Geochemical Characteristics and Genetic Types of Natural Gas in the Xinchang Gas Field, Sichuan Basin, SW China

WU Xiaoqi¹, LIU Quanyou^{2,*}, LIU Guangxiang¹, WANG Ping¹, LI Huaji³, MENG Qingqiang², CHEN Yingbin¹ and ZENG Huasheng¹

1 Wuxi Research Institute of Petroleum Geology, Petroleum Exploration and Production Research Institute, SINOPEC, Wuxi 214126, Jiangsu, China

3 Exploration and Development Research Institute, Southwest Branch Company, SINOPEC, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China

Abstract: The molecular compositions and stable carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field in the Sichuan Basin were investigated to determine the genetic types. The natural gas is mainly composed of methane (88.99%–98.01%), and the dryness coefficient varies between 0.908 and 0.997. The gas generally displays positive alkane carbon and hydrogen isotopic series. The geochemical characteristics and gas-source correlation indicate that the gases stored in the 5th member of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation are coal-type gases which are derived from source rocks in the stratum itself. The gases reservoired in the 4th member of the Xujiahe Formation and Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field are also coal-type gases that are derived from source rocks in the 3rd and 4th members of the Xujiahe Formation. The gases reservoired in the 2nd member of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation. The gases with small amounts of oil-type gas that is derived from source rocks in the stratum itself. This is accompanied by a small amount of contribution brought by source rocks in the Upper Triassic Ma'antang and Xiaotangzi formations. The gases reservoired in the 4th member of the Upper Permian source rocks in the secondary cracking of oil which is most likely to be generated from the Upper Permian source rocks.

Key words: natural gas, geochemical characteristics, genetic types, Xinchang gas field, western Sichuan Basin

1 Introduction

Natural gas is generally dominated by gaseous alkanes with relatively simple chemical compositions compared to crude oil. The geochemical characteristics of natural gas, such as chemical composition, carbon and hydrogen isotopic composition, as well as noble gas isotopic composition are fundamental for the study of the origin, migration, accumulation and alteration of natural gas, and have played an important role in revealing the organic type, thermal evolution degree and sedimentary environment of natural gas source rocks (Stahl, 1977; Schoell, 1980; Chung et al., 1988; Prinzhofer and Huc,

© 2017 Geological Society of China

1995; Rooney et al., 1995; Whiticar, 1999; Tang et al., 2000; Zhang Xiaobao et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2004; Zhu Guangyou et al., 2007; Liu Quanyou et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014a; Meng et al., 2015; Tao Cheng et al., 2015; Fu Xiaofei et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Ma Yongsheng and Zhao Peirong, 2016).

The Sichuan Basin is one of the important petroliferous basins onshore in China, and the natural gas exploration in the basin has achieved significant success in recent years. The geochemical characteristics, origin and source of the gases have been widely studied. Several large gas fields such as the Xinchang, Guang'an and Hechuan have been explored in the terrigenous strata in central and western Sichuan Basin. It was identified that the natural gas reservoired in the Upper Triassic and Middle-Upper

² Petroleum Exploration and Production Research Institute, SINOPEC, Beijing 100083, China

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: liuqy.syky@sinopec.com

Jurassic layers was coal-type gas which was mainly derived from the coal-measure source rocks in the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation (Qin Shengfei et al., 2007a, 2007b; Dai et al., 2009, 2012; Wu Xiaoqi et al., 2011; Bian Congsheng et al., 2015; Yu Yu et al., 2016). The exploration in the marine strata in the Sichuan Basin has also made a significant breakthrough. On one hand, the exploration in the Upper Permian Changxing and Lower Triassic Feixianguan formations has discovered several large gas fields such as Puguang, Longgang and Yuanba in northeastern Sichuan Basin. The gases in these gas fields were commonly considered as oil-cracking gases that were derived from the secondary cracking of oil generated by source rocks in the Upper Permian Longtan Formation. They had generally experienced alteration by thermalchemical sulfate reduction (TSR) (Hao et al., 2008, 2015; Liu et al., 2013, 2014b). Whereas on the other hand, the exploration in the Upper Sinian Dengying and Lower Cambrian Longwangmiao formations has revealed that the Anyue giant gas field has reserves of over 400×10^9 m³ in central Sichuan Basin. In addition, the gases were considered as oil-cracking gases derived from the Sinian and Cambrian source rocks (Wei Guogi et al., 2015). Moreover, the shale gas exploration in the Lower Silurian Longmaxi Formation in southeastern Sichuan Basin has attracted wide attention (Dai et al., 2014). Therefore, the Sichuan Basin has become one of the focused areas in the exploration and geochemical study of natural gas in China.

The Xinchang gas field locates in the central section of western Sichuan Basin, which has been previously documented about its reservoir property and its main controlling factors (Lin Xiaobing et al., 2014; Wang Zhengrong et al., 2015; Wu Xiaoqi et al., 2016). Few studies have been conducted on the geochemical characteristics of natural gas with no consensus on the gas origin and source. Natural gas reservoired in the 4th member of the Middle Triassic Leikopou Formation was considered as either oil-type gas derived from source rocks in the Leikoupo Formation (Xie Gangping, 2015) or coaltype gas derived from source rocks in the overlying Xujiahe Formation (Qin Chuan et al., 2011). The gas reservoired in the 2nd member of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation was widely believed to display characteristics of both oil-type and coal-type gases. However, Shen Zhongmin et al. (2009) considered that the gas was derived from its own respective set of source rocks, whereas Ye Jun (2001) proposed that the gas was derived from source rocks in the underlying Lower Triassic Ma'antang and Xiaotangzi formations. As for the natural gas reservoired in the Middle-Upper Jurassic strata, since the dark mudstone was hardly developed in western Sichuan Basin, and the Jurassic mudstone had a poor hydrocarbon potential due to the TOC content generally being lower than 0.5% (Cai Kaiping and Liao Shimeng, 2000), the Jurassic gas in western Sichuan Basin including the Xinchang gas field was believed to be derived from the underlying Xujiahe Formation coalmeasure source rocks (Wu et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2012; Wu Xiaoqi et al., 2011). Although Shen Zhongmin et al. (2008) proposed that the Jurassic gas in the Xinchang gas field was derived from the 5th member of Xujiahe Formation source rocks based on the analysis of the rock association characteristics, there was still inadequate geochemical evidence of natural gas.

2201

The divergence of the gas origin and source of the Xinchang gas field in the Sichuan Basin was mainly caused by the various understanding of the geochemical characteristics of natural gas, and previous studies on the gas geochemistry generally paid little attention to hydrogen isotopic composition. In this paper, we will systematically analyze the geochemical characteristics of natural gas based on the measurement of the chemical composition as well as stable carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions. We will also further probe into the genetic types of natural gas in order to provide beneficial information for the gas-source correlation.

2 Geological Settings

The Sichuan Basin is an NE-trending and diamond tectonic-sedimentary basin in southwestern China, and is a large petroliferous basin superimposed by marine carbonate platform sediments and terrigenous clastic sediments covering an area of 19×10^4 km² (Tong Chongguang, 1992). The Sichuan Basin structurally belongs to the Yangtze Block, and it comprises a composite basin-mountain system with its peripheral mountains, which exerts significant control on the present distribution of medium-large sized natural gas fields in the basin (Liu et al., 2012).

The Western Sichuan Depression locates in the western Sichuan Basin, and thick T₃-K terrigenous strata were deposited as a result of the strike-slip and thrust faulting of the Longmenshan thrust belt in Late Triassic. The depression was commonly known as the Western Sichuan Foreland Basin (Deng et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012).The Xinchang gas field is situated in the Xiaoquan–Xinchang– Hexingchang region in western Xinchang structural belt, which is in the central part of the Western Sichuan Depression. An NEE-trending anticline higher in the west and lower in the east was developed in this region. It has a steep south flank and a gentle north flank, and only a few thrust faults were developed in the eastern part of the region as seen from the upper surface of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation (Fig. 1).

The sequential drilled formations downwards are the Quaternay (Q), the Lower Cretaceous Jianmenguan Formation $(K_1 i)$, the Upper Jurassic Penglaizhen $(J_3 p)$ and Suining (J₃sn) formations, the Middle Jurassic Shaximiao (J_{2s}) and Qianfuya (J_{2q}) formations, the Lower Jurassic Baitianba Formation (J_1b) , the Upper Triassic Xujiahe (T_3x) , Xiaotangzi (T_3t) and Ma'antang (T_3m) formations, and the 4th member of the Middle Triassic Leikoupo Formation (T_2l^4) (Fig. 2). The Xujiahe Formation was generally divided into six members $(T_3x^1-T_3x^6)$ from bottom to top in the Sichuan Basin (Dai et al., 2009), whereas only the 2^{nd} to 5^{th} members $(T_{3}x^{2}-T_{3}x^{5})$ were developed in the Xinchang gas field. The T_3x^6 is missing and J_1b uncomformably covers T_3x^5 as a result of denudation in the Xinchang gas field. Moreover, T_3t-T_3m corresponds to T_3x^1 in other regions of the Sichuan Basin. The Xinchang gas field is a large gas field with proven gas reserves of 204.522×10^9 m³, and the natural gas is mainly reservoired in T_2l^4 , T_3x^2 , T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , J_2q , J_2s and J_3p (Fig. 2). Natural gas in the Xinchang gas field is mainly reservoired in the Jurassic and Upper Triassic sandstones as well as the Middle Triassic limestone and dolomite (Fig. 2).

The Jurassic strata $(J_1b, J_2q, J_2s, J_3s, and J_3p)$ in the Xinchang gas field are dominated by a set of inland lacustrine red clastic sediments with a thickness of 2000 m (Cai Kaiping and Liao Shimeng, 2000). They have low hydrocarbon generation potential due to the TOC contents generally lower than 0.2% (Dai et al., 2012). The Xujiahe Formation represents a set of lakeshore deposits and/or marsh facies with the thickness decreasing towards the

southeast to the central Sichuan Basin (Dai et al., 2009). The dark mudstone has an average TOC content of 1.96%, not to mention, its interbedded coals are significant source rocks being both type II and III kerogen. They are mainly developed in T_3x^1 , T_3x^3 and T_3x^5 in the Western Sichuan Depression (Dai et al., 2012). The Ma'antang and Xiaotangzi formations are a set of transitional facies deposited in shallow bay environment, and the marlstone and mudstone deposited in bay mudflat and shoreland marsh facies constitute source rocks with kerogen types I and II (Ye Jun, 2003). The Leikoupo Formation represents a set of deep lagoon facies of restricted- evaporate platforms. It mainly consists of limestone and dolomite with interbedded gypsum. The residual TOC contents of the carbonate rocks generally lie in 0.2%-0.4% (Xu Guoming et al., 2013). Nonetheless, whether the carbonate rocks could be considered source rocks is still controversial.

3 Samples and Methods

The gas samples from the Xinchang gas field in the Sichuan Basin were collected from the wellheads after flushing the lines for 15–20 minutes to remove air contamination. To collect the gas samples, 5 cm radius stainless steel cylinders with double valves were used.

The chemical composition of gas samples was determined using an Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatograph (GC) equipped with a flame ionization detector and a thermal conductivity detector. Individual alkane gas components were separated using a capillary column (PLOT Al_2O_3 50m×0.53mm). The GC oven temperature



Fig. 1. Map showing location and main wells of the Xinchang gas field in western Sichuan Basin, southwestern China.

Vol. 91 No. 6

	Strata		Thickness (m)	Lithology	Lithological description	Gas zone
Creta- ceous	Jianmenguan	Kıj	129-392	= = = = = =	Brownwish red mudstone at the top, and sandstone or conlomerate at the bottom	
Jurassic	Penglaizhen	J ₃ p	1221-1373		Purple red silty mudstone interbedded with sandstone	5
	Suining	J ₃ sn	245-349		Brownwish red mudstone interbedded with siltstone	δ
	Shaximiao	J ₂ <i>s</i>	637-833		Purple red silty mudstone interbedded with purplish grey siltstone	5 50 50 50
	Qianfuya	J_2q	35-94		Yellow green mudstone with light grey sandstone at the bottom	δ
	Baitianba	J ₁ b	87-161		Purple red mudstone with thin-layer sandstone at the top	
Triassic		T_3x^5	450-558		Siltstone interbedded with silty mudstone	8
		T ₃ x ⁴	559-682		Sandstone interbedded with mudstone and siltstone at the top, and conglome-rate at the bottom	6 6
	Xujiahe	$T_3 x^3$	730-835		Dark mudstone interbedded with silt- stone and siltymudstone	8 8
		$T_3 x^2$	410-611		Siltstone interbedded with mudstone	vo vo
	Xiaotangzi	T ₃ t	90-110	·····	and siltstone	
	Ma'antang	T ₃ m	112-165		Silty mudstone at the top, and grey limestone at the bottom	
	Leikoupo	$T_2 l^4$	250-335	22222	Light grey dolomite interbedded with limestone and thin-layer gypsum	8
	Sandstone		Siltstone	N	ludstone Gypsum ZZZ Do	lomite
	Limestone	δ	Gas zone	••• C	Conglomerate Silty mudstone	

Fig. 2. Stratigraphic column of the Xinchang gas field in western Sichuan Basin.

was initially set at 40° C for 5 minutes, heating at a rate of 10° C/min until it reached a final temperature of 180° C, which was held for 20 minutes,

Stable carbon isotopic composition of the natural gas was measured on a Finnigan MAT-253 mass spectrometer. The alkane gas components and CO₂ were initially separated using a fused silica capillary column (PLOT Q 30m×0.32mm) with helium as the carrier gas. The oven temperature was ramped from 40–180°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min, and the final temperature was held for 10 minutes. Each gas sample was measured in triplicate. Stable carbon isotopic values are reported in the δ notation in permil (‰) relative to VPDB, and the measurement precision is estimated to be ±0.5‰ for δ^{13} C.

Stable hydrogen isotopic composition of alkane gases was measured on a Thermo Scientific Delta V Advantage mass spectrometer (GC/TC/IRMS). The alkane gas components were separated on an HP-PLOT Q column $(30m\times0.32mm\times20\mu m)$ with helium as the carrier gas at 1.5ml/min. The GC oven was initially held at 30°C for 5

minutes. It was then programmed to 80° C at the heating rate of 8° C/min, and then it was subsequently heated to 260° C at 4° C/min where it was held for 10 minutes. Each gas sample was measured in triplicate and the results were averaged. The measurement precision is estimated to be $\pm 3\%$ for δD with respect to VSMOW.

4 Results

The chemical compositions and stable carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions of natural gas in the Xinchang gas field are listed in Table 1.

4.1 Chemical composition of natural gas

Natural gas in the Xinchang gas field within the Sichuan Basin is mainly composed of alkane gas with a low amount of nonhydrocarbons. The CH₄ content ranges between 88.99% and 98.01% with an average of 94.55%, and the C₂–C₅ content ranges in 0.33%–8.96% with an average of 4.22%. The CH₄ content is positively correlated

	I	I						ince			<u>e</u>	,00	oui	iiui	5.01	., uz		011/0	, 11/ I		<i>л.</i> а	ырл		<u></u>			ma	145	<u>er i</u>		iiiit		5111	ug						
© /0/ U	Ko(%)	1.74	1.67	1.96	1.56	1.84	1.88	1.78	1.55	1.40	1.32	1.56	1.87	1.48	1.51	1.57	1.58	1.77	1.41	1.07	1.61	0.97	1.18	1.58	1.81	1.81	1.84	1.57	1.52	1.74	2.15	2.53	2.30	2.21	2.30	2.55	2.22	2.18	2.33	1.93
©\/0/ a	K ₀ (%)	3.35	3.07	4.10	2.86	3.75	3.86	3.40	2.86	2.33	2.20	2.85	3.78	2.62	2.69	2.73	2.85	3.48	2.44	1.57	3.02	1.33	1.87	2.89	3.44	3.63	3.59	2.77	2.81	3.61	4.83	5.34	4.69	4.29	4.57	5.30	4.49	4.50	3.71	3.50
0, 10, G	Ko(%))	1.08	0.98	1.36	0.91	1.23	1.27	1.10	0.91	0.72	0.68	0.90	1.24	0.82	0.85	0.86	0.90	1.13	0.76	0.46	0.96	0.39	0.56	0.92	1.12	1.18	1.17	0.88	0.89	1.18	1.63	1.82	1.58	1.43	1.53	1.81	1.50	1.51	1.21	1.14
MC	δD_3			-118		-121	-117			-109						-120			-123	-123			-115					-125				-123				-115				
%0), V _{SM}	δD_2	-138	-138	-128	-138	-123	-139	-142	-143	-133	-144		-115	-137	-141	-133		-129	-138	-136	-132	-126	-139	-131				-137		-131	-119	-161		-148		-151			-131	
ðD ('	δD_1	-162	-165	-167	-169	-180	-171	-166	-171	-174	-171		-173	-170	-170	-171		-172	-197	-198	-182	-190	-193	-192				-171	-174	-173	-173	-163	-166	-159		-157	-165		-147	-157
	³ C _{CO2}	-14.1	-16.0		-16.1			-16.3	-17.1		-15.4			-15.8	-16.1					-11.7		-6.2							-8.9	-4.9	-0.4		-8.1		-1.8	-0.8	-7.5		-3.7	
	$nC_4 \delta$	1.6 -	0.2 -	9.3	2.5 -			1.8	1.4	4.6	3.4 -	8.8		0.4	3.6 -	5.2											9.6	4.3												
DB	$\mathbb{C}_4 = \delta^{13}$.9 -2	2 -2	ī	5 -2			.6 -2	.8 -2	-7	2 -2	Γ		5 -2	.6 -2	-12											ī	-2												
(%o), VI	$\delta^{13}i0$	t –19) -21	10	2 -20	+	•	9 -20	3 -20	7	3 -22	_	_	5 -20	2 -21	2	5	2	0	<u>,</u>	~	+	0	0	,0		5	~	_				0	10	7	10	~		.0	(
$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^{13}C_{c}$	-21.4	-21.9	-20.5	-22.3	-21.4	-21.9	-21.9	-20.8	-21.3	-22.8	-19.1	-22.1	-20.6	-22.3	-23.7	-20.6	-22.7	-22.3	-21.6	-21.8	-21.4	-23.2	-21.2	-20.6		-20.6	-22.5	-19.1			-25.5	-28.2	-25.5	-25.7	-26.5	-26.8		-32.6	-29.(
	$\delta^{13}C_2$	-24.4	-24.2	-23.6	-23.7	-24.8	-24.5	-22.6	-23.5	-24.6	-25.4	-22.5	-24.0	-23.5	-24.8	-25.2	-23.3	-25.0	-26.0	-26.0	-24.9	-25.8	-27.3	-24.5	-20.8	-21.4	-22.1	-24.3	-20.4	-21.8	-21.1	-24.9	-28.4	-26.7	-26.9	-27.0	-26.4	-28.3	-34.8	-30.8
	$\delta^{13}C_1$	-33.9	-34.5	-32.5	-35.0	-33.1	-32.9	-33.8	-35.0	-36.4	-36.8	-35.0	-33.1	-35.6	-35.4	-35.3	-35.0	-33.7	-36.1	-39.1	-34.6	-40.2	-37.9	-34.9	-33.7	-33.4	-33.4	-35.2	-35.1	-33.4	-31.4	-30.7	-31.6	-32.2	-31.8	-30.8	-31.9	-31.9	-33.2	-33.6
	$\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{S}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	0.65
	CO_2	0.06	0.04	0.23	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.05	0	0.07	0.20	0.10	0.32	0.58	0.06	0.49	0.62	0.51	0.70	0.13	0.48	0.12	0.53	0.52	0.25	0.96	0	0	0.69	1.42	1.28	1.28	1.40	1.43	0.25	4.78	0.91
	N_2	0.23	0.23	0.85	0.23	1.36	2.05	0.24	0.32	0.96	0.54	0.98	0.59	0.89	0.32	1.53	0.68	1.04	1.09	0.76	0.67	1.09	1.20	0.58	0.29	0.35	0.20	0.68	0	0.01	0.02	0.37	0	0.55	0.27	0.62	0.00	0.12	0.30	0.33
	$n-C_5$	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0	0.04	0.03	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0		0	0
nents (%)	<i>i</i> -C ₅	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.18	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.05	0	0.09	0.07	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0		0	0
Compoi	t <i>n</i> -C₄	t 0.15	0.10	5 0.19	3 0.17	0.24	0.23	80.08	t 0.14	5 0.16	0.19	0.12	2 0.13	5 0.24	5 0.17	0.14	2 0.10	5 0.17	2 0.44	5 0.33	5 0.27	5 0.34	0.40	2 0.21	3 0.02	7 0.05	3 0.12	0.10	0.05	3 0.14	0.12	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0.01	0	0
	8 <i>i</i> -C	5 0.14	3 0.10	0.15	4 0.13	3 0.2(4 0.2	2 0.08	2 0.1	5 0.15	0.20	4 0.10	3 0.12	9 0.20	5 0.15	0.20	5 0.12	1 0.15	2 0.42	1 0.30	1 0.25	9 0.30	3 0.42	1 0.23	1 0.0	5 0.0	5 0.13	9 0.1(1 0.0	7 0.18	0.15	0.0	0 0	8	8 0.0	7 0.0	2 0	8 0.0	2 0	0 6
	6 C3H	5 0.70	0.5	0.0	9.0.8	7 1.0	1.0	8 0.4	3 0.8	3 0.7:	3 1.00	0.6	6.0.6	2 1.0	0.8	3 0.6	7 0.5:	0.8	2.0	5 1.7	1.3	3 1.79	1 2.2	1.0	0.2	3 0.3	5 0.50	0.4	0.4	§ 0.8′	0.7	0.0	t 0.10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.13	0.0	0.0	0.0
	C_2H	3.16	3 2.55	1 3.61	3.69	5 4.27	7 4.01	3 2.48	4.18	3.18	9 4.28	3.52	7 3.16	3 4.42	7 3.8(3 2.43	5 2.97	7 3.52	2.32	3 5.86	3 4.21	9 6.23	3 5.64	3.81	1 2.00	3.58) 2.55	3 2.5(5 4.15	5.66	7 4.08	0.85	1 0.94	7 0.8(0.81	0.7(1.06	1.52	7 0.31	0.01
	CH_4	95.41	96.33	93.84	94.75	92.46	91.97	96.58	94.21	94.36	93.59	94.51	95.07	92.73	94.47	94.43	94.86	93.97	89.62	<u> 89.95</u>	92.38	88.99	89.75	93.31	97.24	95.00	95.8(95.75	94.35	92.95	94.7,	97.8t	97.54	97.27	97.51	97.10	97.35	98.01	94.57	97.01
0,000	Surata	J_{3D}	J_{3D}	J_{3D}	$J_{3}p$	J_{3D}	$J_{3}p$	$J_2 S$	$J_2 S$	J_{2S}	J_{2S}	J_{2S}	J_{2S}	J_2q	J_2q	J_2q	J_2q	J_2q	$T_{3}x^{5}$	$T_{3}x^{5}$	$T_{3}x^{5}$	$T_{3}x^{5}$	$T_{3}x^{5}$	$T_3 x^5$	$T_{3}x^{4}$	T_{3x}^{4}	$T_{3}x^{4}$	$T_3 x^4$	$T_{3}x^{4}$	$T_3 x^4$	$T_{3}x_{1}$	$T_{3}x_{-}$	$T_3 x^2$	$T_{3}x^{2}$	$T_3 x^2$	$T_3 x^2$	$T_3 x^2$	$T_3 x^2$	T_2l^4	T_2l^4
Well	wen	CH141	CH358-1	HP1	XQ111	CX109	HP16	CX132	CX170	CX455	CX628	CX454	XS3-1HF	CX135	CX152	CX152	CX163	CX152	X502	XC23	XC25	XC26	XC30	XYHF-2	CX560	X22	X882	X882	XC28	X22	X21-1H	CH127	X5	X8-1H	X853	X856	XC6	X2	CKI	XS1

2204 Vol. 91 No. 6 http://w

ACTA GEOLOGICA SINICA (English Edition) Dec. 2017

with the dryness coefficient (C_1/C_{1-5}), which ranges in 0.908–0.997 with an average of 0.957. The gases in T_3x^5 are mainly wet with the dryness coefficient generally lower than 0.95, whereas those in T_2l^4 and T_3x^2 are typically dry with the dryness coefficient generally higher than 0.98. Natural gases in the Jurassic strata (J_2q , J_2s and J_3p) include both dry and wet gases with the dryness coefficient ranging in 0.92–0.98; the said situation is similar to those in T_3x^4 (Fig. 3a).

The nonhydrocarbon gases are mainly CO₂ and N₂, and their contents lie in 0–4.78% and 0–2.05% with an average of 0.54% and 0.58%, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 3b). A small amount of H₂S exists in the natural gas reservoired in the marine strata (T₂ l^4), whereas no H₂S exists in the gases reservoired in the terrigenous strata (T₃ x^2 , T₃ x^4 , T₃ x^5 , J₂q, J₂s and J₃p) (Table 1).

4.2 Carbon isotopic composition of alkane gas

Natural gases reservoired in T_3x and the Jurassic strata within the Xinchang gas field display the $\delta^{13}C_1$, $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values of -40.2‰ to -30.7‰, -28.4‰ to -20.4‰, and -28.2‰ to -19.1‰, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 4). Although the two $\delta^{13}C_1$ values (-33.6‰, -33.2‰) of gas samples from T_2l^4 are consistent with the $\delta^{13}C_1$ value range of gases in T_3x and the Jurassic strata, the $\delta^{13}C_2$ (-34.8‰, -30.8‰) and $\delta^{13}C_3$ (-32.6‰, -29.0‰) values are significantly lower than those in T_3x and the Jurassic strata (Table 1; Fig. 4).

The alkane gases in the Xinchang gas field mainly display positive carbon isotopic series, i.e., $\delta^{13}C_1 < \delta^{13}C_2 < \delta^{13}C_3$. However, two gas samples reservoired in T_3x^2 display partial reversal between ethane and propane ($\delta^{13}C_2 > \delta^{13}C_3$), and one gas sample reservoired in T_2t^4 displays partial reversal between methane and ethane ($\delta^{13}C_1 > \delta^{13}C_2$) (Fig. 4; Table 1).

4.3 Hydrogen isotopic composition of alkane gas

The δD_1 and δD_2 values of natural gases reservoired in T_3x and the Jurassic strata within the Xinchang gas field range from -198% to -157% and -161% to -115%, respectively. The overall δD_1 values of gases reservoired in T_3x^5 are generally low and vary between -198% to -182%. The δD_1 values (-157%, -147%) of gases reservoired in T_2t^4 are generally higher than those in T_3x and the Jurassic strata. The natural gases in the Xinchang gas field display positive hydrogen isotopic series with $\delta D_1 < \delta D_2 < \delta D_3$ (Table 1; Fig. 5).



Fig. 3. Compositional diagrams of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field in western Sichuan Basin. (a), C₁/C₁₋₅ vs. CH₄; (b), CO₂ vs. N₂.



Fig. 4. Stable carbon isotopic series of alkane gases from the Xinchang gas field. (a), Middle-Upper Jurassic; (b), Middle-Upper Triassic.

Vol. 91 No. 6



Fig. 5. Stable hydrogen isotopic series of alkane gases from the Xinchang gas field. (a), Middle-Upper Jurassic; (b), Middle-Upper Triassic.

5 Discussions

5.1 Genetic types of alkane gas

Natural gas can be divided into biogenic and abiogenic gases according to their generation mechanism. Biogenic gas is derived from sedimentary organic matter, whereas the abiogenic gas is derived from inorganic matter (Dai Jinxing et al., 1992). The biogenic gas generally displays positive alkane carbon isotopic series with $\delta^{13}C_1$ values lower than -30%, whereas the abiogenic gas displays negative series with $\delta^{13}C_1$ values higher than -30% (Dai Jinxing et al., 1992, 2008). The gas in the Xinchang gas field within the Sichuan Basin mainly displays positive alkane carbon isotopic series. Meanwhile, the $\delta^{13}C_1$ values are lower than -30% (Fig.4), indicative of typical characteristics of the biogenic gas.

The biogenic gas includes bacterial and thermogenic gas. The latter can be generally divided into coal-type gas derived from humic organic matter and oil-type gas derived from sapropelic organic matter (Dai Jinxing et al., 1992). The bacterial gas is primarily made up of methane with $\delta^{13}C_1$ values mainly lower than -55‰ and it displays different distribution characteristics with thermogenic gas being in the Bernard diagram (Bernard et al., 1976; Whiticar, 1999). Natural gases in the Xinchang gas field are significantly different from bacterial gas with $\delta^{13}C_1$ values higher than -55‰ (Fig. 4). Propane will be consumed preferentially during the biodegradation and its content will decrease. Therefore, natural gas affected by biodegradation will display rapidly increasing C₂/C₃ ratio with nearly constant C_2/iC_4 ratio, which is significantly different from the maturity trend (Prinzhofer and Battani, 2003). The alkane gases in the Xinchang gas field follow the maturity trend rather than the biodegradation trend in the correlation diagram between C_2/C_3 and C_2/iC_4 (Fig. 6).

Natural gases in the Xinchang gas field display typical characteristics of thermogenic gas rather than bacterial gas

in the modified Bernard diagram (Fig. 7). The gases reservoired in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata are generally consistent with the natural gas generated from type III kerogen, whereas those in T_2l^4 are similar to the range of natural gas derived from type II kerogen. Meanwhile, gases in T_3x^2 mainly display mixed or transitional characteristics of gases derived from types II



Fig. 6. Correlation diagrams between the C_2/C_3 and C_2/iC_4 values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field. (a), Middle-Upper Jurassic; (b), Middle-Upper Triassic (after Prinzhofer and Battani, 2003).



Fig. 7. Modified Bernard diagram of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field (after Bernard et al., 1976).

2207

and III kerogens (Fig. 7).

The gases derived from different types of organic matter display different evolution trends in the correlation diagram between $\delta^{13}C_1$ and $\delta^{13}C_2$ values, and coal-type gas derived from humic organic matter has relatively higher $\delta^{13}C_2$ value than oil-type gas derived from sapropelic organic matter with similar $\delta^{13}C_1$ value (Rooney et al., 1995). In the correlation diagram between $\delta^{13}C_2$ vs. $\delta^{13}C_1$ (Fig. 8), the gases reservoired in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field follow the trends of coal-type gases derived from type III kerogen in the Niger Delta (Rooney et al., 1995) and Sacramento Bain (Jenden et al., 1988), whereas those in the $T_2 l^4$ are close to the trend of oil-type gas derived from type II kerogen in the Delaware/Val Verde Basin (Rooney et al., 1995), and the gases reservoired in the T_3x^2 display the transitional characteristics, indicating the occurrence of possible mixing between coal-type and oil-type gases.

The carbon isotopic compositions of alkane gases are affected by the thermal maturity of source rocks, and the $\delta^{13}C_1$, $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values increase simultaneously with the thermal maturity (Dai Jinxing et al., 1992). However, the $\delta^{13}C_2$ value is far less affected by the maturity than the $\delta^{13}C_1$ value, and the carbon isotopes of ethane generally inherited those of organic matter in the source together with the $\delta^{13}C_2$ value is an effective index to identify coaltype and oil-type gases (Dai Jinxing et al., 2005). Empirical observations indicate that coal-type gas generally displays $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values higher than -28‰ and -25‰, respectively, whereas the oil-type gas displays the opposite features (Liu et al., 2015). Natural gases reservoired in $T_{3}x^{4}$, $T_{3}x^{5}$, and the Jurassic strata display typical characteristics of coal-type gas with $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values higher than -28‰ and -25‰, respectively. The $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values are positively correlated, thus, indicating a maturity trend for coal-type gas (Fig. 9). Natural gases reservoired in $T_2 l^4$ display a



Fig. 8. Correlation diagram between the $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_1$ values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field.

significantly higher thermal maturity than those in $T_{3}x^{4}$. T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the modified Bernard diagram (Fig. 7). However, they display significantly lower $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values than the latter. Therefore, they are typically oil-type gas with $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values s lower than -28‰ and -25‰ (Fig. 9), which were evidently different from the T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic coal-type gas. The gases reservoired in T_3x^2 display significantly higher thermal maturity than those in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in terms of the correlation diagram between C_2/C_3 and C_2/iC_4 (Fig. 6) and the modified Bernard diagram (Fig. 7). They also display slightly lower thermal maturity than those in $T_2 l^4$ in the modified Bernard diagram (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, if the gases reservoired in T_3x^2 were coal-type gases, they should display higher $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values than those in $T_{3}x^{4}$, $T_{3}x^{5}$, and the Jurassic strata. If the $T_{3}x^{2}$ gases were typically oil-type gases, they should display lower $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values than those in T_2l^4 . However, the T_3x^2 gases display lower $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values than T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic gases, but higher $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values than $T_2 l^4$ gase; these indicate that the $T_3 x^2$ gases are different from typical T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic coal-type gases or $T_2 l^4$ oil-type gases. They are located in the mixing area between oil-type and coal-types gases (Fig. 9). This is also consistent with the mixing trend of T_3x^2 gases indicated in the correlation diagram between $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_1$ values (Fig. 8).

The hydrogen isotopic compositions of natural gas are controlled by the types of organic matter, thermal maturity and the environmental conditions of the aqueous medium, not to mention it could also be used to identify the gas origin (Dai Jinxing et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2015). The oil-type gases derived from sapropelic organic matter generally have less negative δD_1 values, whereas the coaltype gas derived from humic organic matter generally have more negative δD_1 values (Schoell, 1980; Wang et



Fig. 9. Correlation diagram between the $\delta^{13}C_3$ and $\delta^{13}C_2$ values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field.

al., 2015). The cutoff δD_1 value for marine and terrigenous natural gases in the Sichuan Basin was generally considered as -160‰ (Yu Cong et al., 2014). Natural gases reservoired in the $T_2 l^4$ display the characteristics of marine gas with δD_1 values higher than -160‰ (Table 1), and are close to the trend of sapropelic gases from the Delaware/Val Verde Basin (Schoell, 1980) in the correlation diagram between δD_1 and $\delta^{13}C_1$ values (Fig. 10). Natural gases reservoired in T_3x^2 , T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field display the characteristics of terrigenous gas with δD_1 values lower than -160% (Table 1). These gases follow the trend of coal gases from Northwestern Germany (Schoell, 1980) in Fig. 10. It is noteworthy that the T_3x^2 gases do not display the mixing trend between coal-type and oil-type gases in Fig. 10, indicating that the methane in T_3x^2 gases is mainly coal-type.

The statistical result of 313 natural gas samples from six Chinese sedimentary basins indicates that coal-type and oil-type gases display different distribution trends in the correlation diagram between δD_1 and $\delta^{13}C_2$ values (Wang et al., 2015). Natural gases reservoired in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field are mainly consistent with the coal-type gases from humic organic matter in Fig. 11, whereas those in T_2t^4 are highly alike the oil-type gases from sapropelic organic matter. Furthermore, the gases reservoired in T_3x^2 are different from typical coal-type or oil-type gas since they follow the mixing trend between them in Fig. 11.

Prinzhofer and Pernaton (1997) proposed the correlation diagram between C_2/C_1 and $\delta^{13}C_1$ to distinguish the mixing, diffusion and maturity trend of natural gas. Natural gases reservoired in the T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field generally follow the maturity trend in the diagram of C_2/C_1 vs. $\delta^{13}C_1$ (Fig. 12), whereas the T_3x^2 gases follow the mixing with the oil-type gas trend.



Fig. 10. Correlation diagram between the δD_1 and $\delta^{13}C_1$ values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field.



Fig. 11. Correlation diagram between the δD_1 and $\delta^{13}C_2$ values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field (after Wang et al., 2015).



Fig. 12. Correlation diagram between the C_2/C_1 and $\delta^{13}C_1$ values of natural gas from the Xinchang gas field (after Prinzhofer and Pernaton, 1997).

Overall, the gases reservoired in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field are coal-type gases and are derived from humic organic matter, whereas those in T_2t^4 are oil-type gases derived from sapropelic organic matter. The T_3x^2 gases have distinct $\delta^{13}C_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_3$ values in comparison with T_3x^4 and T_2t^4 gases of the Xinchang gas field in Fig. 9. In addition, they follow the coal-type gases is mainly coal-type gas by means of ethane and propane being mixed together by coal-type and oil-type gases. Therefore, considering the high dryness coefficients (Fig. 3), the T_3x^2 gases are mainly coal-type gas.

Oil-type gas can be generated from sapropelic organic matter through two approaches, the primary cracking of kerogen and secondary cracking of oil and / or gas. The primary and secondary cracking gases follow different variation trends of $\delta^{13}C_2 - \delta^{13}C_3$ values and C_2/C_3 ratios with the increase of thermal maturity. Thus, the $\delta^{13}C_2 - \delta^{13}C_3$ vs. C_2/C_3 correlation diagram could be used to identify the primary kerogen cracking gas and secondary

oil/gas cracking gas (Prinzhofer and Huc, 1995; Lorant et al., 1998; Prinzhofer and Battani, 2003). The T_2l^4 gases in the Xinchang gas field have $\delta^{13}C_2 - \delta^{13}C_3$ values of -2.2% and -1.8% with C_2/C_3 ratios of 15.50 and 10.12 (Table 1), respectively. These findings are consistent with the secondary oil cracking gas rather than the primary kerogen cracking gas in the $\delta^{13}C_2 - \delta^{13}C_3$ vs. C_2/C_3 correlation diagram (Lorant et al., 1998). Consequently, the T_2l^4 gases in the Xinchang gas field are derived from the secondary cracking of oil.

5.2 Gas-source correlation

The potential source rocks revealed by the drilling wells in the Western Sichuan Depression consist of $T_{3}x$ coal measures, $T_{3}m$ and $T_{3}t$ marlstone, mudstone, as well as $T_{2}l$ carbonate rocks. The Upper Permian source rocks were not encountered during the drilling in the Western Sichuan Depression mainly due to the extremely large burial depth. The Upper Permian source rocks are a set of high-quality source rocks from the view of the entire Sichuan Basin, and are believed to be the main source for the giant marine gas reservoirs in the Upper Permian Changxing and Lower Triassic Feixianguan formations in northeastern Sichuan Basin (Hao et al., 2008).

The T₃x coal measures are the most important source rocks in the Western Sichuan Depression, and the δ^{13} C values of kerogen range from -26.5% to -24.0% with the kerogen type being II₂–III (Dai et al., 2009). The T₃x², T₃x³, T₃x⁴, and T₃x⁵ source rocks display average TOC contents of 3.47%, 1.77%, 1.83%, and 2.35%, respectively, with average vitrinite reflectance (R_0) values of 2.47%, 2.06%, 1.69%, and 1.31%, correspondingly. These values indicate a stage of either mature to overmature stage (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010).

The $T_{3}m$ and $T_{3}t$ marlstone and mudstone in the Western Sichuan Depression display kerogen type I–II, high TOC contents, and high thermal maturity (Ye Jun, 2003). For example, the $T_{3}m$ dark mudstone in the Xinchang gas field displays an average TOC content of 1.13%, mean R_0 value of 2.91%, and the average $\delta^{13}C$ values of kerogen in the $T_{3}m$ and $T_{3}t$ source rocks that range from -25.5% to -25.0%, suggesting sapropelic-humic organic type (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010).

The T_2l carbonate rocks in the Xinchang gas field display kerogen type index (TI) that varies in 12.5%– 98.03% with the kerogen type being II₁–II₂. They are in the over-mature stage with the equivalent R_0 values higher than 2.0% and low residual TOC contents. An example of this manifestation are the carbonate rocks from the wells Chuanke 1 and Xinshen 1, they display average TOC contents of 0.25% and 0.27%, respectively (Yang Keming, 2016). These values have not reached the lower limit of TOC (0.5%) for the effective carbonate source rocks (Zhang Shuichang et al., 2002). Therefore, the T_2l carbonate rocks probably display limited hydrocarbon potential.

Vol. 91 No. 6

The coal-type gases reservoired in T_3x and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field have been considered to be derived from coal-measure source rocks in T_3x (Wu et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2012). The T_3x source rocks were mainly deposited in terrigenous environment except for the T_3x^1 in marine-terrigenous facies (Zhu Rukai et al., 2009). The maceral of the coal-measure source rocks mainly consists of vitrinite (Wang Dongvan et al., 2010; Zhang Min et al., 2012). In addition, the kerogen in different members of T_3x displays similar $\delta^{13}C$ values with humic organic matter (Dai et al., 2009). The gases generated by different members of T_3x have similar geochemical characteristics which were difficult to differentiate. However, for the source rocks in the various members of T_3x in the same area such as the Xinchang gas field, the most evident difference is the thermal maturity caused by different burial depth and the geochemical characteristics. Geochemical characteristics such as the methane δ^{13} C value have been considered to reflect the thermal evolution extent of source rocks. Therefore, the comparison between the vitrinite reflectance (R_0) of source rocks in different members of T_3x and the thermal maturity estimated from the methane δ^{13} C value are an important approach to carrying out the gas-source correlation.

Various models have been developed to estimate the thermal maturity of source rocks based on the isotopic compositions of associated natural gas (Stahl and Carey Jr, 1975; Schoell, 1980; Shen Ping et al., 1987; Dai Jinxing and Qi Houfa, 1989; Tang et al., 2000). These models are proposed based on coal-type gas or oil-type gas and are unsuitable for mixed gas or gas derived from organic matter in transitional facies. Dai Jinxing (1992) proposed the empirical $\delta^{13}C_1 - R_0$ equations for coal-type and oil-type gases based on gas samples from Chinese petroliferous basins, which have been widely and effectively used in China. Pang Xiongqi et al. (2000) proposed the quantitative identification model of the evolution degree of natural gas from mixing source material, which could be used to estimate thermal maturity of source rocks for mixing gas.

The geochemical characteristics of saturated and aromatic hydrocarbons from source rocks provide understandable evidence for transgressions that occurred during the Upper Triassic Xujiahe stage in the Sichuan Basin with a great impact on the source input and depositional environment, and they are different from those of the regular swamp facies humic source rocks

Dec. 2017

(Zhang Min et al., 2012). Although the $T_{3}x$ alkane gases in the Xinchang gas field mainly display the geochemical characteristics of the coal-type gas, the quantitative identification model of the evolution degree of mixing natural gas rather than the coal-type gas equation will be suitable to estimate thermal maturity of source rocks. The thermal maturity data calculated from the $\delta^{13}C_1$ - R_o equations for the coal-type and oil-type gases (Dai Jinxing, 1992) as well as the quantitative identification model for mixing natural gas (Pang Xiongqi et al., 2000) are listed in Table 1.

The average measured vitrinite reflectance values for T_3x^2 , T_3x^3 , T_3x^4 and T_3x^5 mudstones are 2.47%, 2.06%, 1.69%, and 1.31%, respectively (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010). The estimated thermal maturity values based on the $\delta^{13}C_1 - R_0$ equations for the coal-type and oil-type gases (Dai Jinxing, 1992) are significantly lower and higher than the measured R_0 values, respectively. However, the estimated thermal maturity values based on the quantitative identification model for mixing natural gas (Pang et al., 2000) combined with the parameters in the equations proposed by Dai Jinxing (1992) are consistent with the measured values. For example, the estimated R_0 values for T_3x^5 natural gas range from 0.97%–1.61% with an average of 1.30%, which are well consistent with the measured R_0 values for T₃x⁵ source rocks (1.22%-1.45%) with an average of 1.31%) (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010) and significantly lower than those for $T_{2}x^{4}$ source rocks (1.63% - 1.83% with an average of 1.69%) (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010). Therefore, the comparison indicates that the T_3x^5 natural gas is derived from the source rocks in $T_3 x^5$ itself.

The natural gas reservoired in the Jurassic stratain the western Sichuan Basin including the Xinchang gas field was believed to be derived from the underlying Xujiahe Formation coal-measure source rocks (Wu et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2012) due to insufficient hydrocarbon potential for the Jurassic strata (Cai Kaiping and Liao Shimeng, 2000). Although the Jurassic-reservoired gases in the Xinchang gas field had been considered to be derived from $T_3 x^5$ source rocks (Shen Zhongmin et al., 2008), they display similar geochemical characteristics for dryness coefficient, methane carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions with that of the T_3x^4 gases. They are also greatly different from the T_3x^5 gases. The average estimated R_0 values for Jurassic and T_3x^4 gases are 1.65% and 1.78%, respectively. These values are similar to the average measured R_0 values for T₃x⁴ (1.69%) and T₃x³ (2.06%) source rocks (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010), and they are significantly higher than the average measured R_0 values for $T_3 x^5$ source rocks (1.31%) (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010). Hence, the Jurassic and T_3x^4 gases display good affinity and are mainly derived from T_3x^3 and T_3x^4 source rocks.

The estimated R_o values for T_3x^2 gases range between 2.18%–2.55% with an average of 2.33%. These values are generally consistent with the measured R_o values for T_3x^2 source rocks (2.31%–2.57% with an average of 2.47%) (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010). They are generally higher and lower than the measured R_o values for T_3x^3 (2.06% on average) and T_3m (2.91% on average) source rocks (Wang et al., 2010), respectively. Since the T_3x^2 gases are mainly coal-type gas accompanied by a small amount of oil-type gas, and the source rocks in the T_3t and T_3m display kerogen types I and II (Ye Jun, 2003), the T_3x^2 itself with a small amount contributed by T_3t and T_3m source rocks.

Since the $T_2 l^4$ gases are oil-type gases, the $\delta^{13}C_1 - R_o$ equation for the oil-type gases (Dai Jinxing, 1992) can be used to estimate the thermal maturity of the source rocks. The estimated thermal maturity for the two gas samples is 3.50% and 3.71% (Table 1), respectively. They are significantly higher than the R_o values of $T_3 m$ source rocks (2.91% on average) (Wang Dongyan et al., 2010). The $T_2 l$ and Lower Triassic strata were hardly considered as effective source rocks due to the extremely low TOC contents (generally lower than 0.5%), whereas the Upper Permian mudstone source rocks in the Sichuan Basin have been considered important (Hao et al., 2008). Therefore, the $T_2 l^4$ gases are believed to be derived from the secondary cracking of oil that's most likely generated from the Upper Permian source rocks.

6 Conclusions

(1) The chemical compositions as well as carbon and hydrogen isotopic values for natural gas from the Xinchang gas field within the Sichuan Basin indicate that the natural gas is mainly composed of methane. The methane has a content ranging in 88.99%–98.01% with a dryness coefficient varying in 0.908–0.997. It generally displays positive alkane carbon and hydrogen isotopic series.

(2) The gases reservoired in T_3x^4 , T_3x^5 , and the Jurassic strata in the Xinchang gas field display $\delta^{13}C_2$ values higher than -28% and δD_1 values lower than -160%, and are identified as typical coal-type gases. In addition, the gases reservoired in T_2t^4 are oil-type gases with $\delta^{13}C_2$ values lower than -28% and δD_1 values higher than -160%. The T_3x^2 gases display transitional characteristics between these two types of gases and are mainly coal-type gas.

(3) The gas-source correlation indicates that the T_3x^5 natural gas is derived from the source rocks in T_3x^5 itself,

and the Jurassic and T_3x^4 gases display good affinity and are mainly derived from T_3x^3 and T_3x^4 source rocks. The T_3x^2 gases are mainly derived from the source rocks in T_3x^2 itself with a small amount of contribution by T_3t and T_3m source rocks, whereas the T_2t^4 gases are believed to be derived from the secondary cracking of oil most likely generated from the Upper Permian source rocks.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Southwest Branch Company of SINOPEC for sample collection and technical support, as well as Key Laboratory for Hydrocarbon Accumulation Mechanism, SINOPEC for chemical analyses of natural gas. We sincerely appreciate the constructive comments and suggestions by the anonymous reviewers. This research is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants No. 41625009, 41302118 and U1663201), the National Key Foundational Research and Development Project (Grant No: 2016YFB0600804), and the National Science & Technology Special Project (grant No. 2016ZX05002-006).

> Manuscript received July 1, 2016. accepted June 9, 2017. edited by Hao Qingqing

References

- Bernard, B.B., Brooks, J.M., and Sackett, W.M., 1976. Natural gas seepage in the Gulf of Mexico. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 31(1): 48–54.
- Bian Congsheng, Zhao Wenzhi, Wang Hongjun, Chen Zhiyong, Wang Zecheng, Liu Guangdi, Zhao Changyi, Wang Yunpeng, Xu Zhaohui, Li Yongxin and Jiang Lin, 2015. Contribution of moderate overall coal-bearing basin uplift to tight sand gas accumulation: case study of the Xujiahe Formation in the Sichuan Basin and the Upper Paleozoic in the Ordos Basin, China. *Petroleum Science*, 12(2): 218–231.
- Cai Kaiping and Liao Shimeng, 2000. A research on the gas source of Jurassic gas reservoirs in western Sichuan basin. *Natural Gas Industry*, 20(1): 36–41 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chung, H.M., Gormly, J.R., and Squires, R.M., 1988. Origin of gaseous hydrocarbons in subsurface environments: theoretical considerations of carbon isotope distribution. *Chemical Geology*, 71(1/4): 97–103.
- Dai Jinxing, 1992. Identification and distinction of various alkane gases. Science in China (Series B), 35(10): 1246–1257.
- Dai, J., Ni, Y., and Zou, C., 2012. Stable carbon and hydrogen isotopes of natural gases sourced from the Xujiahe Formation in the Sichuan Basin, China. *Organic Geochemistry*, 43(1): 103–111.
- Dai, J., Ni, Y., Zou, C., Tao, S., Hu, G., Hu, A., Yang, C., and Tao, X., 2009. Stable carbon isotopes of alkane gases from the Xujiahe coal measures and implication for gas-source

correlation in the Sichuan Basin, SW China. Organic Geochemistry, 40(5): 638–646.

Vol. 91 No. 6

- Dai Jinxing, Pei Xigu and Qi Houfa, 1992. *Natural Gas Geology in China (Vol. 1)*. Beijing: Petroleum Industry Press, 1–149 (in Chinese).
- Dai Jinxing and Qi Houfa, 1989. The δ^{13} C- R_0 relationship of coal -derived alkane gases in China. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 34 (9): 690–692 (in Chinese).
- Dai Jinxing, Qin Shengfei, Tao Shizhen, Zhu Guangyou and Mi Jingkui, 2005. Developing trends of natural gas industry and the significant progress on natural gas geological theories in China. *Natural Gas Geoscience*, 16(2): 127–142 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Dai, J., Xia, X., Qin, S., and Zhao, J., 2004. Origins of partially reversed alkane δ^{13} C values for biogenic gases in China. *Organic Geochemistry*, 35(4): 405–411.
- Dai, J., Zou, C., Liao, S., Dong, D., Ni, Y., Huang, J., Wu, W., Gong, D., Huang, S., and Hu, G., 2014. Geochemistry of the extremely high thermal maturity Longmaxi shale gas, southern Sichuan Basin. Organic Geochemistry, 74: 3–12.
- Dai Jinxing, Zou Caineng, Zhang Shuichang, Li Jian, Ni Yunyan, Hu Guoyi, Luo Xia, Tao Shizhen, Zhu Guangyou, Mi Jingkui, Li Zhisheng, Hu Aanping, Yang Chun, Zhou Qinghua, Shuai Yanhua, Zhang Ying and Ma Chenghua, 2008. Discrimination of abiogenic and biogenic alkane gases. *Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences*, 51(12): 1737– 1749.
- Deng, B., Liu, S., Jansa, L., Cao, J., Cheng, Y., Li, Z., and Liu, S., 2012. Sedimentary record of Late Triassic transpressional tectonics of the Longmenshan thrust belt, SW China. *Journal* of Asian Earth Sciences, 48: 43–55.
- Fu Xiaofei, Xu Meng, Liu Shaobo, Zhuo Qingong and Meng Lingdong, 2016. Interior structure of fractures in the tight sandstone-gypsum mudstone (reservoir caprock combinations) in the Kuqa Depression, Tarim Basin, and its significance in gas reservoir accumulation. *Acta Geologica Sinica*, 90(3): 521 –533 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hao, F., Guo, T., Zhu, Y., Cai, X., Zou, H., and Li, P., 2008. Evidence for multiple stages of oil cracking and thermochemical sulfate reduction in the Puguang gas field, Sichuan Basin, China. AAPG Bulletin, 92(5): 611–637.
- Hao, F., Zhang, X., Wang, C., Li, P., Guo, T., Zou, H., Zhu, Y., Liu, J., and Cai, Z., 2015. The fate of CO₂ derived from thermochemical sulfate reduction (TSR) and effect of TSR on carbonate porosity and permeability, Sichuan Basin, China. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 141: 154–177.
- Jenden, P.D., Kaplan, I.R., Poreda, R., and Craig, H., 1988. Origin of nitrogen-rich natural gases in the California Great Valley: Evidence from helium, carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 52(4): 851–861.
- Lin Xiaobing, Liu Liping, Tian Jingchun, Peng Shufeng, Yang Chenyu and Su Lin, 2014. Characteristics and controlling factors of tight sandstone reservoirs in the 5th member of Xujiahe Formation in the central of western Sichuan Depression. *Oil & Gas Geology*, 35(2): 224–230 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, Q., Dai, J., Jin, Z., Li, J., Wu, X., Meng, Q., Yang, C., Zhou, Q., Feng, Z., and Zhu, D., 2016. Abnormal carbon and hydrogen isotopes of alkane gases from the Qingshen gas field. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 115(1): 285–297.
- Liu, Q., Jin, Z., Meng, Q., Wu, X., and Jia, H., 2015. Genetic

types of natural gas and filling patterns in Daniudi gas field, Ordos Basin, China. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 107: 1– 11.

Vol. 91 No. 6

- Liu, Q., Jin, Z., Wu, X., Liu, W., Gao, B., Zhang, D., Li, J., and Hu, A., 2014a. Origin and carbon isotope fractionation of CO₂ in marine sour gas reservoirs in the Eastern Sichuan Basin. *Organic Geochemistry*, 74: 22–32.
- Liu, Q.Y., Worden, R.H., Jin, Z.J., Liu, W.H., Li, J., Gao, B., Zhang, D.W., Hu, A.P., and Yang, C., 2013. TSR versus non-TSR processes and their impact on gas geochemistry and carbon stable isotopes in Carboniferous, Permian and Lower Triassic marine carbonate gas reservoirs in the Eastern Sichuan Basin, China. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 100: 96–115.
- Liu, Q.Y., Worden, R.H., Jin, Z.J., Liu, W.H., Li, J., Gao, B., Zhang, D.W., Hu, A.P., and Yang, C., 2014b. Thermochemical sulphate reduction (TSR) versus maturation and their effects on hydrogen stable isotopes of very dry alkane gases. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 137: 208– 220.
- Liu Quanyou, Zhang Tongwei, Jin Zhijun, Qin Shengfei, Tang Yongchun and Liu Wenhui, 2011. Kinetic model of gaseous alkanes formed from coal in a confined system and its application to gas filling history in Kuqa Depression, Tarim Basin, Northwest China. *Acta Geologica Sinica* (English Edition), 85(4): 911–922.
- Liu, S., Deng, B., Li, Z., and Sun, W., 2012. Architecture of basin-mountain systems and their influences on gas distribution: A case study from the Sichuan basin, South China. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 47: 204–215.
- Lorant, F., Prinzhofer, A., Behar, F., and Huc, A.Y., 1998. Carbon isotopic and molecular constraints on the formation and the expulsion of thermogenic hydrocarbon gases. *Chemical Geology*, 147(3–4): 249–264.
- Ma Yongsheng and Zhao Peirong, 2016. Research progress in the petroleum and natural gas geological theory of China. *Acta Geologica Sinica* (English Edition), 90(4): 1236–1248.
- Meng Qingqiang, Sun Yuhua, Tong Jianyu, Fu Qi, Zhu Jun, Zhu Dongya and Jin Zhijun, 2015. Distribution and geochemical characteristics of hydrogen in natural gas from the Jiyang Depression, Eastern China. *Acta Geologica Sinica* (English Edition), 89(5): 1616–1624.
- Ni, Y., Ma, Q., Ellis, G.S., Dai, J., Katz, B., Zhang, S., and Tang, Y., 2011. Fundamental studies on kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of hydrogen isotope fractionation in natural gas systems. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(10): 2696–2707.
- Pang Xiongqi, Zhou, Haiyan, Li Jianqing and Zhou Ruinian, 2000. The quantitative identification model of the evolution degree of mixing natural gas source material and the application of this model. *Acta Petrolei Sinica*, 21(5): 16–20 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Prinzhofer, A., and Battani, A., 2003. Gas isotopes tracing: an important tool for hydrocarbons exploration. *Oil & Gas Science and Technology – Rev. IFP*, 58(2): 299–311.
- Prinzhofer, A., and Pernaton, E., 1997. Isotopically light methane in natural gas: bacterial imprint or diffusive fractionation? *Chemical Geology*, 142(3–4): 193–200.
- Prinzhofer, A.A., and Huc, A.Y., 1995. Genetic and post-genetic molecular and isotopic fractionations in natural gases. *Chemical Geology*, 126(3–4): 281–290.

Qin Shengfei, Dai Jinxing and Wang Lansheng, 2007a. Different

origins of natural gas in secondary gas pool in Western Sichuan foreland basin. *Geochimica*, 36(4): 368–374 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Qin Shengfei, Tao Shizhen, Tu Tao, Wei Xiaowei and Song Mingwei, 2007b. Characteristics of natural gas geochemistry and accumulation in Western Sichuan depression. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 34(1): 34–38 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Qin Chuan, Liu Shugen, Wang Hua, Sun Wei, Li Dexing, Yang Rongjun, Zhang Changjun and Wu Xichun, 2011. The gas reservoir characteristics and advantageous exploration areas in Middle Triassic Leikoupo Formation-Upper Triassic Ma'antang Formation in Sichuan Basin. *Chinese Journal of Geology*, 46(1): 258–272 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Rooney, M.A., Claypool, G.E., and Moses Chung, H., 1995. Modeling thermogenic gas generation using carbon isotope ratios of natural gas hydrocarbons. *Chemical Geology*, 126(3– 4): 219–232.
- Schoell, M., 1980. The hydrogen and carbon isotopic composition of methane from natural gases of various origins. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 44(5): 649–661.
- Shen Ping, Shen Qixiang, Wang Xianbin and Xu Yongchang, 1987. Characteristics of the isotope composition of gas from hydrocarbons and the identification of coal-type gas. *Science in China* (Series B), 31: 242–249.
- Shen Zhongmin, Liu Tao, Lü Zhengxiang and Liu Sibing, 2008. A comparision study on the gas source of Jurassic natural gas in the Western Sichuan depression. *Geological Journal of China Universities*, 14(4): 577–582 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Shen Zhongmin, Pan Zhongliang, Lü Zhengxiang, Liu Sibing and Wang Linghui, 2009. The geochemical characteristics of natural gas and the gas-sources tracing of Xujiahe Formation in the middle member of West Sichuan depression. *Journal of Chengdu University of Technology (Science & Technology Edition)*, 36(3): 225–230 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Stahl, W.J., 1977. Carbon and nitrogen isotopes in hydrocarbon research and exploration. *Chemical Geology*, 20: 121–149.
- Stahl, W.J., and Carey Jr, B.D., 1975. Source-rock identification by isotope analyses of natural gases from fields in the Val Verde and Delaware basins, west Texas. *Chemical Geology*, 16(4): 257–267.
- Tang, Y., Perry, J.K., Jenden, P.D., and Schoell, M., 2000. Mathematical modeling of stable carbon isotope ratios in natural gases. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 64(15): 2673–2687.
- Tao Cheng, Liu Wenhui, Tenger, Qin Jianzhong, Wang Jie, Yang Huamin and Wang Ping, 2015. Accumulation of He in natural gas reservoirs: A model for geological dating. *Acta Geologica Sinica*, 89(7): 1302–1307 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Tong Chongguang, 1992. *Tectonic evolution and oil & gas accumulation of the Sichuan Basin*. Beijing: Geological Publishing House (in Chinese).
- Wang Dongyan, Zeng Huasheng and Wang Jinyi, 2010. Evaluation on Upper Triassic hydrocarbon source rocks of Western Sichuan Depression, Sichuan Basin. *Petroleum Geology & Experiment*, 32(2): 192–195 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang Zhengrong, Deng Hui and Huang Runqiu, 2015. Fracture characteristics of sandstone in the 2nd member of Upper

Triassic Xujiahe Formation in Xinchang gas field, Western Sichuan Depression. *Oil & Gas Geology*, 36(1): 80–86 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Wang, X., Liu, W., Shi, B., Zhang, Z., Xu, Y., and Zheng, J., 2015. Hydrogen isotope characteristics of thermogenic methane in Chinese sedimentary basins. Organic Geochemistry, 83–84(1): 178–189.
- Wei Guoqi, Xie Zengye, Song Jiarong, Yang Wei, Wang Zhihong, Li Jian, Wang Dongliang, Li Zhisheng and Xie Wuren, 2015. Features and origin of natural gas in the Sinian– Cambrian of central Sichuan paleo-uplift, Sichuan Basin, SW China. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 42(6): 768– 777 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Whiticar, M.J., 1999. Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane. *Chemical Geology*, 161(1–3): 291–314.
- Wu, X., Huang, S., Liao, F., and Li, Z., 2010. Carbon isotopic characteristics of Jurassic alkane gases in the Sichuan Basin, China. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, 28(1): 25–36.
- Wu Xiaoqi, Huang Shipeng, Liao Fengrong and Li Zhensheng, 2011. Carbon isotopic composition of coal-derived gas in the Xujiahe Formation and Jurassic in the Sichuan Basin. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 38(4): 418–427 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu Xiaoqi, Wang Ping, Pan Wenlei, Li Huaji, Wang Jun, Chen Yingbin and Zhao Guowei, 2016. Geochemical characteristics and origin of formation water in the 5th Member of the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Fm in Xinchang structure, West Sichuan Depression. *Natural Gas Industry*, 36(3): 22–29 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xie Gangping, 2015. Source of gas reservoirs in the fourth member of the Middle Triassic Leikoupo Formation in Western Sichuan Depression. *Petroleum Exploration & Development*, 37(4): 418–422 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu Guoming, Song Xiaobo, Feng Xia, Long Ke, Wang Qiongxian, Shi Guoshan and Zhu Lan, 2013. Gas potential of the Middle Triassic Fm in the western Sichuan Basin. *Natural Gas Industry*, 33(8): 8–14 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yang Keming, 2016. Hydrocarbon potential of source rocks in the Middle Triassic Leikoupo Formation in the Western Sichuan Depression. *Petroleum Geology & Experiment*, 38(3): 366–374 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Ye Jun, 2001. Research on the mechanism of forming deep T_3x_2 gas reservoir in Xinchang gas field in west Sichuan depression: A discovery of high-production commercial gas in well X851 and its significance. *Natural Gas Industry*, 21(4): 16–20 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Ye Jun, 2003. Assessment of hydrocarbon source rocks in Xu-2 member, Ma'antang Formation, gas system in West Sichuan Depression. *Natural Gas Industry*, 23(1): 21–25 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Vol. 91 No. 6

- Yu Cong, Gong Deyu, Huang Shipeng, Wu Wei, Liao Fengrong and Liu Dan, 2014. Geochemical characteristics of carbon and hydrogen isotopes for the Xujiahe Formation natural gas in Sichuan Basin. *Natural Gas Geoscience*, 25(1): 87–97 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yu Yu, Lin Liangbiao and Gao Jian, 2016. Formation mechanisms and sequence response of authigenic graincoating chlorite: evidence from the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation in the southern Sichuan Basin, China. *Petroleum Science*, 13(4): 657-668.
- Zhang Min, Huang Guanghui, Li Hongbo, Hu Guoyi and Zhang Shuichang, 2012. Molecular geochemical characteristics of gas source rocks from the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation indicate transgression events in the Sichuan Basin. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 55(8): 1260–1268.
- Zhang Shuichang, Liang Digang and Zhang Dajiang, 2002. Evaluation criteria for Paleozoic effective hydrocarbon source rocks. *Petroleum Exploration & Development*, 29(2): 8–12 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang Xiaobao, Hu Yong, Duan Yi, Ma Liyuan, Meng Zifang, He Peng, Zhou Shixin and Peng Dehua, 2003. Geochemical characteristics and origin of natural gases in the Qaidam Basin, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 77(1): 103–115.
- Zhu Guangyou, Zhang Shuichang, Liang Yingbo, Zhou Guoyuan and Wang Zhengjun, 2007. Formation mechanism and controlling factors of natural gas reservoirs of the Jialingjiang Formation in the East Sichuan Basin. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 81(5): 805–817.
- Zhu Rukai, Zhao Xia, Liu Liuhong, Wang Xuesong, Zhang Nai, Guo Hongli and Song Lihong, 2009. Depositional system and favorable reservoir distribution of Xujiahe Formation in Sichuan Basin. *Petroleum Exploration & Development*, 36(1): 46–55(in Chinese with English abstract).

About the first author

WU Xiaoqi, male; born in 1982 in Yancheng City, Jiangsu Province; Ph.D. in geochemistry; a senior engineer at Wuxi Research Institute of Petroleum Geology, Petroleum Exploration and Production Research Institute, SINOPEC; He is now interested in the study on natural gas geology and geochemistry. E-mail: xqwu@163.com; phone: 0510-68787256.