-鲁山地区,因此, 骆驼畔组与上覆何家寨组的沉积古地理格局类似, 明显不同于下伏的下马鞍山组和上马鞍组。同时, 骆驼畔组高成熟度砂砾岩可解释为底砾岩,将骆驼 畔组置于青白口系底部是合理的。考虑红岭组和煤 窑沟组具有相似的叠层石组合(Guan Baode et al., 1988),约束骆驼畔组、何家寨组及红岭组应归属于 青白口系,分别与卢氏一栾川地区三川组、南泥湖和 煤窑沟组相当。

4 沉积构造背景分析

4.1 沉积构造背景判别方法

通过盆内或盆缘岩浆作用类型及其活动频率、 新成物质保存潜力和蚀源区地域范围的综合对比, Cawood et al. (2012)提出了一种利用碎屑锆石年龄 累积函数判别盆地构造属性的统计方法。该方法给 出了两个重要的统计学判别指标:累计概率 5%和 30%所对应的年龄与地层沉积年龄的差值,CA_{5%} — DA和 CA_{30%} — DA;被动大陆边缘盆地、裂谷盆地 和内克拉通盆地等伸展型盆地中 CA_{5%} — DA >150 Ma和 CA_{30%} — DA >100 Ma,前陆盆地等碰撞型盆 地中 CA_{5%} — DA <150 Ma和 CA_{30%} — DA >100 Ma,海沟盆地、弧前盆地和弧后盆地等汇聚型盆地 中 CA_{5%} — DA <150 Ma和 CA_{30%} — DA <100 Ma.

4.2 沉积构造背景判别结果

假设相当地层中最小的 YSG 年龄或相邻的火 山岩年龄作为地层沉积年龄(DA),鱼库组和煤窑沟 组采用大红口组碱性粗面岩的结晶年龄最大值(860 Ma),白术沟组采用上部凝灰岩夹层的结晶年龄 (1330 Ma),陈家涧组及三教堂组采用相当地层中 洛峪口组凝灰岩层的结晶年龄最大值(1639 Ma),其 他层位采用相当地层中最小的 YSG 年龄(图 5b)。

在熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪所有层系中,与沉积时 代相近的碎屑锆石含量极低或缺失,CA30%-DA> 100 Ma;CA_{5%} - DA < 150 Ma 的层位有: 上长城统 下部(兵马沟组/小沟背组、下马鞍山组、云梦山组及 鳖盖子组)和青白口系下部(栾川群煤窑沟组、三川 组及五佛山群何家寨组),盆地属性判断为碰撞型; CA5%-DA>150 Ma的层位有:上长城统中一上部 (上马鞍山组、白草坪组、三教堂组及陈家涧组)、蓟 县系(黄连垛组)、待建系(白术沟组及董家组)、青白 口系底部(骆驼畔组)和青白口系上部(鱼库组),盆 地属性判断为伸展型。此外,依据碎屑锆石年龄统 计判断北缘盆地长城纪地层单元普遍为碰撞型构造 属性(Zhong Yan et al., 2019)。基于碎屑锆石年 龄统计的物源分析和构造属性判别表明,熊耳盆地 存在晚长城世和青白口纪两期碰撞型一伸展型的属 性转换,支持北秦岭块体与华北克拉通拼贴一裂解 的演化模式(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001; Dong Yunpeng et al., 2003, 2014, 2016).

5 沉积构造演化

依据最新的区域地层对比方案、沉积构造属性 分析及区域岩浆活动,熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪演化阶 段可划分为六期:早长城世裂陷、晚长城世断陷、蓟 县纪坳陷、待建纪早期坳陷、青白口纪坳陷及晚震旦 世冰期坳陷,并发育早长城世末期、待建纪中晚期和 南华纪一早震旦世三期重要的沉积间断。

北秦岭地体的构造属性和宽坪群变基性火山岩 所对应洋盆(宽坪洋)的闭合时间是反演熊耳盆地演 化的关键因素,北秦岭地体的构造属性主要有隶属 于华北陆块(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001)、扬子陆 块(Zhang Zhen et al., 2015)和独立于华北陆块和 扬子陆块的微陆块属性(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2003; Zhang Guowei et al., 2019; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2019)等观点;宽坪洋是有限小洋盆或广阔 大洋盆地,在新元古代初期(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016; Zhang Guowei et al., 2019)或早古生 代(Liu Xiaochun et al., 2013; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2019)闭合等观点。首先,物源分析揭示北秦 岭地体是栾川群最可能的物源区(Jia Chao, 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020),支持宽坪洋新元古代初期闭合(Dong

3245

Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016);其次,熊耳、东缘和 北缘盆地青白口系碎屑岩的碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄和 Hf 同位素特征相似(Hu Bo et al., 2012; Yang Debin et al., 2012; Liu Chaohui et al., 2017; Jia Chao, 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020),表明在整个克拉通的南缘 和北缘都发育与北秦岭类似的构造带;第三,华北克 拉通周缘保存了克拉通内部不发育或难于保存的中 元古代热构造事件记录(Zhao Guochun et al., 2006; Li Zhong et al., 2016; Park et al., 2016), 暗示了华北克拉通周缘地区中元古代构造演化可能 比较复杂,推测至少华北克拉通周缘曾强烈卷入了 Columbia 超大陆裂解和 Rodinia 超大陆聚合过程, 只是由于超大陆破裂后的多次热构造事件的叠加改 造或海平面升降影响,导致曾经存在过的超大陆汇 聚的地质记录多数已不复存在(Lu Songnian et al., 2012);第四,华北克拉通南缘、北秦岭地体与栾川群 源区具有相同的地壳增生阶段且显示出地壳由北到 南的逐渐增生发展过程(Jia Chao, 2018; Liu Xuefei et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020). 因此,我们倾向于传统观点认为北秦岭块体隶属于 华北陆块(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001)或独立微陆 块(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2003; Zhang Guowei et al., 2019),其构造演化类似董云鹏等提出的模式 (Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014 中图 14):1.78~1.45 Ga 熊耳裂谷和 1.45~0.95 Ga 宽坪洋的伸展阶段、 1000~900 Ma 宽坪洋向南俯冲一碰撞造山阶段和 889~844 Ma 碰撞后伸展阶段,分别对应于 Columbia 超大陆裂解、Rondina 超大陆聚合和 Rondina 超大陆裂解过程。依据本次盆地属性分析 结果及北秦岭松树沟镁铁质岩石等最新年龄资料 (Sun Shengsi et al., 2019; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2019),将熊耳盆地及北秦岭地体的演化模式修订如 下(图 6)。

5.1 早长城世裂陷盆地

华北克拉通早长城世沉积仅分布在熊耳盆地和 北缘盆地(Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhong Yan et al., 2019),~1.78 Ga 熊耳群火山岩和基性岩墙 群收敛位置指示整个华北克拉通裂谷活动中心位于 南缘栾川—熊耳地区(Hou Guiting et al., 2008; Peng Peng, 2015a, 2015b; Guan Shuwei et al., 2017)。

华北克拉通在古元古代末期汇聚碰撞(吕梁运动,或称中条运动)成为 Columbia 超大陆的一部分



- 图 6 熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪演化示意图(据 Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016 和 Liu Liang et al., 2016 修改)
- Fig. 6 Schematic cartoons showing the Late Precambrian tectonic evolution of the Xiong'er basin (modified after Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016 and

Liu Liang et al., 2016)

SNCC一华北克拉通南部;SJ一嵩箕地区;MQ一渑池一确山地区; LL一卢氏一栾川地区;NQT一北秦岭地体;PSDO一古商丹洋; PQL一古北秦岭洋;KPO一宽坪洋;KPS一宽坪缝合线;SDO一商 丹洋;ELB一二郎坪弧后洋盆

SNCC—Southern North China Carton; SJ—Song-Ji area; MQ— Mianchi-Queshan area; LL—Lushi-Luanchuan area; NQT— North Qinling Terrane; PSDO—Proto-Shangdan ocean; PQL— Proto-North Qingling ocean; KPO—Kuanping ocean; KPS—Kuanping sutures; SDO—Shangdan ocean; ELB— Erlangping back-arc oceanic basin (Zhao Guochun et al., 2012), 克拉通南部在~ 1.84 Ga 由碰撞挤压转变为造山后伸展环境(Zhao Taiping et al., 2009, 2016; Deng Xiaoqin et al., 2016a, 2019);至少自~1.78 Ga开始发育早长城世 裂陷盆地(图 6a):熊耳(豫陕一吕梁)三岔裂谷,两 支基本与华北南缘边界一致,另一支从中条山地区 一直延续到华北中部吕梁山地区(Zhao Taiping et al., 2004; Qiao Xiufu et al., 2014; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015);相应的地质记录包括 1.78~1.75 Ga 熊耳群及小两岭组火山-沉积岩系和基性岩墙群 (Qiao Xiufu et al., 2014; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015)、嵩箕地区 1.78~1.74 Ga 钾长花岗岩(Zhao Taiping et al., 2009; Wan Yusheng et al., 2009; Zhang Juan et al., 2013)以及北秦岭西部地区 1.81 ~1.74 Ga 片麻状花岗岩(Gao Sheng et al., 2015)。该时期盆地形成于大陆边缘裂谷/坳拉槽环 境(Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015; Zhao Taiping et al., 2002, 2016),熊耳群火山岩与同时期的基性岩 墙群共同表征了华北克拉通在中元古代早期的初始 裂解(Hou Guiting et al., 2008; Lu Songnian et al., 2008; Zhao Taiping et al., 2002, 2016),属于 Columbia 超大陆裂解相关的热-构造事件(Zhao Taiping et al., 2002; Peng Peng et al., 2008; Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015).

早长城世末期熊耳裂陷盆地闭合(熊耳运动,或 称王屋山运动和垣曲运动),相应的地质响应包括熊 耳群与上覆沉积岩系之间的不整合面、沉积岩系底 部鳖盖子组--云梦山组--下马鞍山组及小沟背组/ 兵马沟组对应的碰撞型盆地。对其成因推测与北秦 岭古洋盆向华北克拉通俯冲消减致使北秦岭地体首 次拼接到于华北南缘有关(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2003;图 6b、c),并建议将首次最终拼接时间由 1800 Ma(Zhang Guowei et al., 2001)或 1600 Ma(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2003)修订为早长城世末期;其中 秦岭群杂岩中 1870 Ma 和宽坪群杂岩中 1974~ 1681 Ma 变火山岩(Zhang Zhen et al., 2015)可能 是北秦岭古洋盆的残留。但是也不能完全排除宽坪 洋是广阔大洋,华北克拉通在长城纪期间未与北秦 岭相邻,而是处于汇聚碰撞环境向伸展体系转变的 构造反转期(Zhong Yan et al., 2019)或与未知块 体汇聚闭合。

5.2 晚长城世断陷盆地

晚长城世一蓟县纪是华北克拉通晚前寒武纪盆 地范围最大的时期,熊耳、燕辽、北缘和西缘盆地可 能相互贯通(Li Zhenhong et al., 2019; Du Jinhu et al., 2019; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020), 1.74~1.60 Ga 非造山岩浆事件和 1.37~1.32 Ga 基性岩席或大火 成岩省指示裂谷活动中心移位至中北部燕辽地区直 至待建纪早期(Peng Peng, 2015a, 2015b; Guan Shuwei et al., 2017)。

熊耳盆地晚长城世转变被动大陆边缘(图 6c), 大规模的海侵形成陆相一滨浅海相的陆源碎屑沉积 (Jiang Ganqing et al., 1994; Hu Guohui et al., 2013; Wang Miao et al., 2020a, 2020b)。该碎屑 岩系超覆于熊耳群火山-沉积岩系和变质基底之上, 整体呈北北东向展布,仍受三岔裂谷边界断裂的断 陷作用限制,沉降中心位于河南渑池和陕西洛南一 带,最大厚度分别达 1883 m 和 3707 m(DGMRHP, 1997),向东或西厚度减薄;以无障壁海岸一浅海陆 棚沉积和障壁型海岸沉积为主(Lü Qiqi et al., 2020),仅在底部兵马沟组和小沟背组包含陆相冲积 扇一扇三角洲沉积(Guan Baode et al., 1988; Yue Liang et al., 2017; Meng Yao et al., 2018)。同期 的热事件记录包括~1.64 Ga 庙岭霓辉正长岩脉 (Ren Fugen et al., 2000)、~1.62 Ga 龙王疃花岗 岩(Lu Songnian et al., 2003; Bao Zhiwei et al., 2009; Wang Xiaolei et al., 2013)等非造山岩浆侵 位,以及洛峪群顶部洛峪口组 1.64~1.60 Ga 凝灰 岩沉积(Su Wenbo et al., 2012; Li Chengdong et al., 2017; Peng Nan et al., 2018; Zhang Heng et al., 2019)。

5.3 蓟县纪坳陷(陆表海)盆地

裂谷盆地的巨厚碎屑岩沉积往往是碳酸盐岩台 地形成的必要条件和垫板,而碳酸盐岩台地的出现 代表该盆地填充的最后阶段(Gao Linzhi et al., 2002)。蓟县纪熊耳盆地转变为陆表海盆地,可能存 在一期构造抬升导致盆地范围较长城纪缩小(图 6d),沉降中心迁移至卢氏一栾川地区(DGMRHP, 1997)。蓟县纪盆地沉降中心的官道口群以碳酸盐 岩局限台地的潮坪相沉积为主体 (DGMRHP, 1997; Li Zhenhong et al., 2019; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020),厚达1300~2400 m;盆地边缘为黄连垛组三 角洲一滨浅海相或滨海-潮坪沉积(Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a),厚度变化较大,在叶县、方城地区最厚 达 457 m, 向西北、东南两侧变薄(DGMRHP, 1997)。同期的岩浆事件包括~1.60 Ga 麻坪花岗 斑岩(Deng Xiaoqin et al., 2015)、~1.53 Ga 张家 坪花岗岩(Deng Xiaoqin et al., 2016b)及~1.47 Ga 潘河正长岩(Zeng Lingjun et al., 2013)等非造 山岩浆侵位,以及官道口群底部龙家园组 1.59~ 1.54 Ga 凝灰岩沉积(Zhang Heng et al., 2019)。

5.4 待建纪早期坳陷盆地

待建纪早期沉积记录在燕辽、熊耳、北缘及西缘 盆地局限分布(Li Zhenhong et al., 2019; Zhong Yan et al., 2019; Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020),其沉积 背景及顶部长达 250 Ma 沉积间断(下汤运动)所代 表的地质事件性质和意义究竟如何目前仍不明确 (Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020)。部分学者倾向于将下马 岭组归为与长城系、蓟县系一致的持续裂谷沉积 (Zhai Mingguo et al., 2015),与1.33~1.3 Ga 大 火成岩省密切相关,即"岩浆活动前的穹窿状地壳抬 升事件"(Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2017);部分学 者关注燕辽盆地下马岭组斑脱岩具有同碰撞岛弧火 山岩的地球化学特征,将其视为响应于罗迪尼亚超 大陆的汇聚过程(Su Wenbo et al., 2008; Meng Qingren et al., 2011),形成于弧后深水盆地(Qiao Xiufu et al., 2014)。

中元古代中期华北南缘和北秦岭地体间持续裂 解分离,形成宽坪有限洋盆(图 6e),相应的地质记 录为 1.45~0.95 Ga 宽坪群火山岩系(Diwu Chunrong et al., 2010; Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014)。熊耳盆地待建纪早期沉降中心的白术沟组 以黑色页岩/板岩为主体,为浅海含碳泥砂坪沉积 (DGMRHP, 1997; Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a),厚 度变化较大,栾川地区最厚达 1011 m(DGMRHP, 1997),反映了中元古代中期盆地迅速沉降、水体加 深的沉积背景(Zhu Xiyan et al., 2020);盆地边缘 为董家组三角洲—滨浅海—海湾泻湖或滨浅海—潮 坪沉积(Zuo Pengfei et al., 2019a),厚度变化较大, 在叶县、方城地区最厚达 343 m,向西北、东南两侧 变薄(DGMRHP, 1997)。

5.5 青白口纪坳陷盆地

华北青白口纪盆地范围较大,在熊耳盆地、燕辽 盆地、北缘盆地和东缘盆地广泛分布,~900 Ma 基 性岩墙群的收敛位置指示整个华北克拉通裂谷活动 中心移位至东南缘徐淮地区(Peng Peng, 2015a, 2015b; Guan Shuwei et al., 2017)。然而,熊耳青 白口纪盆地范围较小,仅分布在南缘的卢氏—栾川 地区和东北部的嵩箕地区,沉积厚度分别达 1700~ 3100 m 和 485 m,向东与东缘盆地相互连通(Peng Peng, 2015a, 2015b)。

熊耳盆地和北秦岭地体的青白口纪构造属性转

换过程具有极好的对应关系,是华北克拉通参与全 球 Rodinia 汇聚和裂解过程的地质记录(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)。1000~900 Ma 宽坪洋向南俯冲一碰撞造山 (Dong Yunpeng et al., 2014, 2016),北秦岭地体 再度拼贴于华北南缘(图 6f、g),华北南缘由被动大 陆边缘转变为同碰撞挤压盆地(Li Zhensheng et al., 2020),发育栾川群下部三川组一煤窑沟组无 障壁海岸碎屑沉积(DGMRHP, 1997; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020);北秦岭发育秦岭群弧前碎屑沉积及~ 940 Ma 强烈变形 S 型同碰撞花岗岩, 代表了 Rodinia 超大陆聚合的地质记录(Shi Yu et al., 2013; Wang Xiaoxia et al., 2013; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2014, 2019)。自~889 Ma碰撞造山过程结 束转变为后碰撞伸展甚至裂谷环境(图 6h),华北南 缘形成栾川群上部大红口组和鱼库组碳酸盐台地沉 积(DGMRHP, 1997; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020),并伴 有~860Ma 大红口组碱性火山岩(Yan Guohan et al., 2010; Hu Guohui et al., 2019; Li Zhensheng et al., 2020)和~830 Ma 基性岩床群(Wang Xiaole et al., 2011)等岩浆活动;北秦岭地区发育~880 Ma 后碰撞 I 型花岗岩(Wang Xiaoxia et al., 2013) 和~844 Ma 板内 A 型花岗岩(方城碱性正长岩; Bao Zhiwei et al., 2008),代表了 Rodinia 超大陆裂 解的地质记录(Yan Guohan et al., 2010; Wang Xiaolei et al., 2011; Wang Xiaoxia et al., 2013; Zhang Shuanhong et al., 2016a; Diwu Chunrong et al., 2019)。

5.6 晚震旦纪世冰期坳陷

南华纪一早震旦世华北克拉通整体上处于沉积 间断状态(豫西运动或晋宁运动),然而世界各地超 大陆裂解岩浆活动、裂谷盆地沉积及冰川事件发育 (Zheng Yongfei, 2003),其成因仍需深入研究。经 过豫西运动后,华北克拉通所有裂谷系关闭,呈现出 北高南低、西高东低的形态(Guan Shuwei et al., 2017),整体进入了一个新的沉积期(Du Jinhu et al.,2019),发育震旦纪至早古生代被动大陆边缘 坳陷沉积(图 6i、j);因商丹洋 600~534 Ma 洋盆形 成和 524~500 Ma 向北俯冲的影响,北秦岭发育早 古生代岛弧-弧后盆地沉积(Dong Yunpeng et al., 2015,2016; Liu Liang et al.,2016)。

罗圈组及相当地层在华北南缘和西缘广泛分 布,主流观点认为时代为新元古代晚震旦世,属于新 元古代最后一期(Gaskiers冰期)冰川沉积记录 (Gao Linzhi et al., 2002; Shen Bing et al., 2007; Zhou Chuanming et al., 2019; Zhang Biyun et al., 2019)。罗圈组厚度变化较大(10~230 m),超覆在 不同时代的地层之上(Lu Songnian et al., 1985; Gao Linzhi et al., 2002),最高层位为董家组,最低 可直接与太古宙登封群接触;属于大陆冰川—冰海 相沉积(Lu Songnian et al., 1985; Guan Baode et al., 1988; Zhang Biyun et al., 2019),由北向南依 次发育大陆冰碛—冰海陆源碎屑滨岸—冰海陆棚相 沉积(Lu Songnian et al., 1985; Lü Qiqi et al., 2020)。

6 结论

(1) 黄连垛组砂岩中碎屑锆石的最年轻单颗粒 年龄(YSG) 和峰值年龄(YPP) 分别为 1498±96 Ma 和 1568±62 Ma (MSWD=0.18, n=6); 董家组和 黄连垛组的碎屑锆石年龄范围相似, 集中在 3.00~ 1.70 Ga, 指示物源区为华北克拉通。

(2)依据碎屑锆石年龄谱区域对比、沉积古地理 格局继承关系和岩相区域对比等建议将嵩箕地区下 部的兵马沟组、马鞍山组和葡峪组归属于上长城统, 上部的骆驼畔组、何家寨组及红岭组属于青白口系, 分别与高山河群/汝阳群一洛峪群和栾川群相当;渑 池一确山地区上部的黄连垛组和董家组分别属于蓟 县系和待建系,与官道口群和白术沟组相当。

(3)熊耳盆地晚前寒武纪演化可划分为六个阶段:早长城世裂陷、晚长城世断陷、蓟县纪坳陷、待建 纪早期坳陷、青白口纪坳陷及晚震旦世冰期坳陷,以 及早长城世末期、待建纪中晚期和南华纪一早震旦 世三期重要的沉积间断,支持北秦岭地体与华北克 拉通拼贴一裂解的构造演化模式,并建议将北秦岭 地体和华北南缘首次拼接时间修订为早长城世 末期。

References

- Bao Zhiwei, Wang Qiang, Bai Guodian, Zhao Zhenhua, Song Yaowu, Liu Xiaoming. 2008. Geochronology and geochemistry of the Fangcheng Neoproterozoic alkali-syenites in East Qinling orogen and its geodynamic implications. Chinese Science Bulletin, 53:2050~2061.
- Bao Zhiwei, Wang Qiang, Zi Feng, Tang Gongjian, Du Fengjun, Bai Guodian. 2009. Geochemistry of the Paleoproterozoic Longwangzhuang A-type granites on the southern margin of North China Craton: petrogenesis and tectonic implications. Geochimica, 38 (6): 509 ~ 522 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Shaannxi Province (BGMRSP). 1998. Multiple Classification and Correlation of the Stratigraphy of China (61): Stratigraphy (lithostratic) of

Shaannxi Province. Wuhan: China University of Geosciences Press (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Cawood P A, Hawkesworth C J, Dhuime B. 2012. Detrital zircon record and tectonic setting. Geology, 40:875~878.
- Chen Yanjing, Fu Shigu, Qiang Lizhi. 1992. The tectonic environment for the formation of the Xionger group and the Xiyanghe group. Geological Review, 38(4): $325 \sim 333$ (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Coutts D S, Matthews W A, Hubbard S M. 2019. Assessment of widely used methods to derive depositional ages from detrital zircon populations. Geoscience Frontiers, 10:1421~1435.
- Cui Minli, Zhang Baolin, Zhang Lianchang. 2011. U-Pb dating of baddeleyite and zircon from the Shizhaigou diorite in the southern margin of North China Craton: constrains on the timing and tectonic setting of the Paleoproterozoic Xiong'er Group. Gondwana Research, 20:184~193.
- Deng Xiaoqin, Zhao Taiping, Peng Touping, Gao Xinyu, Bao Zhiwei. 2015. Petrogenesis of 1600 Ma Maping A-type granite in the southern margin of the North China Craton and its tectonic implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 31(6): 1621~ 1635 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Deng Xiaoqin, Peng Touping, Zhao Taiping. 2016a. Geochronology and geochemistry of the late Paleoproterozoic aluminous A-type granite in the Xiaoqinling area along the southern margin of the North China Craton: petrogenesis and tectonic implications. Precambrian Research, 285:127~146.
- Deng Xiaoqin, Zhao Taiping, Peng Touping. 2016b. Age and geochemistry of the Early Mesoproterozoic A-type granites in the southern margin of the North China Craton.constraints on their petrogenesis and tectonic implications. Precambrian Research, 283:68~88.
- Deng Xiaoqin, Peng Touping, Zhao Taiping, Qiu Zhili. 2019. Petrogenesis of the Late Paleoproterozoic (~1.84 Ga) Yuantou A-type granite in the southern margin of the North China Craton and its tectonic implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35 (8): 2455~2469 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Department of Geology and Mineral Resources of Henan Province (DGMRHP). 1997. Multiple Classification and Correlation of the Stratigraphy of China (41): Stratigraphy (lithostratic) of Henan Province. Wuhan; China University of Geosciences Press (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Dickinson W R, Gehrels G E. 2009. Use of U-Pb ages of detrital zircons to infer maximum depositional ages of strata; a test against a Colorado Plateau Mesozoic database. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 288:115~125.
- Diwu Chunrong, Sun Yong, Liu Liang, Zhang Chengli, Wang Hongliang. 2010. The disintegration of Kuanping group in North Qinling orogenic belts and Neoproterozoic N-MORB. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 26(7): 2025~2038 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Diwu Chunrong, Sun Yong, Zhao Yan, Liu Bingxiang, Lai Shaocong. 2014. Geochronological, geochemical, and Nd-Hf isotopic studies of the Qinling Complex, central China: implications for the evolutionary history of the North Qinling orogenic belt. Geoscience Frontiers, 5:499~513.
- Diwu Chunrong, Zhang Chengli, Sun Yong. 2016. Archean continental crust in the southern North China Craton. In: Zhai Mingguo, Zhao Yue, Zhao Taiping, eds. Main Tectonic Events and Metallogeny of the North China Craton. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 29~44.
- Diwu Chunrong, Liu Xiang, Sun Yong. 2018. The composition and evolution of the Taihua Complex in the southern North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 34(4): 999~1018 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Diwu Chunrong, Long Xiaoping. 2019. Tectonic evolution of the North Qinling orogenic belt, Central China: insights from metamafic rocks of the Songshugou Complex. Geological Journal, 54:2382~2399.
- Dong Yunpeng, Zhang Guowei, Zhu Bingquan. 2003. Proterozoic

tectonics and evolutionary history of the North Qinling Terrane. Acta Geoscientia Sinica, 24(1): $3 \sim 10$ (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Dong Yunpeng, Yang Zhao, Liu Xiaoming, Zhang Xiaoning, He Dengfeng, Li Wei, Zhang Feifei, Sun Shengsi, Zhang Hongfu, Zhang Guowei. 2014. Neoproterozoic amalgamation of the Northern Qinling Terrain to the North China Craton: constraints from geochronology and geochemistry of the Kuanping ophiolite. Precambrian Research, 255:77~95.
- Dong Yunpeng, Zhang Xiaoning, Liu Xiaoming, Li Wei, Chen Qing, Zhang Guowei, Zhang Hongfu, Yang Zhao, Sun Shengsi, Zhang Feifei. 2015. Propagation tectonics and multiple accretionary processes of the Qinling Orogen. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 104:84~98.
- Dong Yunpeng, Santosh M. 2016. Tectonic architecture and multiple orogeny of the Qinling orogenic belt, Central China. Gondwana Research, 29:1~40.
- Du Jinhu, Li Xiangbo, Bao Hongping, Xu Wanglin, Wang Yating, Huang Junping, Wang Hongbo, Wanyan Rong, Wang Jing. 2019. Geological conditions of natural gas accumulation and new exploration areas in the Mesoproterozoic to Lower Paleozoic of Ordos basin, NW China. Petroleum Exploration & Development, 46 (5): 820 ~ 835 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Gao Linzhi, Yin Chongyu, Wang Ziqiang. 2002. New view of the Neoproterozoic strata on the southern margin of the North China platform. Geological Bulletin of China, 21(3): 130~135 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Gao Linzhi, Zhang Chuanheng, Shi Xiaoying, Zhou Hongrui, Wang Ziqiang, Song Biao. 2007. A new SHRIMP age of the Xiamaling formation in the North China Plate and its geological significance. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 81:1103 ~1109.
- Gao Linzhi, Zhang Chuanheng, Shi Xiaoying, Song Biao, Wang Ziqiang, Liu Yaoming. 2008. Mesoproterozoic age for Xiamaling Formation in North China Plate indicated by zircon SHRIMP dating. Chinese Science Bulletin, 53:2665~2671.
- Gao Sheng, Chen Danling, Gong Xiangkuan, Ren Yunfei, Li Haiping. 2015. Zircon U-Pb dating of clastic rocks and granites of Kuanping Group in Dongcha areas of Tianshui, and its geological implications. Earth Science Frontiers, 22(4): 255~ 264 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Gao Wei, Zhang Chuanheng, Gao Linzhi, Shi Xiaoying, Liu Yaoming, Song Biao. 2008. Zircon SHRIMP U-Pb age of rapakivi granite in Miyun, Beijing, China, and its tectonostratigraphic implications. Geological Bulletin of China, 27(6): 793~798 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Guan Baode, Geng Wuchen, Rong Zhiquan, Du Huiying. 1988. The Midlle and Upper Proterozoic in the Northern Slope of the East Qinling ranges, Henan, China. Zhengzhou: Henan Science and Technology Press (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Guan Baode, Lü Guofang, Wang Yaoxia. 1993. The evolution of Mid and Neoproterozoic sedimentary basin in the platform of Hennan province. Henan Geology, 11 (3): 181 ~ 191 (in Chinese).
- Guan Shuwei, Wu Lin, Ren Rong, Zhu Guangyou, Peng Zhaoquan, Zhao Wentao, Li Jie. 2017. Distribution and petroleum prospect of Precambrian rifts in the main cratons, China. Acta Petrolei Sinica, 38 (1): 9 ~ 22 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Guo Wenlin, Su Wenbo, Zhang Jian, Li Huimin, Zhou Hongying, Li Huaikun, Ettensohn F R, Huff W D. 2019. Zircon U-Pb dating and Hf isotopes of K-bentonites from the Tieling Formation in a new exposure of the Jixian Section, Tianjin, North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2433 ~ 2454 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- He Yanhong, Zhao Guochun, Sun Min, Han Yigui. 2010. Petrogenesis and tectonic setting of volcanic rocks in the Xiaoshan and Waifangshan areas along the southern margin of

the North China Craton: constraints from bulk-rock geochemistry and Sr-Nd isotopic composition. Lithos, $114.186 \sim 199$.

- He Yanhong, Zhao Guochun, Sun Min, Xia Xiaoping. 2009. SHRIMP and LA-ICP-MS zircon geochronology of the Xiong'er volcanic rocks: implications for the Paleo-Mesoproterozoic evolution of the southern margin of the North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 168:213~222.
- Hou Guiting, Santosh M, Qian Xianglin, Lister G S, Li Jianghai. 2008. Configuration of the Late Paleoproterozoic supercontinent Columbia: insights from radiating mafic dyke swarms. Gondwana Research, 14:395~409.
- Hu Bo, Zhai Mingguo, Li Tiesheng, Li Zhong, Peng Peng, Guo Jinghui, Kusky T M. 2012. Mesoproterozoic magmatic events in the eastern North China Craton and their tectonic implications: geochronological evidence from detrital zircons in the Shandong Peninsula and North Korea. Gondwana Research, 22:828~842.
- Hu Dexiang, Deng Qinglu, Yang Weiran. 1987. Preliminary study of relationship between North China Platform and Qinling Geosyncline. Earth Science - Journal of China University of Geosciences, 12 (5): 477 ~ 486 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu Guohui, Zhao Taiping, Zhou Yanyan, Yang Yang. 2012a. Depositional age and provenance of the Wufoshan Group in the southern margin of the North China Craton: evidence from detrital zircon U-Pb ages and Hf isotopic compositions. Geochimica, 41 (4): 326 ~ 342 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu Guohui, Zhou Yanyan, Zhao Taiping. 2012b. Geochemistry of Proterozoic Wufoshan Group sedimentary rocks in the Songshan area, Henan Province: implications for provenance and tectonic setting. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 28 (11): 3692 ~ 3704 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu Guohui, Zhao Taiping, Zhou Yanyan, Wang Shiyan. 2013. Meso-Neoproterozoic sedimentary formation in the southern margin of the North China Craton and its geological implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 29(7): 2491~2507 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu Guohui, Zhao Taiping, Zhou Yanyan. 2014. Depositional age, provenance and tectonic setting of the Proterozoic Ruyang Group, southern margin of the North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 246:296~318.
- Hu Guohui, Zhang Shuanhong, Zhang Qiqi, Wang Shiyan. 2019. New geochronological constraints on the Dahongkou Formation of the Luanchuan Group and its implications on the Neoproterozoic tectonic evolution of the southern margin of the North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2503~ 2517 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu Jianmin, Li Zhenhong, Gong Wangbin, Hu Guohui, Dong Xiaopeng. 2016. Meso-Neoproterozoic stratigraphic and tectonic framework of the North China Craton. In: Zhai Mingguo, Zhao Yue, Zhao Taiping, eds. Main Tectonic Events and Metallogeny of the North China Craton. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 393~422.
- Hu Shouxi, Lin Qianlong, Chen Zeming, Li Shimei. 1988. Geology and Metallogeny of the Collision Belt between North China and South China Plates. Nanjing: Nanjing University Press (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Huang Xiaolong, Wilde S A, Zhong Junwei. 2013. Episodic crustal growth in the southern segment of the Trans-North China Orogen across the Archean-Proterozoic boundary. Precambrian Research, 233:337~357.
- Jia Chao. 2018. Depositional age, provenance and tectonic background of Neoproterozoic strata in western Henan Province. Master degree dissertation of Hefei University of Technology (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Jia Chengzao. 1987. Geochemistry and tectonics of the Xionger Group in the eastern Qinling mountains of China-a Mid

Proterozoic volcanic arc related to plate subduction. In: eds. Pharaoh T C, Beckinsale R D, Rickard D. Geochemistry and Mineralization of Proterozoic Volcanic Suites. London: Geological Society Special Publication, 33: 437~448.

- Jiang Ganqing, Zhou Hongrui, Wang Ziqiang. 1994. Stratigraphic sequence, sedimentary environment and its tectonopaleogeographic significance of the Luanchuan Group, Luanchuan area, Henan Province. Geoscience, 8(4): 430~440 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lan Zhongwu, Li Xianhua, Chen Zhongqiang, Li Qiuli, Hofmann A, Zhang Yanbin, Zhong Yan, Liu Yu, Tang Guoqiang, Ling Xiaoxiao, Li Jiao. 2014. Diagenetic xenotime age constraints on the Sanjiaotang Formation, Luoyu Group, southern margin of the North China Craton: implications for regional stratigraphic correlation and early evolution of eukaryotes. Precambrian Research, 251:21~32.
- Li Chengdong, Zhao Ligang, Chang Qingsong, Xu Yawen, Wang Shiyan, Xu Teng. 2017. Zircon U-Pb dating of tuff bed from Luoyukou Formation in western Henan Province on the southern margin of the North China Craton and its dtratigraphic attribution discussion. Geology in China, 44(3): 511~525 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li Huaikun, Lu Songnian, Li Huimin, Sun Lixin, Xiang Zhenqun, Geng Jianzhen, Zhou Hongying. 2009. Zircon and beddeleyite U-Pb precision dating of basic rock sills intruding Xiamaling Formation, North China. Geological Bulletin of China, 28(10): 1396~1404 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li Huaikun, Su Wenbo, Zhou Hongying, Geng Jianzhen, Xiang Zhenqun, Cui Yurong, Liu Wencan, Lu Songnian. 2011. The base age of the Changchengian System at the northern North China Craton should be younger than 1670 Ma.constraints from zircon U-Pb LA-MC-ICPMS dating of a granite-porphyry dike in Miyun County, Beijing. Earth Science Frontiers, 18(3): 108~ 120 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li Huaikun, Lu Songnian, Su Wenbo, Xiang Zhenqun, Zhou Hongying, Zhang Yongqing. 2013. Recent advances in the study of the Mesoproterozoic geochronology in the North China Craton. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 72:216~227.
- Li Huaikun, Su Wenbo, Zhou Hongying, Xiang Zhenqun, Tian Hui, Yang Ligong, Huff W D, Ettensohn F R. 2014. The first precise age constraints on the Jixian System of the Meso-to Neoproterozoic Standard Section of China; SHRIMP zircon U-Pb dating of bentonites from the Wumishan and Tieling Formations in the Jixian Section, North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 30 (10): 2999 ~ 3012 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li Meng, Wang Chao, Wang Zhaofei. 2013. Depositional age and geological implications of the Ruyang Group in the southwestern margin of the North China Craton:evidence from detrital zircon U-Pb ages. Chinese Journal of Geology, 48(4): 1115~1139 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li Xiyao, Li Sanzhong, Wang Tongshan, Dong Yunpeng, Liu Xiaoguang, Zhao Shujuan, Wang Kun, Sun Jiaopeng, Dai Liming, Suo Yanhui. 2020. Geochemistry and detrital zircon records of the Ruyang-Luoyu groups, southern North China Craton: provenance, crustal evolution and Paleo-Mesoproterozoic tectonic implications. Geoscience Frontiers, 11 (2): 679~696.
- Li Zhenhong, Xi Shengli, Hu Jianmin, Dong Xiaopeng, Zhang Guisong. 2019. New insights about the Mesoproterozoic sedimentary framework of North China Craton. Geological Journal, 54:409~425.
- Li Zhensheng, Jia Chao, Zhao Zhuoya, Huo Jinjing, Li Quanzhong, Zhang Jiaodong. 2020. Depositional age and provenance analysis of the Luanchuan Group in the southern margin of North China Craton and its significance for regional tectonic evolution: constraints from zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotopes. Acta Geologica Sinica, 94 (4): 1046 ~ 1066 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Li Zhong, Ni Lingmei, Xu Jianqiang. 2016. The Upper Proterozoic-Paleozoic records of sedimentary sequences and detrital zircon geochronology in Korean Peninsula and North China: implications for tectonic attributes and division. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 32 (10): 3139 ~ 3154 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu Chaohui, Zhao Guochun, Liu Fulai, Shi Jianrong. 2017. Detrital zircon U-Pb and Hf isotopic and whole-rock geochemical study of the Bayan Obo Group, northern margin of the North China Craton: implications for Rodinia reconstruction. Precambrian Research, 303:372~391.
- Liu Dianbo, Wang Xiaolin, Zhang Heng, Shi Chenglong. 2019. Zircon SHRIMP U-Pb age of the Chuanlinggou Formation of the Changcheng Group, North China and the stratigraphic implications. Earth Science Frontiers, 26(3): 183~189 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu Liang, Liao Xiaoying, Wang Yawei, Wang Chao, Santosh M, Yang Min, Zhang Chengli, Chen Danling. 2016. Early Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the North Qinling orogenic belt in Central China: insights on continental deep subduction and multiphase exhumation. Earth-Science Reviews, 159:58~81.
- Liu Xiaochun, Jahn B M, Li Sanzhong, Liu Yongsheng. 2013. U-Pb zircon age and geochemical constraints on tectonic evolution of the Paleozoic accretionary orogenic system in the Tongbai orogen, central China. Tectonophysics, 599:67~88.
- Liu Xuefei, Zuo Pengfei, Wang Qingfei, Bagas Leon, He Yuliang, Zheng Deshun. 2019. Initial accretion of the North Qinling Terrane to the North China Craton before the Grenville orogeny; constraints from detrital zircons. International Geology Review, 61:109~128.
- Lu Songnian, Ma Guogan, Gao Zhenjia, Lin Weixing. 1985. Primary research on glacigenous roks of Late Precambrian in China. In: Precambrian Geology No. 1. Beijing: Geological Publishing House, 1~86 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lu Songnian, Li Huaikun, Li Huimin, Song Biao, Wang Shiyan, Zhou Hongying, Chen Zhihong. 2003. U-Pb isotopic ages and their significance of alkaline granite in the southern margin of the North China Craton. Geological Bulletin of China, 22(10): 762~768 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lu Songnian, Zhao Guochun, Wang Huchu, Hao Guojie. 2008. Precambrian metamorphic basement and sedimentary cover of the North China Craton: a review. Precambrian Research, 160: 77~93.
- Lu Songnian, Xian Zhenqun, Li Huaikun, Wang Huichu, Chu Hang. 2012. Response of the North China Craton to Rodinia supercontinental events—GOSEN joining hypothesis. Acta Geologica Sinica, 86(9): 1396~1406 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Ludwig K R. 2003. User's Manual for Isoplot 3. 00; A Geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Excel. Special publication / Berkeley Geochronology Center; No. 4, 1~74.
- Lü Qiqi, Luo Shunshe, Wang Zecheng, Wang Tongshan, Zhang Yan, Guan Yulong. 2020. Meso-Neoproterozoic sedimentary system and palaeogeographic evolution of typical aulacogens in North China Craton. Acta Geologica Sinica, DOI: 10.19762/j. cnki. dizhixuebao. 2020279 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Meng Qingren, Wei Honghong, Qu Yongqiang, Ma Shouxian. 2011. Stratigraphic and sedimentary records of the rift to drift evolution of the northern North China Craton at the Paleo- to Mesoproterozoic transition. Gondwana Research, 20: 205 \sim 218.
- Meng Yao, Zuo Pengfei, Zheng Deshun, Sun Fengbo, Wang Pengxiao, Wang Zhenjiang, Li Yu. 2018. The earliest clastic sediments overlying the Xiong'er volcanic rocks.implications for the Mesoproterozoic tectonics of the southern North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 305:268~282.
- Park Hyonuk, Zhai Mingguo, Yang Jonghyok, Kim Jongnam, Jong Cholsu, Wu Fuyuan, Kim Sunghyon, Han Ryongyon, Park Ung, Kim Myongchol, Hou Quanlin. 2016. Meso-Proterozoic

magmatism event in the Pyongnam basin, Korean peninsula. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 32(10): $3033 \sim 3044$ (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Peng Nan, Kuang Hongwei, Liu Yongqing, Geng Yuansheng, Xia Xiaoxu, Wang Yuchong, Chen Xiaoshuai, Zheng Hanghai. 2018. Recognition of geological age for acanthomorphic acritarchs from the Ruyang Group, southern margin of North China Craton and its implication for evolution of early eukaryotes. Journal of Palaeogeography, 20(4): 595~608 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Peng Peng. 2015a. Late Paleoproterozoic-Neoproterozoic (1800 ~ 541 Ma) mafic dyke swarms and rifts in North China. In:eds. Zhai Mingguo. Precambrian Geology of China. Berlin, Heidelberg; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 171~204.
- Peng Peng. 2015b. Precambrian mafic dyke swarms in the North China Craton and their geological implications. Science China Earth Sciences, 58:649~675.
- Peng Peng, Zhai Mingguo, Ernst R E, Guo Jinghui, Liu Fu, Hu Bo. 2008. A 1.78 Ga large igneous province in the North China craton:the Xiong'er volcanic province and the North China dyke swarm. Lithos, 101:260~280.
- Qiao Xiufu , Wang Yanbin. 2014. Discussions on the lower boundary age of the Mesoproterozoic and basin tectonic evolution of the Mesoproterozoic in North China Craton. Acta Geologica Sinica, 88(9): 1623~1637 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Ren Fugen, Li Huimin, Yin Yanjie, Li Shuangbao, Ding Shiying, Chen Zhihong. 2000. The upper chronological limit of Xionger Group's volcanic rock series, and its geological significance. Proressin Precambrian Research, 23(3): 140~146 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Shen Bing, Xiao Shuhai, Dong Lin, Zhou Chuanming, Liu Jianbo. 2007. Problematic macrofossils from Ediacaran successions in the North China and Chaidam blocks: implications for their evolutionary roots and biostratigraphic significance. Journal of Paleontology, 81:1396~1411.
- Shi Yu, Yu Jinhai, Santosh M. 2013. Tectonic evolution of the Qinling orogenic belt, Central China: new evidence from geochemical, zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotopes. Precambrian Research, 231:19~60.
- Spencer C J, Kirkland C L, Taylor R J M. 2016. Strategies towards statistically robust interpretations of in situ U-Pb zircon geochronology. Geoscience Frontiers, 7(4): 581~589.
- Su Wenbo. 2016. Revision of the Mesoproterozoic chronostratigraphic subdivision both of North China and Yangtze Cratons and the relevant issues. Earth Science Frontiers, 23 (6): $156 \sim 185$ (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Su Wenbo, Zhang Shihong, Huff W D, Li Huaikun, Ettensohn F R, Chen Xiaoyu, Yang Hongmei, Han Yigui, Song Biao, Santosh M. 2008. SHRIMP U-Pb ages of K-bentonite beds in the Xiamaling Formation.implications for revised subdivision of the Meso- to Neoproterozoic history of the North China Craton. Gondwana Research, 14:543~553.
- Su Wenbo, Li Huaikun, Huff W D, Ettensohn F R, Zhang Shihong, Zhou Hongying, Wan Yusheng. 2010. SHRIMP U-Pb dating for a K-bentonite bed in the Tieling Formation, North China. Chinese Science Bulletin, 55:3312~3323.
- Su Wenbo, Li Huaikun, Xu Li, Jia Songhai, Geng Jianzhen, Zhou Hongying, Wang Zhihong, Pu Hanyong. 2012. Luoyu and Ruyang Group at the south margin of the North China Craton (NCC) should belong in the Mesoproterozoic Changchengian System:direct constraints from the LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb age of the tuffite in the Luoyukou Formation, Ruzhou, Henan, China. Geological Survey and Research, 35(2): $96 \sim 108$ (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Sun Huiyi, Gao Linzhi, Bao Chuang, Chen Yuelong, Liu Dunyi. 2013. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb of Mesoproterozoic Chuanlinggou Formation from Kuancheng County in Hebei Province and its

geological implications. 87(4): $591 \sim 596$ (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Sun Shengsi, Dong Yunpeng, Sun Yali, Cheng Chao, Huang Xiaoxiao, Liu Xiaoming. 2019. Re-Os geochronology, O isotopes and mineral geochemistry of the Neoproterozoic Songshugou ultramafic massif in the Qinling Orogenic Belt, China. Gondwana Research, 70:71~87.
- Suo Shutian, Wen Lifeng. 2004. Sandstone dikes in the Wufoshan Group, Songshan, Henan: their features and structural interpretation. Earth Science Frontiers, 11(2): 549~556 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tan Cong, Lu Yuanzheng, Song Haonan, Lü Qiqi, Deng Shenghui, Wang Huajian, Su Ling. 2019. Zircon U-Pb dating of the Gaoshanhe Formation tuff in the southwestern margin of the North China craton, and its geological singificance. Acta Geologica Sinica, 93(5): 1113~1124 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tian Hui, Zhang Jian, Li Huaikun, Su Wenbo, Zhou Hongying, Yang Ligong, Xiang Zhenqun, Geng Jianzhen, Liu Huan, Zhu Shixing, Xu Zhenqing. 2015. Zircon LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb dating of tuff from Mesoproterozoic Gaoyuzhuang Formation in Jixian county of North China and its geological significance. Acta Geoscientia Sinica, 36(5): $647 \sim 658$ (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tucker R T, Roberts E M, Hu Yi, Kemp A I S, Salisbury S W. 2013. Detrital zircon age constraints for the Winton Formation, Queensland: contextualizing Australia's Late Cretaceous dinosaur faunas. Gondwana Research, 24:767~779.
- Wan Yusheng, Liu Dunyi, Wang Shiyan, Zhao Xun, Dong Chunyan, Zhou Hongying, Yin Xiaoyan, Yang Changxiu, Gao Linzhi. 2009. Early Precambrian crustal evolution in the Dengfeng area, Henan Province (eastern China): constraints from geochemistry and SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating. Acta Geologica Sinica, 83(7): 982~999 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang Changming, He Xinyu, Carranza E J M, Cui Chengmin. 2019. Paleoproterozoic volcanic rocks in the southern margin of the North China Craton, central China: implications for the Columbia supercontinent. Geoscience Frontiers, 10: 1543 ~1560.
- Wang Miao, Zhou Hongrui, Zhang Heng. 2020a. Mesoproterozoic stratigraphic attribution and tectonic evolution in the southern margin of the North China Craton: evidence from the detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and zircon trace elements. Acta Geologica Sinica, 94(4): 1027~1045 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang Miao, Zhou Hongrui, Zhang Heng. 2020b. Detrital zircon geochronology and tectonic implications of the Mesoproterozoic Gaoshanhe Group in south margin of North China Craton. Journal of Palaeogeography (Chinese Edition), 22(1): 39~55 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang Xiaolei, Jiang Shaoyong, Dai Baozhang, Griffinc W L, Dai Mengning, Yang Yueheng. 2011. Age, geochemistry and tectonic setting of the Neoproterozoic (ca 830 Ma) gabbros on the southern margin of the North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 190:35~47.
- Wang Xiaolei, Jiang Shaoyong, Dai Baozhang, Kern J. 2013. Lithospheric thinning and reworking of Late Archean juvenile crust on the southern margin of the North China Craton: evidence from the Longwangzhuang Paleoproterozoic A-type granites and their surrounding Cretaceous adakite-like granites. Geological Journal, 48,498~515.
- Wang Xiaoxia, Wang Tao, Zhang Chengli. 2013. Neoproterozoic, Paleozoic, and Mesozoic granitoid magmatism in the Qinling Orogen, China: constraints on orogenic process. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 72:129~151.
- Wu Yuanbao, Zheng Yongfei. 2004. Genesis of zircon and its constraints on interpretation of U-Pb age. Chinese Science Bulletin, 49:1554~1569.

- Xiao Lingling, Liu Fulai. 2015. Precambrian metamorphic history of the metamorphic complexes in the Trans-North China Orogen, North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 31(10): 3012~ 3044 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xiao Shuhai, Knoll A H, Kaufman A J, Yin Leiming, Zhang Yun. 1997. Neoproterozoic fossils in Mesoproterozoic rocks? Chemostratigraphic resolution of a biostratigraphic conundrum from the North China Platform. Precambrian Research, 84:197 ~220.
- Yan Guohan, Cai Jianhui, Ren Kangxu, Liu Chuxiong, Liu Xiaoyan, Mou Baolei, Yang Bin, Li Fengtang, Huang Baoling, Ma Fang. 2010. SHRIMP U-Pb zircon ages of the trachyte in the Dahongkou Formation, Luanchuan Group, southern margin of the North China Craton. National Symposium on Petrology and Geodynamics, Beijing, 289~290 (in Chinese).
- Yang Debin, Xu Wenliang, Xu Yigang, Wang Qinghai, Pei Fuping, Wang Feng. 2012. U-Pb ages and Hf isotope data from detrital zircons in the Neoproterozoic sandstones of northern Jiangsu and southern Liaoning Provinces, China: implications for the Late Precambrian evolution of the southeastern North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 216~219:162~176.
- Yue Liang, Liu Ziliang. 2017. An alluvial fan to coastal transition: a case study of Mesoproterozoic Bingmagou Formation, southern margin of the North China Craton. Acta Sedimentologica Sinica, 35(4): 752~762 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zeng Lingjun, Bao Zhiwei, Zhao Taiping, Yao Junming, Zhou Dong. 2013. Geochronology and geochemistry of the Mesoproterozoic Panhe syenites in the southern margin of North China Craton and its tectonic implications. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 29(7): 2425~2436 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhai Mingguo, Hu Bo, Zhao Taiping, Peng Peng, Meng Qingren. 2015. Late Paleoproterozoic-Neoproterozoic multi-rifting events in the North China Craton and their geological significance: a study advance and review. Tectonophysics, 662:153~166.
- Zhang Biyun, Zheng Deshun, Liu Yangguang. 2019. Sedimentary environment analysis of Neoproterozoic Luoquan formation in Lushan area, western Henan Province. Journal of Stratigraphy, 43 (4): 417 ~ 424 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Guowei, Zhang Benren, Yuan Xuecheng, Qinghui Xiao. 2001. Qinling Orogenic Belt and Continental Dynamics. Beijing:Science Press (in Chinese without English abstract).
- Zhang Guowei, Guo Anlin, Dong Yunpeng, Yao Anping. 2019. Rethinking of the Qinling Orogen. Journal of Geomechanics, 25 (05): 746~768 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Heng, Gao Linzhi, Zhou Hongrui, Song Biao, Ding Xiaozhong, Zhang Chuanheng, Liu Haogang, Gong Chengqiang. 2019. Chronology progress of the Guandaokou and Luoyu Groups in the southern margin of North China Craton: constraints on zircon U-Pb dating of tuff by means of the SHRIMP. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2470 ~ 2486 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Hongfu, Zhang Juan, Zhang Guowei, Santosh M, Yu Hong, Yang Yueheng, Wang Jingli. 2016. Detrital zircon U-Pb, Lu-Hf, and O isotopes of the Wufoshan Group: implications for episodic crustal growth and reworking of the southern North China craton. Precambrian Research, 273:112~128.
- Zhang Jian, Tian Hui, Li Huaikun, Su Wenbo, Zhou Hongying, Xiang Zhenqun, Geng Jianzhen, Yang Ligong 2015. Age, geochemistry and zircon Hf isotope of the alkaline basaltic rocks in the middle section of the Yan-Liao aulacogen along the northern margin of the North China Craton: new evidence for the breakup of the Columbia supercontinent. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 31 (10): 3129 ~ 3146 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Juan, Zhang Hongfu, Lu Xinxiang. 2013. Zircon U-Pb age and Lu-Hf isotope constraints on Precambrian evolution of continental crust in the Songshan area, the south-central North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 226:1~20.

- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue, Yang Zhenyu, He Zhefeng, Wu Hai. 2009. The 1.35 Ga diabase sills from the northern North China Craton: implications for breakup of the Columbia (Nuna) supercontinent. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 288:588 \sim 600.
- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue, Santosh M. 2012. Mid-Mesoproterozoic bimodal magmatic rocks in the northern North China Craton; implications for magmatism related to breakup of the Columbia supercontinent. Precambrian Research, 222 ~ 223;339~367.
- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue, Ye Hao, Hu Jianmin, Wu Fei. 2013. New constraints on ages of the Chuanlinggou and Tuanshanzi Formations of the Changcheng System in the Yan-Liao area in the northern North China Craton. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 29 (7): 2481~2490 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue. 2016a. Magmatic records of the Late Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic extensional and rifting events in the North China Craton: a preliminary review. In:eds. Zhai Mingguo, Zhao Yue, Zhao Taiping. Main Tectonic Events and Metallogeny of the North China Craton. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 359~391.
- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue, Ye Hao, Hu Guohui. 2016b. Early Neoproterozoic emplacement of the diabase sill swarms in the Liaodong Peninsula and pre-magmatic uplift of the southeastern North China Craton. Precambrian Research, 272:203~225.
- Zhang Shuanhong, Zhao Yue, Li Xianhua, Ernst R E, Yang Zhenyu. 2017. The $1.33 \sim 1.30$ Ga Yanliao large igneous province in the North China Craton: implications for reconstruction of the Nuna (Columbia) supercontinent, and specifically with the North Australian Craton. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, $465:112 \sim 125$.
- Zhang Zhen, Li Sanzhong, Cao Huahua, Somerville I D, Zhao Shujuan, Yu Shan. 2015. Origin of the North Qinling Microcontinent and Proterozoic geotectonic evolution of the Kuanping Ocean, Central China. Precambrian Research, 266: 179~193.
- Zhao Guochun, Sun Min, Wilde S A, Li Sanzhong. 2003a. Assembly, accretion and breakup of the Paleo-Mesoproterozoic Columbia supercontinent: records in the North China Craton. Gondwana Research, 6:417~434.
- Zhao Guochun, Sun Min, Wilde S A. 2003b. Major tectonic units of the North China Craton and their Paleoproterozoic assembly. Science China Earth Sciences, 46:23~38.
- Zhao Guochun, Cao Lin, Wilde S A, Sun Min, Choe W J, Li Sanzhong. 2006. Implications based on the first SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating on Precambrian granitoid rocks in North Korea. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 251:365~379.
- Zhao Guochun, He Yanhong, Sun Min. 2009. The Xiong'er volcanic belt at the southern margin of the North China Craton. petrographic and geochemical evidence for its outboard position in the Paleo-Mesoproterozoic Columbia supercontinent. Gondwana Research, 16:170~181.
- Zhao Guochun, Cawood P A, Li Sanzhong, Wilde S A, Sun Min, Zhang Jian, He Yanhong, Yin Changqing. 2012. Amalgamation of the North China Craton: key issues and discussion. Precambrian Research, 222~223:55~76.
- Zhao Guochun, Zhai Mingguo. 2013. Lithotectonic elements of Precambrian basement in the North China Craton: review and tectonic implications. Gondwana Research, 23:1207~1240.
- Zhao Taiping, Zhou Meifu, Zhai Mingguo, Xia Bin. 2002. Paleoproterozoic rift-related volcanism of the Xiong'er Group, North China craton: implications for the breakup of Columbia. International Geology Review, 44:336~351.
- Zhao Taiping, Zhai Mingguo, Xia Bin, Li Huimin, Zhang Yixing, Wan Yusheng. 2004. Zircon U-Pb SHRIMP dating for the volcanic rocks of the Xiong'er Group: constraints on the initial formation age of the cover of the North China Craton. Chinese Science Bulletin, 49:2495~2502.
- Zhao Taiping, Zhou Meifu. 2009. Geochemical constraints on the

tectonic setting of Paleoproterozoic A-type granites in the southern margin of the North China Craton. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, $36.183 \sim 195$.

- Zhao Taiping, Deng Xiaoqin. 2016. Petrogenesis and tectonic significance of the Late Paleoproterozoic to Early Mesoproterozoic (~1.80~1.53 Ga) A-type granites in the southern margin of the North China Craton. In: eds. Zhai Mingguo, Zhao Yue, Zhao Taiping. Main Tectonic Events and Metallogeny of the North China Craton. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 423~434.
- Zheng Yongfei. 2003. Neoproterozoic magmatic activity and global change. Chinese Science Bulletin, 48:1639~1656.
- Zhong Yan, Xiang Zhenqun, Chu Hang. 2019. A Mesoproterozoic multi-cycled composite basin in the northern margin of the North China Craton and its geological implications; constraints from statistics of the detrital zircon U-Pb data. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2377~2406 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhou Chuanming, Yuan Xunlai, Xiao Shuhai, Chen Zhe, Hua Hong. 2019. Ediacaran integrative stratigraphy and timescale of China. Science China Earth Sciences, 62:7~24.
- Zhou Hongrui, Wang Ziqiang. 1999. Feature and tectonopaleogeography evolution of the southern margin of the North China continent in Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic Era. Geoscience, 13 (3): 261 ~ 267 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhou Yanyan, Sun Qianying, Zhao Taiping, Diwu Chunrong. 2016. The Paleoproterozoic continental evolution in the southern North China Craton: constrains from magmatism and sedimentation. In: Zhai Mingguo, Zhao Yue, Zhao Taiping, eds. Main Tectonic Events and Metallogeny of the North China Craton. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 251~277.
- Zhu Xiyan, Chen Fukun, Li Shuangqing, Yang Yizeng, Nie Hu, Siebel W, Zhai Mingguo. 2011. Crustal evolution of the North Qinling Terrain of the Qinling Orogen, China: evidence from detrital zircon U-Pb ages and Hf isotopic composition. Gondwana Research, 20:194~204.
- Zhu Xiyan, Qiu Yifan, Pang Lanyin, Zhai Mingguo. 2019. Multisource of clastic sedimentary rocks in the Gaoshanhe Group along the southern margin of the North China Craton: implications for regional stratum comparison and tectonic evolution. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2487 ~ 2502 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhu Xiyan, Wang Shiyan, Su Wenbo, Zhao Taiping, Pang Lanyin, Zhai Mingguo. 2020. Zircon U-Pb geochronology of tuffite beds in the Baishugou Formation: constraints on the revision of Ectasian System at the southern margin of the North China Craton. Science China Earth Sciences, 63:1817~1840.
- Zuo Pengfei. 2016. Neoproterozoic to Early Paleozoic tectonics and mineralization of black shales in southwest Henan, China. Doctoral degree dissertation of China University of Geosciences (Beijing) (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zuo Pengfei, Li Yu, Liu Sicong, Zheng Deshun. 2019a. Meso-Neoproterozoic sedimentary evolution of the southern margin of the North China Craton; evidence from the Huanglianduo and Dongjia Formations in the western Henan. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 35(8): 2545~2572 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zuo Pengfei, Li Yu, Zhang Guocheng, Si Rongjun, Wang Shiyan, Liu Sicong, Zheng Deshun, Sun Jiangtao. 2019b. Reviews of the Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic sedimentary sequences and new constraints on the tectono-sedimentary evolution of the southern margin of the North China Craton. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 179:416~429.

参考文献

- 包志伟, 王强, 资锋, 唐功建, 杜凤军, 白国典. 2009. 龙王疃 A 型 花岗岩地球化学特征及其地球动力学意义. 地球化学, 38(6): 509~522.
- 曾令君,包志伟,赵太平,姚军明,周栋. 2013. 华北克拉通南缘潘

河~1.5 Ga 正长岩的厘定及其构造意义. 岩石学报, 29(7): 2425~2436.

- 陈衍景,富士谷,强立志.1992. 评熊耳群和西洋河群形成的构造 背景.地质论评,38(4):325~333.
- 邓小芹,赵太平,彭头平,高昕宇,包志伟. 2015. 华北克拉通南缘 1600 Ma 麻坪 A 型花岗岩的成因及其地质意义. 岩石学报,31 (6):1621~1635.
- 邓小芹,彭头平,赵太平,丘志力. 2019. 华北克拉通南缘古元古代 末(~1.84 Ga)垣头 A-型花岗岩成因及其构造意义. 岩石学报, 35(8):2455~2469.
- 第五春荣,孙勇,刘良,张成立,王洪亮. 2010. 北秦岭宽坪岩群的 解体及新元古代 N-MORB. 岩石学报,26(7): 2025~2038.
- 第五春荣,刘祥,孙勇. 2018. 华北克拉通南缘太华杂岩组成及演 化. 岩石学报,34(4):999~1018.
- 董云鹏,张国伟,朱炳泉. 2003. 北秦岭构造属性与元古代构造演 化. 地球学报,24(1):3~10.
- 杜金虎,李相博,包洪平,徐旺林,王雅婷,黄军平,王宏波,完颜 容,王菁.2019.鄂尔多斯盆地中新元古界一下古生界天然气 成藏地质条件及勘探新领域.石油勘探与开发,46(5):820 ~835.
- 高林志,尹崇玉,王自强. 2002. 华北地台南缘新元古代地层的新 认识. 地质通报,21(3):130~135.
- 高胜,陈丹玲,宫相宽,任云飞,李海平.2015.天水东岔地区宽坪 岩群碎屑岩和花岗岩中的锆石 U-Pb 定年及其地质意义.地学 前缘,22(4):255~264.
- 高维,张传恒,高林志,史晓颖,刘耀明,宋彪. 2008. 北京密云环 斑花岗岩的锆石 SHRIMP U-Pb 年龄及其构造意义. 地质通 报,27(6):793~798.
- 关保德, 耿午辰, 戎治权, 杜慧英. 1988. 河南东秦岭北坡中一上元 古界. 郑州:河南科学技术出版社.
- 关保德, 吕国芳, 王耀霞. 1993. 河南省地台区中一晚元古代构造 沉积盆地演化分析. 河南地质, 11(3): 181~191.
- 管树巍,吴林,任荣,朱光有,彭朝全,赵文韬,李杰. 2017. 中国 主要克拉通前寒武纪裂谷分布与油气勘探前景.石油学报,38 (1):9~22.
- 郭文琳,苏文博,张健,李惠民,周红英,李怀坤,Ettensohn F R, Huff W D. 2019. 天津蓟县铁岭组新剖面钾质斑脱岩锆石 U-Pb 测年及 Hf 同位素研究. 岩石学报,35(8):2433~2454.
- 河南省地质矿产厅. 1997. 河南省岩石地层. 武汉:中国地质大学出版社.
- 胡德祥,邓清禄,杨巍然. 1987. 华北地台与秦岭地槽构造关系初 探. 地球科学,12(5):477~486.
- 胡国辉,赵太平,周艳艳,杨阳. 2012a. 华北克拉通南缘五佛山群 沉积时代和物源区分析:碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄和 Hf 同位素证 据. 地球化学,41(4):326~342.
- 胡国辉,周艳艳,赵太平.2012b.河南嵩山地区元古宙五佛山群沉 积岩的地球化学特征及其对物源区和构造环境的制约.岩石学 报,28(11):3692~3704.
- 胡国辉,赵太平,周艳艳,王世炎.2013.华北克拉通南缘中-新元 古代沉积地层对比研究及其地质意义.岩石学报,29(7):2491 ~2507.
- 胡国辉,张拴宏,张琪琪,王世炎.2019.华北克拉通南缘栾川群大 红口组形成时代及其对新元古代构造演化的制约.岩石学报, 35(8):2503~2517.
- 胡受奚,林潜龙,陈泽铭,黎世美.1988.华北与华南古板块拼合带 地质和成矿.南京:南京大学出版社.
- 贾超. 2018. 豫西地区新元古代地层的形成时限、物源及构造背景 分析. 合肥工业大学硕士学位论文.
- 蒋干清,周洪瑞,王自强.1994.豫西栾川地区栾川群的层序、沉积 环境及其构造古地理意义.现代地质,8(4):430~440.
- 李承东,赵利刚,常青松,许雅雯,王世炎,许腾. 2017. 豫西洛峪 口组凝灰岩锆石 LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb 年龄及地层归属讨论. 中国地质,44(3):511~525.
- 李怀坤,陆松年,李惠民,孙立新,相振群,耿建珍,周红英. 2009. 侵入下马岭组的基性岩床的锆石和斜锆石 U-Pb 精确定年——

对华北中元古界地层划分方案的制约.地质通报,28(10): 1396~1404.

- 李怀坤,苏文博,周红英,耿建珍,相振群,崔玉荣,刘文灿,陆松 年.2011.华北克拉通北部长城系底界年龄小于1670 Ma:来自 北京密云花岗斑岩岩脉锆石 LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb 年龄的约 束.地学前缘,18(3):108~120.
- 李怀坤, 苏文博, 周红英, 相振群, 田辉, 杨立公, Huff W D, Ettensohn F R. 2014. 中-新元古界标准剖面蓟县系首获高精 度年龄制约——蓟县剖面雾迷山组和铁岭组斑脱岩锆石 SHRIMP U-Pb 同位素定年研究. 岩石学报, 30(10): 2999 ~3012.
- 李猛,王超,王钊飞. 2013. 华北克拉通西南缘汝阳群沉积时代及 其地质意义:来自碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄的证据. 地质科学,48 (4):1115~1139.
- 李振生, 贾超, 赵卓娅, 霍金晶, 李全忠, 张交东. 2020. 华北克拉 通南缘栾川群的形成时代、物源及其对区域构造演化的意义: 锆石 U-Pb 年代学和 Hf 同位素制约. 地质学报, 94(4): 1046 ~1066.
- 李忠, 倪玲梅, 徐建强. 2016. 朝鲜半岛及华北上元古界一古生界 沉积序列与碎屑锆石年代学记录及其构造属性分析. 岩石学 报, 32(10): 3139~3154.
- 刘典波,王小琳,张恒,石成龙.2019. 华北串岭沟组凝灰岩锆石 SHRIMP年龄及其地层学意义.地学前缘,26(3):183~189.
- 陆松年,李怀坤,李惠民,宋彪,王世炎,周红英,陈志宏. 2003. 华北克拉通南缘龙王瞳碱性花岗岩 U-Pb 年龄及其地质意义. 地质通报,22(10):762~768.
- 陆松年,马国干,高振家,林蔚兴.1985.中国晚前寒武纪冰成岩系 初探.见:前寒武纪地质第1号中国晚前寒武纪冰成岩论文 集.北京:地质出版社,1~86.
- 陆松年,相振群,李怀坤,王惠初,初航.2012.华北克拉通对罗迪 尼亚超大陆事件的响应——GOSEN连接假设.地质学报,86 (9):1396~1406.
- 吕奇奇,罗顺社,汪泽成,王铜,张严,官玉龙. 2020. 华北克拉通 典型拗拉槽中-新元古界沉积体系与古地理演化. 地质学报, DOI: 10.19762/j. cnki. dizhixuebao. 2020279.
- 彭楠, 旷红伟, 柳永清, 耿元生, 夏晓旭, 王玉冲, 陈骁帅, 郑行海. 2018. 华北克拉通南缘汝阳群大型具刺疑源类时代再厘定及早 期真核生物群演化意义. 古地理学报, 20(4): 595~608.
- 朴贤旭,翟明国,杨正赫,金正男,郑哲珠,吴福元,金胜贤,韩龙 渊,朴雄. 2016.朝鲜半岛平南盆地中元古代岩浆事件. 岩石 学报,32(10):3033~3044.
- 乔秀夫,王彦斌. 2014. 华北克拉通中元古界底界年龄与盆地性质 讨论. 地质学报,88(9):1623~1637.
- 任富根,李惠民,殷艳杰,李双保,丁士应,陈志宏.2000.熊耳群 火山岩系的上限年龄及其地质意义.前寒武纪研究进展,23 (3):140~146.
- 陕西省地质矿产局. 1998. 陕西省岩石地层. 武汉:中国地质大学出版社.
- 苏文博.2016.华北及扬子克拉通中元古代年代地层格架厘定及相 关问题探讨.地学前缘,23(6):156~185.
- 苏文博,李怀坤,徐莉,贾松海,耿建珍,周红英,王志宏,蒲含勇. 2012. 华北克拉通南缘洛峪群-汝阳群属于中元古界长城 系——河南汝州洛峪口组层凝灰岩锆石 LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb 年龄的直接约束.地质调查与研究,35(2):96~108.
- 孙会一,高林志,包创,陈岳龙,刘敦一. 2013. 河北宽城中元古代 串岭沟组凝灰岩 SHRIMP 锆石 U-Pb 年龄及其地质意义. 地质 学报,87(4):591~596.

- 索书田,闻立峰. 2004. 河南省嵩山区五佛山群内的砂岩岩墙及构造解释. 地学前缘,11(2):549~556.
- 谭聪,卢远征,宋昊南,吕奇奇,邓胜徽,王华建,苏玲.2019.华 北克拉通西南缘高山河组凝灰岩锆石 U-Pb 年龄及其地质意 义.地质学报,93(5):1113~1124.
- 田辉,张健,李怀坤,苏文博,周红英,杨立公,相振群,耿建珍, 刘欢,朱士兴. 2015. 蓟县中元古代高于庄组凝灰岩锆石 LA-MC-ICPMS U-Pb 定年及其地质意义.地球学报,36(5):647~ 658.
- 万渝生,刘敦一,王世炎,赵逊,董春艳,周红英,殷小艳,杨长秀, 高林志. 2009. 登封地区早前寒武纪地壳演化──地球化学和 锆石 SHRIMP U-Pb 年代学制约.地质学报,83(7):982 ~999.
- 王淼,周洪瑞,张恒.2020a.华北南缘中元古代地层归属及大地构 造演化:来自碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年代学和锆石微量元素的证据.地 质学报,94(4):1027~1045.
- 王淼,周洪瑞,张恒. 2020b. 华北南缘中元古界高山河群碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年代学及其地质意义. 古地理学报,22(1):39~55.
- 肖玲玲,刘福来. 2015. 华北克拉通中部造山带早前寒武纪变质演 化历史评述. 岩石学报,31(10): 3012~3044.
- 阎国翰,蔡剑辉,任康绪,刘楚雄,柳晓艳,牟保磊,杨斌,李凤棠, 黄宝玲,马芳.2010.华北克拉通南缘栾川群大洪口组碱性粗 面岩锆石 SHRIMP U-Pb 年龄及其意义.2010年全国岩石学与 地球动力学研讨会,北京,289~290.
- 岳亮,刘自亮. 2017.冲积扇沉积向滨岸沉积的转变——以华北克 拉通南缘中元古界兵马沟组为例.沉积学报,35(4):752 ~762.
- 张碧云,郑德顺,刘仰光. 2019. 豫西鲁山地区新元古界罗圈组沉 积环境分析. 地层学杂志,43(4):417~424.
- 张国伟,张本仁,袁学诚,肖庆辉. 2001. 秦岭造山带与大陆动力 学.北京:科学出版社.
- 张国伟,郭安林,董云鹏,姚安平. 2019. 关于秦岭造山带. 地质力 学学报, 25(5): 746~768.
- 张恒,高林志,周洪瑞,宋彪,丁孝忠,张传恒,刘吴岗,龚成强. 2019. 华北克拉通南缘官道口群和洛峪群的年代学研究新进展——来自凝灰岩 SHRIMP 锆石 U-Pb 年龄的新证据. 岩石学报,35(8):2470~2486.
- 张健,田辉,李怀坤,苏文博,周红英,相振群,耿建珍,杨立功. 2015. 华北克拉通北缘 Columbia 超大陆裂解事件:来自燕辽裂 陷槽中部长城系碱性火山岩的地球化学、锆石 U-Pb 年代学和 Hf 同位素证据. 岩石学报,31(10): 3129~3146.
- 张拴宏,赵越,叶浩,胡健民,吴飞. 2013. 燕辽地区长城系串岭沟 组及团山子组沉积时代的新制约. 岩石学报,29(7):2481 ~2490.
- 钟焱,相振群,初航.2019. 华北克拉通北缘的中元古代多旋回复 合盆地及其地质意义:来自碎屑锆石 U-Pb 年龄的统计学证据. 岩石学报,35(8):2377~2406.
- 周洪瑞,王自强. 1999. 华北大陆南缘中、新元古代大陆边缘性质及 构造古地理演化.现代地质,13(3):261~267.
- 祝禧艳, 仇一凡, 庞岚尹, 翟明国. 2019. 华北南缘中元古界高山河 群碎屑沉积岩物质源区多元性及其对区域地层对比和构造演 化的指示. 岩石学报, 35(8): 2487~2502.
- 左鹏飞. 2016. 豫西南中元古代一早古生代构造演化和黑色岩系成 矿作用. 中国地质大学(北京)博士学位论文.
- 左鹏飞,李雨,刘思聪,郑德顺. 2019a. 华北克拉通南缘中一新元 古代沉积演化:以豫西地区黄连垛组和董家组为例. 岩石学报, 35(8): 2545~2572.

LI Zhensheng $^{*\,1)}$, JIANG Rourou $^{1)}$, MA Xueting $^{1)}$, ZHANG Yan $^{*\,1)}$,

LI Quanzhong¹⁾, ZHANG Jiaodong²⁾

1) School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, Anhui 230009, China;

2) Oil and Gas Resources Survey Center of CGS, Beijing 100083, China

* Corresponding author: lizhensh@163.com; hefeizhang yan@126.com

Abstract

The Xiong'er basin, with best preserved late Precambrian stratain the North China Craton, is an ideal site for reevaluating the sedimentary and tectonic evolution history of this period. Based on the collected and newly analyzed zircon chronology data of 38 clastic and 23 magmatic rocks, regional comparison of lithofacies and inheritance of sedimentary palaeogeographic patterns, the Late Precambrian chronostratigraphic framework, tectonic setting, evolution history as well as sedimentary paleogeography pattern are systematically studied. The readjusted chronostratigraphic framework divides the Late Precambrian evolution of the Xiong'er Basin into 6 basin stages, including aulacogen in the Early Changcheng Epoch, fault basin in the Late Changcheng Epoch, depression basin in the Jixian Period, depression basin in the early phase of the Unnamed period (Ectasian Period), depression basin in the Qingbaikou Period, as well as glacial depression basin in the Late Sinian Epoch, with three major sedimentary discontinuities in the end of Early Changcheng Epoch, middle-late phase of the Unnamed period, and Nanhua Period to Early Sinian Epoch. The collisional to extensional transition of tectonic setting in the Late Changcheng Epoch and Qingbaikou Period support the evolution model of multi-stage convergence and breakup between the North Qinling Terrane and the North China Craton. The volcanicsedimentary rock series in the Early Changcheng Epoch to the early phase of the Unnamed period (Ectasian period) of the Mesoproterozoic Era and the 1. $64 \sim 1.47$ Ga anorogenic magmatic events are the geological responses to the breakup of the Columbia supercontinent. The sedimentary rock series of collisional setting and volcanic-sedimentary rock series of extensional setting in the early phase of the Qingbaikou Period are the geological response to convergence and breakup of the Rodinia supercontinent respectively.

Key words: Late Precambrian; basin tectonic setting; supercontinent evolution; Xiong'er basin; North Qinling